



Decision number: TPE-D-2114299942-32-01/F Helsinki, 07 May 2015

DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S) SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

For propylidynetrimethanol, CAS No 77-99-6 (EC No 201-074-9), registrat number:	ion
Addressee:	11.7

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

### I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposal submitted as part of the registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(d) thereof for propylidynetrimethanol, CAS No 77-99-6 (EC No 201-074-9), submitted by (Registrant):

Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (OECD 414) in a second species.

This decision is based on the registration dossier as submitted with submission number for the tonnage band of 1000 tonnes or more per year. This decision does not take into account any updates after 05 March 2015, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.

ECHA received the updated registration dossier containing the above-mentioned testing proposal for further examination pursuant to Article 40(1) on 14 March 2014.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposal from 16 May 2014 until 1 July 2014. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

On 14 November 2014 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision. On 17 December 2014 ECHA received comments from the Registrant on the draft decision.

The ECHA Secretariat considered the Registrant's comments. The information is reflected in the Statement of Reasons (Section III) whereas no amendments to the Information Required (Section II) were made.

On 5 March 2015 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals for amendment of the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

## **CONFIDENTIAL** 2 (4)



As no proposal for amendment was submitted, ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

# II. Testing required

## A. Tests required pursuant to Article 40(3)

The Registrant shall carry out the proposed test pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) and 13(4) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test method and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31/OECD 414) in rabbits, oral route.

Note for consideration by the Registrant:

The Registrant may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation. In order to ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring to and conforming with the appropriate rules in the respective Annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

Failure to comply with the request(s) in this decision, or to fulfil otherwise the information requirement(s) with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the Enforcement Authorities of the Member States.

#### B. Deadline for submitting the required information

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22(2) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **16 May 2016** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision, including, where relevant, an update of the Chemical Safety Report.

#### III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposal submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance.

### A. Tests required pursuant to Article 40(3)

Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.)

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

Pre-natal developmental toxicity studies on two species are part of the standard information requirements for substance registered for 1000 tonnes or more per year (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2., column 1, Annex X, Section 8.7.2., column 1, and sentence 2 of introductory paragraph 2 of Annex X of the REACH Regulation).

The dossier contains a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats as first species. However, there is no information available for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species. Consequently there is an information gap for Annex X, Section 8.7.2. and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

## **CONFIDENTIAL** 3 (4)



The Registrant has submitted a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species according to EU B.31/OECD 414.

ECHA considers that the proposed study is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex X, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation.

The Registrant did not specify the species and route for testing. The test in the first species was carried out by testing a rodent species and ECHA therefore considers that the test in a second species should be carried out in a non-rodent species. According to the test method EU B.31/OECD 414, the rabbit is the preferred non-rodent species and the test substance is usually administered orally. ECHA considers these default parameters appropriate and testing should be performed by the oral route with the rabbit.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is thus requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rabbits, oral route (test method: EU B.31/OECD 414).

## B. Deadline for submitting the required information

In the draft decision communicated to the Registrant the time indicated to provide the requested information was 12 months from the date of adoption of the decision. In his comments on the draft decision, the Registrant requested an extension of the timeline to 15 months without further justification. ECHA Secretariat sent a REACH IT message to the Registrant on 8 January 2015 with the request to provide documentary evidence by 30 January 2015. The Registrant did not respond within the requested time. Therefore, ECHA has not modified the deadline of the decision.

## IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of examination of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the new study meet real information needs. Within this context, the Registrant's dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance to the extent necessary for examination of the testing proposal. The Registrant must note, however, that this information, or the information submitted by other registrants of the same substance, has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In relation to the proposed test, the sample of substance used for the new study must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is within the specifications of the substance composition that are given by the joint registrants. It is the responsibility of all joint registrants of the same substance to agree to the test proposed (as applicable to their tonnage level) and to document the necessary information on their substance composition.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new study is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured by each registrant. If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new study must be suitable to assess these grades.

Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grade(s) registered to enable the relevance of the study to be assessed.

## **CONFIDENTIAL** 4 (4)



# V. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at <a href="http://www.echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals">http://www.echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals</a>. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.

Ofelia Bercaru Head of Unit, Evaluation