

23 February 2022

SEAC/M/53/2021 FINAL

Final

Minutes of the 53rd meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

**30 November-3 December
and
7-8 December 2021**

I. Summary Record of the Proceeding

1) Welcome

María Ottati, Chair of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC), ECHA, welcomed the participants to the 53rd meeting of SEAC.

The Chair informed the participants that the meeting would not be recorded. The list of attendees is given in Part III of the minutes.

2) Adoption of the Agenda

The Chair introduced the final draft agenda of SEAC-53. The agenda was adopted with minor modifications (in line with SEAC/A/53/2021rev1). The Chair mentioned that the meeting would be partly chaired by the Deputy Chair Kalle Kivelä.

The final agenda is attached to these minutes as Annex III. The list of all meeting documents is attached to these minutes as Annex I.

3) Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

The Chair requested members and their advisors participating in the meeting to declare any conflicts of interest to any of the specific agenda items. Five members declared potential conflicts of interest to the substance-related discussions under the Agenda Items 5.2b-2), 5.2b-4) and 5.2b-5). These members did not participate in voting under those Agenda Items, as stated in Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure.

The Chair and Deputy Chair declared their absence of conflict of interest for all items of SEAC-53 plenary meeting.

The list with declared conflicts of interest is given in Annex II of these minutes.

4) General SEAC procedures

a) Report on SEAC-52 action points and written procedures

The Chair informed the participants that all action points of SEAC-52 had been completed or would be followed up during the on-going SEAC-53 meeting.

The Chair also informed the Committee that the final minutes of SEAC-52 had been adopted by written procedure and had been uploaded to S-CIRCABC as well as on the ECHA website. The Chair thanked members for providing comments on the draft SEAC-52 minutes. She also informed SEAC that that due to the holiday period, the draft minutes would be made available for comments a bit later than normal, in January 2022.

Representatives of the Commission updated the Committee on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and in CARACAL. The Commission also provided an update from the workshops on review of REACH authorisation and restriction processes held in November.

5) Restrictions

5.1 General restriction issues

a) The role of SEAC's opinion in the decision-making phase

The Committee took note of the presentation from the Commission regarding the decision-making phase for restriction proposals, including the role of SEAC's opinion.

5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

a) Conformity check and key issues discussion

1) Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from ECHA and the RAC rapporteurs. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in October 2021.

The Dossier Submitter's representatives then provided an introductory presentation on the restriction proposal. Members and an accompanying expert to a regular stakeholder observer asked clarifying questions on the Dossier Submitter presentation. The Chair informed the participants that RAC had concluded that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements at RAC-59.

The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the outcome of the conformity check and the recommendations to the Dossier Submitter.

The Committee agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements. In addition, the rapporteurs presented the key issues that they have identified for the evaluation of the restriction proposal. The Chair informed the Committee that the Consultation on this restriction proposal will be launched on 22 December 2021.

b) Opinion development

1) 2,4-dinitrotoluene – First draft opinion (not for discussion in this meeting)

No discussions were held at SEAC-53 due to the application of a simplified opinion development approach on this case. The members were requested to provide written comments on the first draft opinion (which was made available for consultation prior to the plenary) by 14 January 2022. The rapporteur will prepare the second draft opinion for discussion at SEAC-54 in March 2022.

2) 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.1^{6,9}.0^{2,13}.0^{5,10}]octadeca-7,15-diene ("Dechlorane Plus"™) – Second draft opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from Norway, the RAC rapporteurs as well as the regular and occasional stakeholders. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in April 2021 and summarised the state of play of the opinion-making process.

The Secretariat informed the Committee that RAC had discussed its second draft opinion at the RAC-59 Restriction Working Group and at the RAC-59 plenary and one of the RAC rapporteurs summarised these discussions. Following a question from SEAC members, the RAC rapporteurs clarified that emissions result largely from the waste lifecycle stage. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the second draft opinion to the Committee.

Members commented on derogations, alternatives, and the status of manufacturing in the EU. SEAC rapporteurs addressed these comments in plenary and no further changes to the opinion in these respects were requested. Members further commented on the cost assessment. Rapporteurs were also asked to elaborate on the impacts that a cease of manufacture in the EU and globally may have on the cost assessment. Members also commented on the benefits and the Dossier Submitter provided clarifying remarks. The Dossier Submitter will check the links to the referenced material to ensure the calculation of benefits is transparent. On proportionality, members requested that rapporteurs consider all available information rather than relying just on cost effectiveness to conclude, and that there is a detailed discussion of the comparison between the different ROs.

The Chair concluded that there was general support by SEAC regarding the scope and derogations as presented by the rapporteurs, pending any further information received via the third-party consultation. Regarding the cost assessment, the Chair concluded that there was general support for the approach taken by the Dossier Submitter. She further concluded that SEAC agrees with the rapporteurs' approach regarding benefits. On proportionality, the Chair pointed to the suggestions for improvements provided by members and asked rapporteurs to elaborate on them for the next version of the opinion.

The (co-)rapporteurs were requested to prepare the third draft opinion by January 2022, taking into account the SEAC-53 discussions, the outcome of the SEAC written consultation and any comments received during the consultation (the deadline for comments is 3 January 2022).

3) Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles – Third draft opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representative from ECHA, the regular and occasional stakeholders and their accompanying industry experts, invited experts, as well as the members of the SEAC support group which was established to support the rapporteurs in the development of the opinion. She summarised the state of play of the opinion-making process.

The RAC rapporteur informed the Committee that RAC had discussed its third draft opinion at the RAC working group on restrictions, as well as at RAC-59, and summarised the conclusions made. The Dossier Submitter then provided a short update on the planned changes to the draft Background Document. The SEAC rapporteurs presented their third draft opinion to the Committee.

Members commented on the scope and costs of the restriction. SEAC also had discussions on benefits, enforceability and proportionality.

The regular stakeholder observers, together with their experts, commented on costs and proportionality. An occasional stakeholder observer commented on the proposed labelling requirements and on costs. The invited experts supported a time limit for the optional derogation for sports shooting with gunshot and commented on the costs and benefits.

The Chair concluded that there was general support by SEAC on the scope. Regarding the costs assessment, the Commission observer requested that the evaluation consider the reliability of the cost ranges estimated by the Dossier Submitter in addition to central estimates. The Chair concluded that major updates in the Background Document are expected prior to a conclusion on the evaluation of the cost calculations (notably for rifle/pistol shooting ranges), but that SEAC supported the approach taken so far by the rapporteurs (i.e. that estimates costs are likely to be in the right order of magnitude).

Regarding the benefits assessment, the Chair concluded that there was general support for the qualitative elements of the benefits assessment. SEAC also discussed proportionality, affordability and the viability of the optional conditional derogation for sports shooting with lead gunshot, particularly with respect to its enforceability (i.e. record keeping).

The (co-)rapporteurs were requested to prepare the fourth draft opinion by January 2022, taking into account the SEAC-53 discussions, the outcome of the SEAC written consultation and the comments received during the consultation. The Chair reminded that the SEAC opinion development was extended due to the large number of comments received. Further three months extension might be needed in the opinion development, more information will follow in due course.

4) PFHxA – Final opinion

The Deputy Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representative from Germany, the regular and occasional stakeholder observers, and their accompanying experts. He informed the participants that the dossier had been submitted in December 2019.

The SEAC (co-)rapporteurs then presented the draft of the SEAC final opinion and the outcome of the consultation on the SEAC draft opinion (with 161 comments received). Members expressed their support for the SEAC rapporteurs' conclusions, and one member asked how to deal with qualitative assessments in complex restriction cases in the future. The regular and occasional stakeholder observers, together with their accompanying experts, commented on the use-specific analysis for derogations (such as on the performance of fluoropolymers, medical devices and textiles, clothing for rescue workers, coating materials etc.). The Deputy Chair concluded that, pending final editorial changes related to the concerns on the definition of medical textiles and information received in

the consultation on coatings on electronic devices, SEAC supported the modifications to the opinion proposed by the SEAC (co-)rapporteurs.

The Committee adopted its final opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs were requested, together with the Secretariat, to do the final editing of the SEAC final opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (Background Document and responses to comments from the consultation) is in line with the adopted SEAC final opinion.

5) Substances in single-use diapers – Final opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from France, the occasional stakeholders and the industry experts accompanying occasional stakeholder observers. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier had been submitted in October 2020 and concerns substances in single-use baby diapers.

The SEAC (co-)rapporteurs then presented the draft of the SEAC final opinion and the outcome of the consultation on the SEAC draft opinion. Members expressed their support for the SEAC opinion. A regular stakeholder commented on the potential source of diaper contamination and on aspects of the impact assessment. A second regular stakeholder commented on the uncertainties in the opinion and how they relate to proportionality.

The Committee adopted its final opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs were requested, together with the Secretariat, to do the final editing of the SEAC final opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (Background Document and responses to comments from the consultation) is in line with the adopted SEAC final opinion.

5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)

SEAC was provided with an update on the upcoming restriction proposals to be submitted in 2022. The Chair informed the Committee that the Secretariat would launch the call for expression of interest for (co-)rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals. The members were invited to volunteer for the pools of (co-)rapporteurs by 31 January 2022.

6) Authorisation

6.1 General authorisation issues

a) Update on incoming/future applications

The Secretariat presented information on incoming/future applications for authorisation and review reports, expected workload in 2022, and timelines.

SEAC took note of the update on the new applications for authorisation received during the November 2021 submission window and other AfA-related updates.

SEAC also took note of the latest updates to the Technical Guidance for SEAC Rapporteurs.

b) Reports on recent studies on substitution

Under this agenda item the Secretariat delivered two presentations: (1) on effects of authorisation on trichloroethylene, and (2) on dynamic effects of substitution in REACH authorisation system.

On the first presentation, SEAC members discussed both methodology and findings of the survey, such as data extrapolation in the survey based on limited number of responses to the survey, cost of renewal of authorisation, availability of alternatives to trichloroethylene. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion by asking a question on tonnage data extrapolation in the survey.

On the second presentation, SEAC members discussed trends in applicants' non-use scenarios, costs of authorisation system to applicants, overall risk reduction trends as the result of the applications for authorisation system, efforts by applicants towards substitution of SVHCs, as well as methodology of the survey. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion by providing a comment of explanatory nature.

c) Customers' performance requirements – level of evidence needed

The Secretariat presented a document on the evidence needed for customers' performance requirements. During the discussion SEAC members provided comments on the document. Representatives of the stakeholder organisations (ChemSec and ClientEarth) contributed to the discussion.

The Secretariat will update the meeting document according to the discussion in the meeting and will add it to the technical guidance for rapporteurs. The instructions in the application format will also be updated. SEAC members will be invited to send any comments to the Secretariat as they start using it. In addition, the Committee decided that the Secretariat shall schedule a follow-up discussion at the SEAC-55 plenary meeting in June 2022, if required based on the received comments.

6.2 Authorisation applications

a) Discussion on key issues

1. 3 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, MOCA) and 2 review reports (TCE) from August 2021 submission window

The Secretariat, in cooperation with the SEAC rapporteurs, provided general information regarding the new applications for authorisation and the review reports, and specified the identified key issues in the applications listed below:

- 242_RR1_TCE_Microporous (single use),
- 243_RR1_TCE_DOMO (single use),
- 244_CT_Cromaplast (two uses),
- 245_CT_Newform (single use),
- 246_MOCA_Courbis (single use).

b) Agreement on draft opinion

1. 232_DtC_Monroe (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of dichromium tris (chromate) (DtC):

Use 1: Use of dichromium tris(chromate) in a post-treatment step of the autodeposition coating process of shock absorbers for automotive vehicles.

SEAC members discussed availability of alternatives and substitution activities by the applicant.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

2. 233_CT_Betz-Chrom (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Chromium trioxide-based functional chrome plating of components with diverse geometries and dimensions, requiring specialized equipment and process knowledge, for applications in demanding industry sectors such as mechanical engineering, metalworking and processing, aerospace, automotive, and medical technology.

SEAC members discussed the scope of the application, substitution activities by the applicant and its length, suitability criteria by the applicant towards the alternative substance and process, and profit loss calculations in the draft opinion.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

3. 234_CT_Kwalter (2 uses)

This is an upstream application for authorisation on two uses of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Formulation of chromium trioxide-based electrolyte for electroplating process.

Use 2: Chromium trioxide-based functional chrome plating of cylinders used in the rotogravure printing and embossing industry.

On the draft opinion on Use 1 the SEAC members discussed duties of the formulator covered by this application.

On Use 2 the SEAC members discussed results of the downstream users' survey performed by the applicant and availability of alternatives. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion regarding distribution rate of the new equipment by the applicant to its downstream users and the re-use of the electroplated cylinders by the downstream users.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinions by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. The Secretariat will send the draft opinions to the applicant for commenting.

4. 235_CA_Neoperl (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromic acid:

Use 1: The use of chromic acid in the functional electroplating of brass-made sanitary articles with the specific purpose of obtaining a final Cr(0) coating that provides a surface with high durability and chemical resistance.

SEAC members discussed cost calculations by the applicant in the application, availability of alternatives, substitution activities by the applicant, their timelines, applicant's criteria

towards potential alternatives and a length of the review period. In addition, the SEAC members also discussed profit losses reflected in the draft opinion. Two representatives of the stakeholder organisations (ChemSec and ClientEarth) also contributed to the discussion by commenting on the surface quality criteria of electroplated articles.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

c) Adoption of opinion

1. 221_CT_SD_USSK (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide and sodium dichromate:

Use 1: Use of Chromium Trioxide and Sodium Dichromate for Passivation of Electrolytic Tinline (ETP).

It was received by the Committee in November 2020. SEAC agreed on the draft opinion during SEAC-51 plenary meeting. On 4 October 2021 the applicant submitted comments on the draft opinion.

The Committee adopted the opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat will do the final editing of the SEAC opinion. The Secretariat will send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States and the applicant, and publish it on the ECHA website.

6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)

The pool of (co-)rapporteurs, as outlined in the restricted room document SEAC/53/2021/04 rev.1 was agreed by SEAC.

7) Requests under Article 77(3)(c)

None.

8) AOB

a) Update of the work plan

The Secretariat provided an update of the work plan for the future months.

9) Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-53

A table with the action points and main conclusions is given in Part II below.

Main conclusions and action points
SEAC-53, 30 November - 3 December and 7-8 December 2021

(Adopted at SEAC-53 meeting)

Agenda point	
Conclusions / decisions / minority opinions	Action requested after the meeting (by whom/by when)
2. Adoption of the agenda	
The agenda was adopted with minor modifications (SEAC/A/53/2021rev1).	
3. Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda	
Conflicts of interest have been declared and will be included in the minutes.	
4. General SEAC procedures	
a) Report on SEAC-52 action points and written procedures	
SEAC was informed of the status of the action points of SEAC-52. Furthermore, SEAC took note of the oral report from the Commission on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee (held in September 2021) and the CARACAL meeting (held in November 2021). The Commission also provided an update from the workshops on review of REACH authorisation and restriction processes held in November.	
5. Restrictions	
5.1 General restriction issues	
a) The role of SEAC's opinion in the decision-making phase	
SEAC took note of the presentation from the Commission regarding the decision-making phase for restriction proposals, including the role of SEAC's opinion.	
5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers	
a) Conformity check and key issues discussion	
1. Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting	
SEAC agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements. SEAC took note of the recommendations to the dossier submitter.	SECR to compile the RAC and SEAC final outcomes of the conformity check and upload this to S-CIRCABC IG.

	SECR to launch a six-month external consultation on the restriction proposal in December 2021.
b) Opinion development	
1. 2,4-dinitrotoluene – First draft opinion (not for discussion in this meeting)	
No discussions held at SEAC-53 due to simplified opinion development approach on this case.	SEAC members to provide written comments on the first draft opinion by 14 January 2022. Rapporteur to prepare the second draft opinion for discussion at SEAC-54 in March 2022.
2. 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.16,9.02,13.05,10]octadeca-7,15-diene (“Dechlorane Plus”™) – Second draft opinion	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the second draft opinion.	Rapporteurs to prepare the third draft opinion, considering SEAC-53 discussions and the outcome of the third-party consultation, by January 2022.
3. Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles – Third draft opinion	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the third draft opinion.	Rapporteurs to prepare the fourth draft opinion, considering SEAC-53 discussions and the outcome of the third party consultation, by January 2022.
4. PFHxA – Final opinion	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft of the final opinion. SEAC adopted its final opinion (with modifications agreed at SEAC-53) by consensus.	Rapporteurs , together with the Secretariat , to do the final editorial changes to the adopted SEAC opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (Background Document and responses to comments from the consultation) is in line with the adopted SEAC opinion. SECR to compile the adopted RAC and SEAC opinions, and to forward it to the Commission.
5. Substances in single-use diapers – Final opinion	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft of the final opinion. SEAC adopted its final opinion by consensus.	Rapporteurs , together with the Secretariat , to do the final editorial changes to the adopted SEAC opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (Background Document and responses to comments from the consultation) is in line with the adopted SEAC opinion. SECR to compile the adopted RAC and SEAC opinions, and to forward it to the Commission.

5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)	
SEAC was provided with an update on the upcoming restriction proposals to be submitted in 2022.	<p>SECR to launch the call for expression of interest for the (co-)rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals.</p> <p>SEAC members to volunteer for the pools of (co-)rapporteurs by 31 January 2022.</p>
6. Authorisation	
6.1 General authorisation issues	
a) Update on incoming/future applications	
<p>SEAC took note of the update on the new AfAs received during the November 2021 submission window. Furthermore, the Secretariat updated the Committee on plans to process the expected peak in applications for authorisation in 2022-2023.</p> <p>SEAC also took note of the update of the Technical guidance for SEAC rapporteurs.</p>	<p>SECR to provide an overview of the applications for authorisation related to the sanitary sector.</p>
b) Reports on recent studies on substitution	
<p>The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the report on effects of authorisation on trichloroethylene and the report on dynamic effects of substitution in REACH authorisation system.</p>	
c) Customers' performance requirements – level of evidence needed	
<p>The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed a document on the evidence needed for customers' performance requirements.</p>	<p>SECR to update the meeting document according to the SEAC-53 discussions and add it to the technical guidance for rapporteurs.</p> <p>SEAC members to send any comments to Secretariat as they start using it.</p> <p>SECR to schedule a follow-up discussion at the SEAC-55 plenary meeting in June 2022, if required based on the comments received.</p>
6.2 Authorisation applications	
a) Discussion on key issues	

1) 3 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, MOCA) and 2 review reports (TCE) from August 2021 submission window	
SEAC discussed the key issues identified in the applications for authorisation.	Rapporteurs are requested to prepare the first versions of the draft opinions, considering the SEAC-53 discussions.
b) Agreement on draft opinions	
1) 232_DtC_Monroe (1 use)	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion. SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with modifications agreed at SEAC-53) on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.
2) 233_CT_Betz-Chrom (1 use)	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion. SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with modifications agreed at SEAC-53) on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs , together with SECR , to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.
3) 234_CT_Kwalter (2 uses)	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the two SEAC draft opinions. SEAC agreed on its draft opinions on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicant for commenting.
4) 235_CA_Neoperl (1 use)	
SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion. SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-53) on this application for authorisation by consensus.	Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.
c) Adoption of opinion	
5) 221_CT_SD_USSK (1 use)	

<p>SEAC adopted its opinion on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs, together with SECR, to do the final editing of the SEAC opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the opinion to the Commission, the Member States, and the applicant, and to publish it on the ECHA website.</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)</p>	
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

<p>SEAC agreed on the updated pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/53/2021/04_rev1).</p>	<p>SEAC members to volunteer to the pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation.</p> <p>SECR to upload the updated document to confidential folder on S-CIRCABC IG.</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>9. Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-53</p>	
----------------------------------------------------------------	--

<p>SEAC adopted the action points and main conclusions of SEAC-53.</p>	
------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

III. List of Attendees SEAC-53

SEAC members
ALEXANDRE João
ANTONIADOU Sofia
ARGYROPOULOS Christos
BERGS Ivars
BRIGNON Jean-Marc
CASTELLI Stefano
CAVALIERI Luisa
COGEN Simon
DOMINIAK Dorota
DOLENC Darko
FANKHAUSER Simone
GABBERT Silke
GAIDUKOVŠ Sergejs
ISKRA Jernej
JANSSEN Martien
JOMINI Stéphane
JONES Derrick
JOYCE John
KIISKI Johanna
LEAHY Eimear
LIBERSKA Ewa
LÜDEKE Andreas
MUNCRIEF Sandi
MÅGE Marit
NIKOVA Julieta
PINEROS Juan
PETERSEN Ida Svstrup
RODRIGUEZ Manuel
ROUW Aart
RUZGYS Karolis
SCHUCHTÁR Endre
SPITERI Jonathan
THIELE Karen
URBAN Klaus
ŽIEMIENE Jurgita

Commission observers
DUME Algreit
GALLEGO Mateo
KRASSNIG Christian
LEKATOS Stylianos
PEDERSEN Finn
ROSSI Ludovica
TOSETTI Patrizia

ECHA STAFF
ANAGNOSTAKIS Kostas
BIN Essi
EDES Viktoria
FRANKE Greta
GERVASUTTI Simone
GMEINDER Michael
HENRICHSON Sanna
HÄMÄLÄINEN Eva
KIVELÄ Kalle
KLAUSBRUCKNER Carmen
LAZIC Nina
LEFEVRE Sandrine
LOGTMEIJER Christiaan
LOUKOU Christina
LUDBORZS Arnis
MANNERVESI Maija
MARQUEZ-CAMACHO Mercedes
MAZZOLINI Anna
MUSHTAQ Fesil
NICOT Thierry
NUNES Lais
NURMI Väinö
NYGÅRD Daniel
ORISPÄÄ Katja
OTTATI Maria
PELTOLA Jukka
PELTOLA-THIES Johanna
PILLET Monique
PORTUGAL Laura
REGIL Pablo
RHEINBERGER Christoph
SIMPSON Peter
SOSNOWSKI Piotr
THIERRY-MIEG Morgane
VAINIO Matti
WILK Mateusz
ZEIGER Bastian

RAC rapporteurs
FACCHIN Manuel
NEUMANN Michael
SANTONEN Tiina

Stakeholder observers & accompanying experts
BARBU Luminita as occasional stakeholder (EDANA)
BARRY Edita as occasional stakeholder (PlasticsEurope)
BOCK Ronald as accompanying Expert to PlasticsEurope for PFHxA
CASSART Michel as regular stakeholder (Plastics Europe)
DI PIETRA Marco as occasional stakeholder (Euratex)
DUGUY Hélène as regular stakeholder (ClientEarth)
HANNEBAUM Peter as accompanying Expert to Eurofeu/ Eurometaux for PFHxA
HARTE Shane as occasional stakeholder (European Electronic Component Manufacturers Association/ European Semiconductor Industry Association)
HÖK Frida as regular stakeholder (ClientEarth)
JÁNOSI Amaya as regular stakeholder (CEFIC)
KANSTRUP Niels as accompanying Expert to ClientEarth for Lead
KAPPEL Jan as occasional stakeholder (European Anglers Alliance, EAA)
LEONHARDT Thomas as occasional stakeholder (EUROFEU)
MANCINI Giulia as accompanying Expert to European Electronic Component Manufacturers Association (European Semiconductor Industry Association) for PFHxA
MERVART Jan as accompanying Expert to CEFIC for PAHs
PALINKAS Jean-Francois as occasional stakeholder (FITASC)
PEREZ SIMBOR Laia as occasional stakeholder (ETRMA, European Tyre and Rubber Manufacturers' Association)
PUUSTINEN Seppo as occasional stakeholder (FACE – European Federation for Hunting and Conservation)
QUINN Bernadette as accompanying Expert to CEFIC for Lead
RITO Elias as occasional stakeholder (European Association of Chemical Distributors (Fecc))
ROBIN Nicolas as regular stakeholder (PlasticsEurope)
ROMANO MOZO Dolores as regular stakeholder (EEB)
SALAU Sophie Amelie as occasional stakeholder (European Automobile Manufacturers' Association)

Advisors, invited experts, observers & dossier submitters (DS)
ASSMANN Mervi as advisor to Johanna KIISKI
BÜCKER Michael as advisor to Klaus URBAN
CORRELL MYHRE Ingunn as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" TM
CROMIE Ruth (UNEP/AEWA = African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbird Agreement) as invited expert for Lead
DAHLBERG PERSSON Marie as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" TM
DERELIEV Sergey (UNEP/AEWA) as invited expert for Lead
ERDMANN Christian as dossier submitter for PFHxA
FIORE Karine as dossier submitter for the Substances in single-use diapers
FOTLAND Tor Øystein as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" TM
HELMHEDACH Achim as advisor to Karen THIELE and dossier submitter for PFHxA
LANGTVET Espen as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" TM
LEFEVRE Sandrine (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
Eeva LEINALA (OECD) as ECHA Invited Expert
LOGTMEIJER Christiaan (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
MAZZOLINI Anna (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
MORO IACOPINI Sabrina as advisor to Stefano CASTELLI
NURMI Väinö (ECHA) as dossier submitter for PAHs
PETERS Oliver as advisor to Karen THIELE
REALE Priscilla as advisor to Stefano CASTELLI
RHEINBERGER Christoph (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
SHAKHRAMANYAN Nikolinka as ECHA Invited Expert for 233_CT_Betz- Chrom
THIERRY-MIEG Morgane (ECHA) as dossier submitter for PAHs

SEBASTIANI Giuliana as accompanying Expert to Eurometaux for Lead
SOIN Thomas as occasional stakeholder (SEMI Europe)
STRAUSS Markus as accompanying Expert to Euratex for PFHxA
THELIN Anders as accompanying Expert to EDANA for Substances in single-use diapers
TER HAAR Beth as accompanying Expert to EDANA for PFHxA
WAETERSCHOOT Hugo (Eurometaux)
WIETOR Jean-Luc as accompanying Expert to EEB for PFHxA
YADA Makiko as accompanying Expert to CEFIC for PFHxA

IV. List of Annexes

- ANNEX I. List of documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis
- ANNEX II. Declared conflicts of interest
- ANNEX III. Final Agenda

ANNEX I**Documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis**

Document	Number
Final Draft Agenda	SEAC/A/53/2021rev1
Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers	SEAC/53/2021/02 (restricted room document)
Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications	SEAC/53/2021/04 (restricted room document)

ANNEX II**DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST TO THE RESPECTIVE AGENDA ITEMS**

The following participants declared conflicts of interests with the agenda items below (according to Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure):

<u>Name of participant</u>	<u>Agenda item</u>	<u>Interest declared</u>
BRIGNON Jean-Marc	5.2b-5) Substances in single-use diapers	Working for the MS submitting the dossier
JOMINI Stéphane	5.2b-5) Substances in single-use diapers	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
MÅGE Marit	5.2b-2) Declorane Plus	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
LÜDEKE Andreas	5.2b-4) Undecafluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
THIELE Karen	5.2b-4) Undecafluorohexanoic acid (PFHxA)	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier

24 November 2021
SEAC/A/53/2021

Final Draft Agenda
53rd meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

30 November-3 December
and
7-8 December 2021

Virtual meeting

Tuesday 30 November starts at 14.00
Friday 3 December breaks at 13.50
Tuesday 7 December resumes at 10.00
Wednesday 8 December ends at 17.40

Item 1 – Welcome and Apologies

Item 2 – Adoption of the Agenda

SEAC/A/53/2021
For adoption

Item 3 – Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

Item 4 – General SEAC procedures

b) Report on SEAC-52 action points and written procedures

For information

Item 5 – Restrictions

5.1 General restriction issues

a) The role of SEAC's opinion in the decision-making phase

For information

5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

c) Conformity check and key issues discussion

- 1) Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting**

For discussion and agreement

d) Opinion development

- 1) 2,4-dinitrotoluene – First draft opinion (not for discussion in this meeting)**
- 2) 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.1^{6,9}.0^{2,13}.0^{5,10}]octadeca-7,15-diene ("Dechlorane Plus"TM) – Second draft opinion**
- 3) Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles – Third draft opinion**

For discussion

- 4) PFHxA – Final opinion**
- 5) Substances in single-use diapers – Final opinion**

For discussion and adoption

5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)

For information

Item 6 – Authorisation

6.1 General authorisation issues

- d) Update on incoming/future applications
- e) Reports on recent studies on substitution (tbc)

For information

- f) Customers' performance requirements – level of evidence needed

For discussion and agreement

6.4 Authorisation applications

- d) Discussion on key issues

3 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, MOCA) and 2 review reports (TCE) from August 2021 submission window

For discussion

- e) Agreement on draft opinion

5. 232_DtC_Monroe (1 use)
6. 233_CT_Betz-Chrom (1 use)

7. 234_CT_Kwalter (2 uses)

8. 235_CA_Neoperl (1 use)

For discussion and agreement

f) Adoption of opinion

2. 221_CT_SD_USSK (1 use)

For discussion and adoption

6.5 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)

SEAC/53/2021/01

Restricted room document

For agreement

Item 7 – Article 77(3)(c) requests

None

Item 8 – AOB

a) Update of the work plan

For information

Item 9 – Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-53

Table with Conclusions and Action points from SEAC-53

For adoption

PROVISIONAL TIMELINE FOR THE DISCUSSIONS AT SEAC-53

Please note that timings are approximate. Changes may be made by the Chair before and during the meeting to accommodate the discussions.

Week 1

Tuesday 30 November 2021: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)

14:00	14:10	Welcome and apologies
14:10	14:15	Adoption of the agenda
14:15	14:20	Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda
14:20	14:40	Report on SEAC-52 action points, written procedures and update on other ECHA bodies
14:40	15:25	General issues: - New AfAs received during November 2021 submission window - Technical guidance for SEAC rapporteurs
15:25	15:55	<i>Coffee break</i>
15:55	16:00	221_CT_SD_USSK - Adoption of opinion
16:00	17:10	233_CT_Betz-Chrom - Agreement on draft opinion
17:10	17:30	Open issues <i>Optional social event</i>

Wednesday 1 December 2021: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati and Kalle Kivelä)

10:00	11:00	Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting
11:00	11:30	<i>Coffee break</i>
11:30	13:00	PFHxA - Final opinion
13:00	14:30	<i>Lunch</i>

Wednesday 1 December 2021: Afternoon session (chaired by Kalle Kivelä)

14:30	16:00	PFHxA contd.
16:00	16:30	<i>Coffee break</i>
16:30	17:30	PFHxA contd.

Thursday 2 December 2021: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)

10:00	11:30	Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles - Third draft opinion
11:30	12:00	<i>Coffee break</i>

12:00 13:30 Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles **contd.**

13:30 15:00 Lunch

Thursday 2 December 2021: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)

15:00 16:10 232_DtC_Monroe - Agreement on draft opinion

16:10 16:40 Coffee break

16:40 18:10 234_CT_KWalter - Agreement on draft opinions

Friday 3 December 2021: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)

10:00 11:00 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.1^{6/9}.0^{2/13}.0^{5/10}]octadeca-7,15-diene ("Dechlorane Plus"TM) - Second draft opinions discussion

11:00 11:30 Coffee break

11:30 12:30 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.1^{6/9}.0^{2/13}.0^{5/10}]octadeca-7,15-diene ("Dechlorane Plus"TM) **contd.**

12:30 13:30 Open issues from Week 1

13:30 13:50 Agreement on conclusions and action points (Week 1)

End of Week 1

Week 2

Tuesday 7 December 2021: Morning session (chaired by María Ottati)

10:00 11:00 Reports on recent studies on substitution
11:00 11:30 *Coffee break*
11:30 12:30 General issues: Customers' performance requirements –
level of evidence needed
12:30 14:00 *Lunch*

Tuesday 7 December 2021: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)

14:00 15:00 The role of SEAC's opinion in the decision-making phase
15:00 16:00 Substances in single-use diapers – Final opinion
16:00 16:30 *Coffee break*
16:30 18:00 Substances in single-use diapers **contd.**
Optional social event

Wednesday 8 December 2021: Morning session

10:00 11:10 235_CA_Neoperl - Agreement on draft opinion (*chaired by Kalle Kivelä*)
11:10 11:40 *Coffee break*
11:40 12:10 3 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide, MOCA) and 2 review reports (TCE) from August 2021 submission window - Key issues discussion (*chaired by María Ottati*)
12:10 12:40 Annual Declaration of Interests exercise - New declaration tool to be presented for the SEAC members (*chaired by María Ottati*)
12:40 14:10 *Lunch*

Wednesday 8 December 2021: Afternoon session (chaired by María Ottati)

14:10 14:25 Appointment of rapporteurs (AfA, Restrictions) and update on Declarations of Conflict of Interest - **Closed session**
14:25 14:55 Open issues from Week 2
14:55 15:25 Any other business
15:25 15:55 *Coffee break*
15:55 16:25 Conclusions, action points
16:25 16:40 Closing of the meeting

END OF SEAC-53