

3 May 2021

SEAC/M/50/2021 FINAL

Final

Minutes of the 50th meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

**9-12 March 2021
and
16-17 March 2021**

I. Summary Record of the Proceeding

1) Welcome and apologies

María Ottati, Chair of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC), ECHA, welcomed the participants of the 50th meeting of SEAC.

The Chair informed the participants that the meeting would not be recorded. The list of attendees is given in Part III of the minutes.

2) Adoption of the Agenda

The Chair introduced the final draft agenda of SEAC-50. The agenda was adopted with minor modifications (in line with SEAC/A/50/2021rev1). The Chair explained that the meeting would be partly chaired by the Deputy Chair Kalle Kivelä.

The final agenda is attached to these minutes as Annex III. The list of all meeting documents is attached to these minutes as Annex I.

3) Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

The Chair requested members and their advisors participating in the meeting to declare any conflicts of interest to any of the specific agenda items. Four members declared potential conflicts of interest to the substance-related discussions under the Agenda Items 5.1b-1) and 5.1b-2). These members did not participate in voting under those Agenda Items, as stated in Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure.

The Chair and Deputy Chair declared their absence of conflict of interest for all items of SEAC-50 plenary meeting.

The list with declared conflicts of interest is given in Annex II of these minutes.

4) Report from other ECHA bodies and activities

a) Report on SEAC-49 action points, written procedures, and update on other ECHA bodies

The Chair informed the participants that all action points of SEAC-49 had been completed or would be followed up during the on-going SEAC-50 meeting.

The Chair also informed the Committee that the final minutes of SEAC-49 had been adopted by written procedure and had been uploaded to S-CIRCABC as well as on the ECHA website. The Chair thanked members for providing comments on the draft SEAC-49 minutes.

A representative of the Commission updated the Committee on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and in CARACAL.

b) General SEAC procedures (closed session)

1) Revised SEAC rules of procedures

The Secretariat presented to the Committee the proposed revisions to the SEAC Rules of Procedure, which are related to the updated stakeholder procedure and co-opted members (in line with the restricted meeting document SEAC/45/2019/03). The Committee agreed to the proposed revisions and the Secretariat was requested to forward the revised SEAC RoPs to the ECHA Management Board for their adoption.

2) SEAC co-opted members

The Chair informed the meeting that the three-year terms of the current co-opted members in RAC and SEAC expires in September 2021.

From ECHA's point of view, their contribution to the work of the Committee has been very valuable. The anticipated workload in the coming years justifies maintaining additional specialist capacity. In the interest of transparency, ECHA has chosen to use an open call to select candidates for all 10 co-opted places in RAC and SEAC. The Chair invited the members to agree on the draft proposal for co-opting additional members in line with the meeting document (SEAC/50/2021/02), which confirms the selection procedure and the required competences.

SEAC agreed on the selection procedure as proposed by the Secretariat and on the required competences. It was agreed to also add experience in industrial setting as a desirable characteristic. SEAC also agreed on the composition of the Selection and Appeals panels.

3) Procedure for admission of Accredited Stakeholder Organisations

The Secretariat presented to the Committee the proposed update regarding the approach on the admission of observers from accredited stakeholder organisations to the work of the ECHA committees (in line with the restricted meeting document SEAC/50/2021/03.)

SEAC agreed on the revised procedure for admission of Accredited Stakeholder Organisation observers. The revised procedure will be published on ECHA website after endorsement by the ECHA Management Board.

5) Restrictions

5.1 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

a) Conformity check and key issues discussion

1) Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackle

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representative from ECHA, the RAC rapporteur, the occasional stakeholders and their accompanying industry experts. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in January 2021.

The Dossier Submitter's representative provided an introductory presentation on the dossier. He explained that the proposed restriction aims at 'addressing the risks for human health and the environment posed by the use of lead in ammunition, i.e. gunshot used in terrains other than wetlands, bullets and pellets used both in wetlands and in terrains other than wetlands, as well as of lead in fishing tackle', as per the request of the Commission. The restriction proposal is complementary to the existing restriction on the use of lead gunshot in wetlands.

Members and the occasional stakeholder commented on the Dossier Submitter's presentation. The RAC rapporteur then informed SEAC-50 participants that RAC had concluded that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements at RAC-56.

The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the outcome of the conformity check and the recommendations to the Dossier Submitter.

The Committee discussed the case. The Committee then agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements. In addition, the rapporteurs presented their key issues regarding the restriction proposal. The Secretariat informed about the proposal to involve additional members for structured support to the rapporteurs, and will circulate the terms of reference about this after the meeting. Interested SEAC members were requested to volunteer for the support group to assist with the evaluation. The Chair informed the Committee that the Consultation on this restriction proposal will be launched on 24 March 2021.

b) Opinion development

- 1) Undecafluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA), its salts and related substances – Status update

The Deputy Chair provided a short update from the ad hoc meeting held on 15 February 2021 and informed SEAC-50 participants that the SEAC draft opinion was supposed to be discussed for agreement at SEAC-50 but the item has been removed from the SEAC-50 agenda due to difficulties for RAC to proceed with the evaluation of the dossier. As the RAC opinion development was put on hold, the opinion development timeline for SEAC has also been postponed further, in agreement with ECHA management.

The rapporteurs will continue developing the opinion in the meantime, and they are requested to prepare the fifth draft opinion, considering the SEAC commenting round and discussions at the ad hoc meeting held on 15 February, by end of April 2021. SEAC is expected to agree on the draft opinion in June 2021.

- 2) Substances in single-use diapers

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representative from France, the RAC (co-)rapporteurs and the occasional stakeholders. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in October 2020.

The RAC rapporteur provided to the Committee a report from the RAC discussions on this dossier held at RAC-56, and responded to several questions from the SEAC members. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the first draft opinion to the Committee. The occasional

stakeholder observer from EDANA commented on a possible overlap with the proposed restriction on skin sensitising substances. The occasional stakeholder observers from CIRFS and EDANA commented on the source of the contaminants. The regular stakeholder observer from ChemSec commented the type of information still expected from the consultation. The dossier submitter provided a number of clarifying comments. The members pointed out that information was lacking and asked industry to submit more data in the ongoing consultation.

The Chair concluded that the Committee supports the approach of the (co-)rapporteurs so far. Agreement on the scope was, however, noted as conditional on RAC positive evaluation of the Dossier Submitter's risk assessment. The (co-)rapporteurs were requested to prepare the second draft opinion, taking into account the SEAC-50 discussions and the results of the SEAC written consultation, by late April 2021. The members were requested to provide any further comments on the first draft opinion via the ongoing commenting round by 26 March 2021.

5.2 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)

SEAC agreed on the pool of (co-) rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals (in line with the restricted room document SEAC/50/2021/04). As there were still places available, more SEAC members were requested to come forward as volunteers.

6) Authorisation

6.1 General authorisation issues

a) Update on incoming/future applications

The Secretariat presented the information on incoming/future applications for authorisation and review reports, expected workload in 2021 and timelines.

SEAC took note of the update on the new applications for authorisation received during the February 2021 submission window and other AfA-related updates.

b) Update on opinion format

The Secretariat informed the Committee about the ongoing work on updating the opinion format, and presented the ongoing work on simplified applications for legacy spare parts. The Committee members briefly discussed the presentation by the Secretariat. A representative of Eurometaux contributed to the discussion. Development of a simplified opinion format for legacy spare parts may be considered if necessary.

c) Evaluation of review reports

The Secretariat held an orientation discussion on points identified by the ECHA Secretariat, in cooperation with AfA teams that have already worked on review reports and representatives from the European Commission. The Secretariat noted that review reports can be submitted only by authorisation holders, to whom the European Commission had issued an authorisation decision. The submission and opinion development procedures are

the same as for the initial applications for authorisation. The Committees scrutinise the same assessments reports, i.e. RAC and SEAC will evaluate Chemical Safety Report, Analysis of Alternatives, Substitution Plan and Socio-economic Analysis. In addition, Committees will cover the explanatory note accompanying the review report in their assessment. The Secretariat also noted that several review cycles cannot be excluded. SEAC discussed the points raised by the Secretariat. In particular, SEAC members discussed the setting of review periods for the review reports. A representative of Eurometaux contributed to this discussion.

The Committee requested the Secretariat to adjust the opinion format to the review report requirements. The Secretariat will summarise the discussion and prepare an "Approach for review reports" document to be scheduled for discussion and agreement at the SEAC-51 plenary meeting in June 2021, and will update the opinion template accordingly.

d) Continuation of discussion on paper on SEAC's approach to assessing changes in producer surplus

The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the approach to assessing changes in Producer Surplus in applications for authorisation. The Committee requested the Secretariat to consider the discussions and to launch a SEAC written commenting round on the revised document. The Secretariat requested SEAC members to provide concrete text proposals on how to improve the presented document. The Secretariat will table the updated document for agreement in SEAC-51 in June 2021.

6.2 Authorisation applications

a) Discussion on key issues

1. 4 applications for authorisation/review reports (chromium trioxide, trichloroethylene, 4-nonylphenol, branched and linear, ethoxylated) from November 2020 submission window

The Secretariat, in cooperation with the SEAC rapporteurs, provided general information regarding the new applications for authorisation and specified the identified key issues in the applications listed below:

- 227_RR1_TCE_ROQUETTE (single use)
- 228_CT_Eaton (single use)
- 229_RR1_CT_Volta (single use)
- 230_NPE_Safran (single use)

b) Agreement on draft opinion

- 1) 212_CT_Lars (2 uses)

This is an application for authorisation on two uses of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Industrial use of chromium trioxide for the etching, pre-treatment step in the electroplating process of functional chrome plating with decorative character.

Use 2: Industrial use of chromium trioxide for the functional chrome plating with decorative character for automotive and sanitary industry.

The SEAC members discussed availability of the alternatives and the applicant's substitution activities, relocation costs claimed by the applicant and the length of the review period. A representative of the European Commission asked a question on availability of alternatives in the EU.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinions by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. The Secretariat will send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting. The rapporteurs and the Secretariat will consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinions have been agreed by RAC.

2) 213_CT_SteelColor (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on one use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Use of chromium trioxide as colouring and hardening agent for stainless steel plates.

A SEAC member asked a question about the cost calculation methodology by the applicant.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting. The rapporteurs and the Secretariat will consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC.

3) 214_CT_Salzgitter (2 uses)

This is an application for authorisation on two uses of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Functional chrome plating using chromium trioxide in closed reactor systems for the establishment of a 'conventional' hard chrome coating on working rolls applied in the steel industry for the pre-manufacturing of cold-rolled, high-quality textured sheet metal.

Use 2: Pretex® functional chrome plating using chromium trioxide in closed reactor systems for the establishment of adjustable hemispherical surface structures on working rolls applied in the steel industry for the manufacture of cold-rolled, high quality textured sheet metal.

SEAC members reflected on applicant's confidentiality claims on exact quantity of releases of the SVHC substance to the environment, availability of alternatives and the applicant's substitution activities, calculations of costs, monetised health effects in the draft opinions, as well as the length of the review period recommended and how it is expressed in the opinion.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinions by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. The Secretariat will send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting. The rapporteurs and the Secretariat will consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinions have been agreed by RAC.

4) 215_CT_Oras (2 uses)

This is an application for authorisation on two uses of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Electroplating of metal and plastic substrates using chromium trioxide to achieve functional surfaces for sanitary applications.

Use 2: Pre-treatment ("etching") of plastic substrates using chromium trioxide for electroplating processes in sanitary applications.

SEAC members reflected on applicant's confidentiality claims on exact quantity of releases of the SVHC substance to the environment, applicant's costs related to implementation of a non-use scenario, a cost-benefit calculation methodology, relocation costs, availability of alternatives, and the length of the review period.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinions by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. The Secretariat will send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting. The rapporteurs and the Secretariat will consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinions have been agreed by RAC.

5) 216_CT_Viega (2 uses)

This is an application for authorisation on two uses of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Electroplating of different types of substrates using chromium trioxide to achieve functional surfaces with high durability and a bright silvery appearance for sanitary applications.

Use 2: Etching of plastics with chromium trioxide as pre-treatment step for electroplating processes.

SEAC members discussed analysis of alternatives and substitution activities by the applicant. SEAC supported rapporteurs' proposal to include the relocation costs in the overall quantitative assessment of the impacts, even if relocation takes place outside the EEA. This is because the applicant convincingly demonstrated that these costs will be paid by the company in the EEA. The SEAC Secretariat will look into possibilities to harmonise SEAC's assessment of impacts occurring outside the EEA and come back to the Committee with a proposal on a harmonised approach.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinions by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. The Secretariat will send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting. The rapporteurs and the Secretariat will consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinions have been agreed by RAC.

6) 217_Diglyme_Acton_2 (2 uses)

This is an application for authorisation on two uses of bis(2-methoxyethyl) ether (diglyme):

Use 1: Use of bis(2-methoxyethyl) ether (diglyme) as a carrier solvent in the formulation and subsequent application of sodium naphthalide etchant for fluoropolymer surface modification whilst preserving article structural integrity (in-house processes).

Use 2: Use of bis(2-methoxyethyl) ether (diglyme) as a carrier solvent in the formulation and subsequent application of sodium naphthalide etchant for fluoropolymer surface modification whilst preserving article structural integrity (in-house processes).

A SEAC member made a remark about the cost of non-use scenarios.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinions by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. The Secretariat will send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting.

c) Adoption of opinion

1) 200_OPE_RSI (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated:

Use 1: Use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated as surfactant in in-vitro diagnostic device developer solution.

It was received by the Committee in February 2020. SEAC agreed on the draft opinion during SEAC-48 plenary meeting. On 22 January 2021 the applicant submitted comments on the draft opinion.

The SEAC members briefly discussed issues with the return system of the used laboratory diagnostic devices.

The Committee adopted the opinion by consensus. The rapporteur, together with Secretariat will do the final editing of the SEAC opinion. The Secretariat will send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States and the applicant, and publish it on the ECHA website.

2) 205_OPE_Pfizer (2 uses)

This is an application for authorisation on two uses of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated:

Use 1: The use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated (4-tert-OPnEO) (Triton X-100) as a surfactant in the manufacture of biopharmaceuticals - Viral Inactivation and Associated Processes.

Use 2: The use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated (4-tert-OPnEO) (Triton X-100) as a surfactant in the manufacture of biopharmaceuticals - Post Production Cleaning.

It was received by the Committee in February 2020. SEAC agreed on the draft opinion during SEAC-48 plenary meeting. On 25 January 2021 the applicant submitted comments on the draft opinion on Use 1.

The SEAC rapporteurs noted that the received comments were not relevant for the SEAC draft opinion.

The Committee adopted the opinions by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with Secretariat will do the final editing of the SEAC opinions. The Secretariat will send the opinions to the Commission, the Member States and the applicant, and publish them on the ECHA website.

6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)

The pool of (co-)rapporteurs, as outlined in the restricted room document SEAC/50/2020/05 rev.01, was agreed by SEAC.

7) Requests under Article 77(3)(c)

a) Substitution plan

1. CT_Hapoc_1

The rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed a draft addendum to the Committees' opinion on the application for authorisation adopted in September 2018. The draft addendum contains the evaluation of the Substitution Plan submitted by the applicant to ECHA following a request by the European Commission.

The Committee members discussed the approach taken by the rapporteurs for the evaluation of the Substitution Plan. Representatives of Eurometaux and the European Commission contributed to the discussion.

The Committee agreed on the draft addendum by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the draft addendum to reflect the points raised in the discussion. The Secretariat will send the draft addendum to the applicant for commenting.

2. CT_Chemservice

The rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed a draft addendum to the Committees' opinion on the application for authorisation adopted in September 2016. The draft addendum contains the evaluation of the Substitution Plan submitted by the applicant to ECHA following a request by the European Commission.

The Committee members discussed, mainly, the perceived level of detail (i.e. lack of granularity) provided by the applicant in the Substitution Plan, as well as the technical and regulatory challenges contained in the Substitution Plan, and the approach taken by the rapporteurs for the evaluation of the Substitution Plan. Representatives of the European Commission, Eurometaux and ChemSec contributed to the discussion.

The Committee agreed on the draft addendum by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the draft addendum to reflect the points raised in the discussion. The Secretariat will send the draft addendum to the applicant for commenting.

3. CT_REACHLaw

The rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed a draft addendum to the Committees' opinion on the application for authorisation adopted in May 2017. The draft addendum contains the evaluation of the Substitution Plan submitted by the applicant to ECHA following a request by the European Commission.

The Committee's members discussed, mainly, the perceived level of detail (i.e. lack of granularity) provided by the applicant in the Substitution Plan, as well as the technical and

regulatory challenges contained in the Substitution Plan, and the approach taken by the rapporteurs for the evaluation of the Substitution Plan. Representatives of the European Commission, Eurometaux and ChemSec contributed to the discussion.

The Committee agreed on the draft addendum by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the draft addendum to reflect the points raised in the discussion. The Secretariat will send the draft addendum to the applicant for commenting.

4. MOCA_REACHLaw

The rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed a draft addendum to the Committees' opinion on the application for authorisation adopted in November 2017. The draft addendum contains the evaluation of the Substitution Plan submitted by the applicant to ECHA following a request by the European Commission.

The Committee's members discussed the overall quality of the received Substitution Plan, the contextual information on the economic feasibility provided by the applicant, the applicant's justification for concluding that suitable alternatives in general are not available, and the approach taken by the rapporteurs for the evaluation of the Substitution Plan. Representatives of the European Commission and Eurometaux contributed to the discussion.

The Committee agreed on the draft addendum by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the draft addendum. The Secretariat will send the draft addendum to the applicant for commenting.

8) AOB

a) Update of the work plan

The Secretariat provided an update of the work plan for the future months.

9) Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-50

A table with the action points and main conclusions is given in Part II below.

II. Main conclusions and action points

SEAC-50, 9-12 March 2021 and 16-17 March 2021

(Adopted at SEAC-50 meeting)

Agenda point	
Conclusions / decisions / minority opinions	Action requested after the meeting (by whom/by when)
2. Adoption of the agenda	
The agenda was adopted with minor modifications (SEAC/A/50/2021rev1).	
3. Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda	
Conflicts of interest have been declared and will be included in the minutes.	
4. Report from other ECHA bodies and activities	
a) Report on SEAC-49 action points, written procedures and update on other ECHA bodies	
SEAC was informed on the status of the action points of SEAC-49. Furthermore, SEAC took note of the report from other ECHA bodies, including the oral report from the Commission on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee.	
b) General SEAC procedures (closed session)	
1) Revised SEAC rules of procedures	
SEAC agreed to the proposed revisions to the SEAC RoPs (in line with the restricted meeting document SEAC/50/2021/01).	SECR to forward the revised SEAC Rules of Procedure to ECHA's Management Board for their adoption.
2) SEAC co-opted members	
SEAC took note of and discussed the restricted meeting document on co-opted members (SEAC/50/2021/02.) SEAC agreed on proposals for the required competences and selection procedure for co-opting additional members. Furthermore, SEAC agreed on the members of the Selection and Appeal panels.	SECR to take note of discussions on the call for expression of interest on the appointment of co-opted members.
3) Procedure for admission of Accredited Stakeholders Organisation	

<p>SEAC took note of and discussed the restricted meeting document on Accredited Stakeholder Organisation observers (SEAC/50/2021/03.)</p> <p>SEAC agreed on the revised procedure for admission of Accredited Stakeholder Organisation observers.</p>	<p>SECR to publish the updated approach on the ECHA website and to update the list of SEAC STOs on ECHA website.</p>
<p>5. Restrictions</p>	
<p>5.1 Restriction Annex XV dossiers</p>	
<p>a) Conformity check and key issues discussion</p>	
<p>1. Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles</p>	
<p>SEAC agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements.</p> <p>SEAC took note of the recommendations to the dossier submitter.</p>	<p>SECR to compile the RAC and SEAC final outcomes of the conformity check and upload this to S-CIRCABC IG.</p> <p>SECR to launch a consultation on the restriction proposal on 24 March 2021.</p> <p>SECR to circulate the terms of reference for structured support from SEAC members to the rapporteurs.</p> <p>SEAC members to volunteer for the support group.</p>
<p>b) Opinion development</p>	
<p>1. Undecafluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA), its salts and related substances – Status update</p>	
<p>SEAC was provided with a status update on the opinion development, including the report back from the ad hoc meeting held on 15 February 2021.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs to prepare the fifth draft opinion, taking into account ad hoc meeting discussions and the SEAC written consultation, as well as the developments in the RAC opinion, by late April 2021.</p>
<p>2. Substances in single-use diapers – first draft opinion</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft opinion.</p>	<p>SEAC members to provide any remaining comments via the written consultation on the first draft opinion (by 26 March 2021).</p> <p>Rapporteurs to prepare the second draft opinion, taking into account SEAC-50 discussions and the SEAC written consultation, by late April 2021.</p> <p>SECR to investigate the potential overlap with the EU POPs Regulation.</p>

5.2 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)	
SEAC agreed on the pool of (co-) rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals (in line with the restricted room document SEAC/50/2021/04).	SEAC members to come forward as volunteers to the pools of (co-) rapporteurs for upcoming restriction proposals.
6. Authorisation	
6.1 General authorisation issues	
a) Update on incoming/future applications	
SEAC took note of the update on the new AfAs received during the February 2021 submission window and other AfA-related updates.	
b) Update on the opinion format	
SECR informed SEAC about the ongoing work on updating the opinion format. SECR presented the ongoing work on simplified applications for legacy spare parts. SEAC discussed the presentation by the Secretariat.	
c) Evaluation of review reports	
SEAC discussed the questions proposed by SECR and the Commission concerning the approach to evaluation of review reports. SECR was requested to adjust opinion format to the RR requirements.	SECR to summarise the discussion and prepare an "Approach for review reports" document to schedule it for discussion and for agreement at SEAC-51 and update accordingly the opinion template.
a) Continuation of discussion on paper on SEAC'S approach to assessing changes in producer surplus	
SEAC discussed the updated version of the document on SEAC'S Approach to Assessing Changes in Producer Surplus.	SECR to consider the discussions and to launch a SEAC written commenting round on the revised document. SEAC members to provide concrete text proposals on how to improve the document. SECR to table the document for agreement in SEAC-51 (where relevant).
6.2 Authorisation applications	
a) Discussion on key issues	

1) 4 applications for authorisation/review reports (chromium trioxide, trichloroethylene, 4-nonylphenol, branched and linear, ethoxylated) from November 2020 submission window	
SEAC discussed the key issues identified in the applications for authorisation and the review reports.	Rapporteurs are requested to prepare the first versions of the draft opinions, taking into account the SEAC-50 discussions.
b) Agreement on draft opinions	
1) 212_CT_Lars (2 uses)	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions. SEAC agreed on its draft opinions (with modifications agreed at SEAC-50) on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting. Rapporteurs and SECR to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinions have been agreed by RAC.
2) 213_CT_SteelColor (1 use)	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion. SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-50) on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting. Rapporteurs and SECR to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC.
3) 214_CT_Salzgitter (2 uses)	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions. SEAC agreed on its draft opinions (with editorials agreed at SEAC-50) on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicant for commenting. Rapporteurs and SECR to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinions have been agreed by RAC.
4) 215_CT_Oras (2 uses)	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicant for commenting.

<p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinions (with editorials agreed at SEAC-50) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs and SECR to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinions have been agreed by RAC.</p>
<p>5) 216_CT_Viega (2 uses)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinions (with editorials agreed at SEAC-50) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting.</p> <p>Rapporteurs and SECR to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinions have been agreed by RAC.</p>
<p>6) 217_Diglyme_Acton_2 (2 uses)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteur presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinions (with editorials agreed at SEAC-50) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicant for commenting.</p>
<p>c) Adoption of opinion</p>	
<p>1) 200_OPE_RSI (1 use)</p>	
<p>The SEAC rapporteur presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft final opinion.</p> <p>SEAC adopted its opinion on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteur together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States and the applicant, and to publish it on the ECHA website.</p>
<p>2) 205_OPE_Pfizer (2 uses)</p>	
<p>The SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft final opinions.</p> <p>SEAC adopted its opinions on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC opinions.</p> <p>SECR to send the opinions to the Commission, the Member States and the applicant, and to publish them on the ECHA website.</p>
<p>6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)</p>	
<p>SEAC agreed on the updated pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation (considered as agreement on appointment in line</p>	<p>SEAC members to volunteer to the pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation.</p>

with the restricted room document SEAC/50/2021/05_rev1).	SECR to upload the updated document to confidential folder on S-CIRCABC IG.
7. Requests under Article 77(3)(c)	
1) Substitution Plans	
1) CT_Hapoc_1	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft addendum. SEAC agreed on the draft addendum (with modifications agreed at SEAC-50) on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the draft addendum. SECR to send the draft addendum to the applicant for commenting.
2) CT_Chemservice	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft addendum. SEAC agreed on the draft addendum (with modifications agreed at SEAC-50) on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the draft addendum. SECR to send the draft addendum to the applicant for commenting.
3) CT_REACHLaw	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft addendum. SEAC agreed on the draft addendum (with modifications agreed at SEAC-50) on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the draft addendum. SECR to send the draft addendum to the applicant for commenting.
4) MOCA_REACHLaw	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft addendum. SEAC agreed on the draft addendum on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the draft addendum. SECR to send the draft addendum to the applicant for commenting.
8. Any other business	
a) Update of the work plan	
SEAC took note of the presentation on the work plan for future months.	
9. Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-50	

SEAC adopted the action points and main conclusions of SEAC-50.	
---	--

III. List of Attendees SEAC-50

SEAC members
ALEXANDRE João
ANASTASIOU Christos
ANTONIADOU Sofia
BERGS Ivars
BRIGNON Jean-Marc
CASTELLI Stefano
CAVALIERI Luisa
COGEN Simon
DOMINIAK Dorota
DOLENC Darko
FANKHAUSER Simone
GABBERT Silke
ISKRA Jernej
JANSSEN Martien
JOMINI Stéphane
JONES Derrick
JOYCE John
KAJIC Silva
KIISKI Johanna
LEAHY Eimear
LÜDEKE Andreas
MUNCRIEF Sandi
MÅGE Marit
NIKOVA Julieta
PETERSEN Ida Svostrup
RODRIGUEZ HERNANDEZ Manuel
ROUW Aart
SCHUCHTAR Endre
SHAKHRAMANYAN Nikolinka
THIELE Karen
TURVEY Alex
URBAN Klaus
ZIEMIENE Jurgita

Commission observers
BENGYUZOV Manol (DG GROW)
BLUMTRITT Kaja (DG GROW)
GALLEGO Matteo (DG ENV)
PEDERSEN Finn (DG ENV)
SVÄRD Amie (DG GROW)

ECHA STAFF
ANAGNOSTAKIS Kostas
DOYLE Simone
FRANKE Greta
GERVASUTTI Simone
GMEINDER Michael
GODINA PENT Daniele
GRISHANKOVA Elena
HENRICHSON Sanna
HÄMÄLÄINEN Eva
KIVELÄ Kalle
KVATCHADZE Giorgi
LAZIC Nina
LEGZDINA Ilze
LOGTMEIJER Christiaan
LUDBORZS Arnis
MANNERVESI Maija
MARQUEZ-CAMACHO Mercedes
MOTTET Denis
NICOT Thierry
NURMI Väinö
NYGÅRD Daniel
ORISPÄÄ Katja
OTTATI Maria
PELTOLA Jukka
PILLET Monique
POPOVIC Marko
REGIL Pablo
RHEINBERGER Christoph
ROSSI Ludovica
SIMPSON Peter
SOSNOWSKI Piotr
THIERRY-MIEG Morgane
UPHOFF Andreas
VAINIO Matti
ZEIGER Bastian

Advisors, invited experts, observers & dossier submitters (DS)
ASSMANN Mervi as advisor to Johanna KIISKI
CARLSSON FENG Mattias as advisor to Alex Turvey
CROMIE Ruth (UNEP/AEWA) as invited expert
DE BLAEIJ Arianne as advisor to Martien JANSSEN
DERELIEV Sergey (UNEP/AEWA) as invited expert
DUBOIS Céline as dossier submitter for the Substances in single-use diapers restriction
ERDMANN Christian as dossier submitter for the PFHxA restriction
FIORE Karine as dossier submitter for the Substances in single-use diapers
HELMEDACH Achim as advisor to Karen THIELE and as dossier submitter for the PFHxA restriction
LADARU Raluca, future SEAC member, as invited expert
LEFEVRE-BREVART Sandrine (ECHA) as dossier submitter for the Lead restriction
LEINALA Eeva (OECD) as invited expert
LIBERSKA Ewa as advisor to Dorota DOMINIAK
LOGTMEIJER Christiaan (ECHA) as dossier submitter for the Lead restriction
MAZZOLINI Anna (ECHA) as dossier submitter for the Lead restriction
MORO IACOPINI Sabrina as advisor to Stefano CASTELLI
OLIVER Peters as advisor to Karen THIELE
REALE Priscilla as advisor to Luisa CAVALIERI
REUTER Ulrike (ECHA) as dossier submitter for the Lead restriction
RHEINBERGER Christoph (ECHA) as dossier submitter for the Lead restriction

Stakeholder observers & accompanying experts
BALLACH Jochen (CIRFS= European Man-made Fibres Association) as Occasional Stakeholder for Substances in single-use diapers
BARBU Luminita (EDANA) as Occasional Stakeholder for Substances in single-use diapers and PFHxA
BOCK Ronald (AGC) as accompanying expert to PlasticsEurope for PFHxA
DUGUY H�elene (ClientEarth)
H�OK Frida (ChemSec)
JANOSI Amaya (Cefic)
LEONHARDT Thomas (Eurofeu) as Occasional Stakeholder for PFHxA
PUUSTINEN Seppo (FACE – European Federation for Hunting and Conservation) as Occasional Stakeholder for Lead in ammunition
ROMANO Dolores (EEB = European Environmental Bureau)
WAETERSCHOOT Hugo (Eurometaux = European Association of the Metals Industry)

RAC rapporteurs
KAPELARI Sonja
SANTONEN Tiina
VARNAI Veda

IV. List of Annexes

- ANNEX I. List of documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis
- ANNEX II. Declared conflicts of interest
- ANNEX III. Final Agenda

ANNEX I**Documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis**

Document	Number
Final Draft Agenda	SEAC/A/50/2021
General SEAC procedures: Revised SEAC rules of procedures	SEAC/50/2021/01 (restricted meeting documents)
General SEAC procedures: SEAC co-opted members	SEAC/50/2021/02 (restricted meeting documents)
General SEAC procedures: Procedure for admission of Accredited Stakeholder Organisation observers	SEAC/50/2021/03 (restricted meeting documents)
Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers	SEAC/50/2021/04 (restricted room document)
Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications	SEAC/50/2021/05 (restricted room document)
Paper on SEAC'S approach to assessing changes in producer surplus	SEAC/50/2021/06 (room document)

ANNEX II**DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST TO THE RESPECTIVE AGENDA ITEMS**

The following participants declared conflicts of interests with the agenda items below (according to Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure):

<u>Name of participant</u>	<u>Agenda item</u>	<u>Interest declared</u>
BRIGNON Jean-Marc	5.1b-2) Substances in single-use diapers	Working for the MS submitting the dossier
JOMINI Stéphane	5.1b-2) Substances in single-use diapers	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
LÜDEKE Andreas	5.1b-1) Undecafluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
THIELE Karen	5.1b-1) Undecafluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier

Final Agenda
50th meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

9-12 March
and
16-17 March 2021

Web-based meeting

Tuesday 9 March starts at 14.00
Friday 12 March breaks at 16.50
Tuesday 16 March resumes at 10.00
Wednesday 17 March ends at 13.00

Item 1 – Welcome and Apologies

Item 2 – Adoption of the Agenda

SEAC/A/50/2021
For adoption

Item 3 – Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

Item 4 – Report from other ECHA bodies and activities

- c)** Report on SEAC-49 action points, written procedures and update on other ECHA bodies
- d)** General SEAC procedures (closed session)
 - 1) Revised SEAC rules of procedures
 - 2) SEAC co-opted members
 - 3) Procedure for admission of Accredited Stakeholder Organisation observers

SEAC/50/2021/01
SEAC/50/2021/02
SEAC/50/2021/03
Restricted meeting documents
For information and agreement

Item 5 – Restrictions

5.1 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

a) Conformity check and key issues discussion

- 1) Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles

For discussion and agreement

b) Opinion development

- 1) Undecafluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA), its salts and related substances – Status update

For information

- 2) Substances in single-use diapers – first draft opinion

For discussion

5.2 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)

SEAC/50/2021/04

Restricted room document

For agreement

Item 6 – Authorisation

6.1 General authorisation issues

- a) Update on incoming/future applications
- b) Update of the opinion format
- c) Evaluation of review reports

For information

- d) Continuation of discussion on paper on SEAC'S approach to assessing changes in producer surplus

SEAC/50/2021/06

Room document

For discussion and agreement

6.4 Authorisation applications

- d) Discussion on key issues

1. Four applications for authorisation/review reports (chromium trioxide, trichloroethylene, 4-nonylphenol, branched and linear, ethoxylated) from November 2020 submission window

For discussion

e) Agreement on draft opinion

1. 212_CT_Lars (2 uses)
2. 213_CT_SteelColor (1 use)
3. 214_CT_Salzgitter (2 uses)
4. 215_CT_Oras (2 uses)
5. 216_CT_Viega (2 uses)
6. 217_Diglyme_Acton_2 (2 uses)

For discussion and agreement

f) Adoption of opinion

1. 200_OPE_RSI (1 use)
2. 205_OPE_Pfizer (2 uses)

For discussion and adoption

6.5 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)

***SEAC/50/2021/05
Restricted room document
For agreement***

Item 7 – Article 77(3)(c) requests

1) Substitution Plans

5. CT_Hapoc_1
6. CT_Chemservice
7. CT_REACHLaw
8. MOCA_REACH_Law

For discussion and agreement

Item 8 – AOB

a) Update of the work plan

For information

Item 9 – Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-50

Table with Conclusions and Action points from SEAC-50

For adoption