

16 August 2022

SEAC/M/55/2022 Final

Final

Minutes of the 55th meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

**31 May – 3 June
and
7-8 June 2022**

I. Summary Record of the Proceeding

1) Welcome

María Ottati, Chair of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC), ECHA, welcomed the participants to the 55th meeting of SEAC.

The Chair informed the participants that the meeting would not be recorded. The list of attendees is given in Part III of the minutes.

2) Adoption of the Agenda

The Chair introduced the final draft agenda of SEAC-55. The agenda was adopted without modifications (in line with SEAC/A/55/2022). The Chair mentioned that the meeting would be partly chaired by the Deputy Chair Kalle Kivelä.

The final agenda is attached to these minutes as Annex III. The list of all meeting documents is attached to these minutes as Annex I.

3) Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

The Chair requested members and their advisors participating in the meeting to declare any conflicts of interest with any of the specific agenda items. One member and two advisors declared potential conflicts of interest regarding the substance-related discussions under Agenda Item 5.2b-5. Two members and two advisors declared potential conflicts of interest regarding the substance-related discussions under Agenda Item 5.2a-3. One member declared a potential conflict of interest regarding the substance-related discussions under Agenda Item 5.2a-1. Two members declared potential conflicts of interest regarding the substance-related discussions under Agenda Item 5.2a-2. These members did not participate in voting under those Agenda Items, as stated in Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure.

The Chair declared her absence of conflict of interest for all items of SEAC-55 plenary meeting. She noted that the Deputy Chair was involved in the preparation of the Annex XV dossier for the PFAs in Firefighting Foams restriction proposal, and would therefore not participate in discussions, but that he had no conflict of interest for the other items on the agenda.

The list with declared conflicts of interest is given in Annex II of these minutes.

4) General SEAC procedures

a) Report on SEAC-54 action points and written procedures

The Chair informed the participants that all action points of SEAC-54 had been completed or would be followed up during the on-going SEAC-55 meeting.

The Chair also informed the Committee that the final minutes of SEAC-54 had been adopted by written procedure and had been uploaded to S-CIRCABC as well as on the ECHA website.

Representatives of the Commission updated the Committee on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and in CARACAL. The Commission also provided an update from the REACH review.

b) Update of SEAC accredited stakeholders list (closed session)

The Committee reviewed the SEAC accredited stakeholders list. The Committee took note of and discussed the restricted meeting document (SEAC/55/2022/01). Following the discussions, SEAC agreed on the update of the list. The Chair informed SEAC that the Secretariat will publish the updated list on the ECHA website.

5) Restrictions

5.1 General restriction issues

a) Report from Working Group on qualitative assessments

The representative from the Working Group on qualitative assessments provided an update and SEAC discussed the progress made and issues the members would like to see reflected in the resulting paper. The members supported the approach taken by the working group and proposed some further ideas to be developed further. The regular stakeholder observers (EAERE, EEB, EUROMETAUX, CEFIC) commented on the methodology, the ranking of different parameters, and called for more transparency in qualitative assessment. The working group will report back in the upcoming meetings.

5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

a) Conformity check and key issues discussion

1) Creosote and Creosote related substances

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representative from France. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier was submitted by France on 1 February 2022, but it has been processed within the April submission window. The conformity check process was launched on 12 May and the SEAC commenting round finished on 25 May (there were two comments received from SEAC members). The Chair informed the participants that RAC would conclude on the conformity of the Annex XV dossier at RAC-61.

The Dossier Submitter representative provided a brief introductory presentation on the dossier. One of the rapporteurs presented the outcome of the conformity check and informed the Committee that they do not consider the dossier to be in conformity due to the lack of information on the scope of the proposed restriction and lack of information on the proportionality to the risk.

The Committee agreed that the dossier does not conform to the Annex XV requirements. In addition, the rapporteurs presented their recommendations to the Dossier Submitter, and these were supported by the SEAC members. As the Committee has considered the dossier not to be in conformity, the Secretariat will inform the Dossier Submitter about the reasons for non-conformity.

2) Terphenyl, hydrogenated

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representative from Italy. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier was submitted on 8 April 2022, and it has been processed within the April submission window. The conformity check process was launched on 12 May and the SEAC commenting round finished on 23 May (no comments received from SEAC members). The Chair informed the participants that RAC would conclude on the conformity of the Annex XV dossier at RAC-61.

The Dossier Submitter's representatives provided an introductory presentation on the restriction proposal. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the outcome of the conformity check and the recommendations to the Dossier Submitter. The Committee agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements. The Chair informed the Committee that the six-month consultation of interested parties on the restriction proposal will be launched on 20 June 2022.

3) *N,N*-dimethylacetamide

This agenda item was chaired by the Deputy Chair. The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representative from the Netherlands. He informed the participants that the restriction dossier was submitted on 8 April 2022, and it has been processed within the April submission window. The conformity check process was launched on 12 May and the SEAC commenting round finished on 23 May (no comments received from SEAC members). The Chair informed the participants that RAC would conclude on the conformity of the Annex XV dossier at RAC-61.

The Dossier Submitter's representatives provided an introductory presentation on the restriction proposal. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the outcome of the conformity check and the recommendations to the Dossier Submitter. Members discussed the conformity check outcome and recommendations. The Committee agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements. In addition, the rapporteurs presented the key issues that they have identified for the evaluation of the restriction proposal. Members and regular and occasional stakeholder observers and their accompanying expert commented on the proposal. The Chair informed the Committee that the six-month consultation of interested parties on the restriction proposal will be launched on 20 June 2022.

b) Opinion development

1) Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in firefighting foams – First draft opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from ECHA and the RAC rapporteurs. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier was submitted in January 2022 by ECHA.

The RAC rapporteurs informed the Committee that RAC had discussed its first draft opinion at the RAC working group on restrictions, as well as at RAC-61, and summarised the conclusions reached.

The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the first draft opinion. Members, a regular stakeholder observer (Client Earth) and an accompanying expert to a regular stakeholder observer (EEB) commented on the assessment of the justification whether the suggested restriction is the most appropriate EU-wide measure. Members, a regular stakeholder observer (EAERE), an accompanying expert to a regular stakeholder observer (EEB), an occasional stakeholder observer and their accompanying expert (EurEau), an expert invited by the secretariat (LASTFIRE), as well as the Commission, also commented on the cost assessment. Members, accompanying experts to regular stakeholder observers (EEB, ChemSec, Cefic) and experts invited by the secretariat (Werkfeuerwehrverband Deutschland e.V., LASTIFRE) discussed in particular the availability and technical feasibility of alternatives.

The Chair concluded that there was general support by SEAC on the justification for action on Union-wide basis and that a restriction under REACH is the most appropriate EU-wide measure. Regarding the costs assessment, SEAC supported the Dossier Submitter's approach and provisionally agreed that the cost assessment provides an indication of the possible order of magnitude of the costs of the restriction options.

The (co-)rapporteurs were requested to prepare the second draft opinion by August 2022, taking into account the SEAC-55 discussions, the comments received from the SEAC written commenting round and the comments from the Annex XV report consultation.

2) Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting – Second draft opinion

No discussions were held at SEAC-55 due to skipping the second cycle for this case. The rapporteurs will prepare the second draft opinion for discussion at SEAC-56 in September 2022.

3) Lead in outdoor shooting and fishing– revised fifth draft opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representative from ECHA, the regular and occasional stakeholders and their accompanying industry experts, invited experts, as well as the members of the SEAC support group which was established to support the rapporteurs in the development of the opinion. She summarised the state of play of the opinion-making process, noting that the sections of the opinion covering fishing had already been agreed in SEAC-54, with full support and agreement by SEAC on the Rapporteurs' conclusions.

The RAC rapporteur provided a report from the RAC discussions on this restriction proposal held during RAC-61, where RAC adopted its opinion. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the revised fifth draft opinion to the Committee. Members supported the rapporteurs' conclusions on the need for an EU wide measure, proportionality, practicality, enforceability and monitorability. The regular stakeholder observers, together with their experts (ClientEarth, EEB, Eurometaux), commented on the availability of alternatives and the benefits assessment for the proposed restriction in relation to hunting ammunition. The occasional stakeholder observers (FACE, FITASC/ISSF, AFEMS) commented on the impacts of the proposed restriction. The invited expert from UNEP/AEWA commented on the environmental impact of sport shooting. The Chair concluded that there was full support and agreement by SEAC on the Rapporteurs' conclusions on the proposed restriction with respect to uses in hunting and sport shooting.

The Committee agreed on its draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs were requested, together with the Secretariat, to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat intends to launch the consultation on the SEAC draft opinion on 29 June 2021. Furthermore, the Chair reminded all participants that the SEAC opinion development would be extended until December 2022 (i.e. SEAC is expected to adopt its opinion at SEAC-57).

4) 2,4-dinitrotoluene – Third draft opinion

This agenda item was chaired by the Deputy Chair. He welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from ECHA and the RAC rapporteur. He informed the participants that the restriction dossier was submitted in August 2021 under Art 69(2) of REACH and follows a simplified opinion development approach. The RAC rapporteur provided a report from the RAC discussions on this restriction proposal held during RAC-61, where RAC adopted its opinion. The SEAC rapporteurs then presented the third draft opinion to the Committee.

The Committee agreed on its draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteur was requested, together with the Secretariat, to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat intends to launch the consultation on the SEAC draft opinion on 15 June 2021. SEAC is expected to adopt its opinion at SEAC-56.

5) 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.1^{6,9}.0^{2,13}.0^{5,10}]octadeca-7,15-diene (Dechlorane Plus) – draft of the SEAC final opinion

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter's representatives from Norway, the RAC rapporteur as well as the regular stakeholder observers. She informed the participants that the restriction dossier was submitted in April 2021.

The SEAC (co-)rapporteurs then presented the draft of the SEAC final opinion and the outcome of the consultation on the SEAC draft opinion. Members expressed their support for the SEAC opinion. The European Commission representative and the RAC rapporteur asked the rapporteurs clarifying questions regarding the sensitivity analysis and the derogations.

The Committee adopted its final opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs were requested, together with the Secretariat, to do the final editing of the SEAC final opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (Background Document and responses to comments from the consultation) is in line with the adopted SEAC final opinion.

5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)

SEAC agreed on the updated pools of (co-) rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/55/2022/02rev1). The members were invited to volunteer for the pools of (co-)rapporteurs.

6) Authorisation

6.1 General authorisation issues

a) Update on incoming/future applications

The Secretariat presented information on incoming/future applications for authorisation and review reports, expected workload in 2022, and timelines.

SEAC took note of the update on the new applications for authorisation received during the May 2022 submission window and other AfA-related updates.

b) Update of technical guidance for rapporteurs

The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the updated version of the technical guidance for rapporteurs.

The Secretariat will consider the discussion and update the document and will publish it on S-CIRCABC.

6.2 Authorisation applications

a) Discussion on key issues

- 1) 10 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide and trixylyl phosphate) from February 2022 submission window

The Secretariat, in cooperation with the SEAC rapporteurs, provided general information regarding the new applications for authorisation, and specified the identified key issues in the applications listed below:

- 261_CT_Metalbrass (single use),
- 263_CT_Orelec (single use),
- 264_CT_Cristina (single use),
- 265_TXP_EDF (two uses),
- 266_CT_Olivari (single use),
- 267_CT_SPGPrints (single use),
- 268_CT_Paffoni (single use),
- 269_CT_Rubinetterie3M (single use),
- 271_CT_Villeroy (single use),
- 272_CT_RIGHI (single use).

b) Agreement on draft opinion

1. 242_RR1_TCE_Microporous (1 use)

This is a review report on a single use of trichloroethylene:

Use 1: Trichloroethylene used as extraction solvent in the manufacture of polyethylene separators for lead-acid batteries.

SEAC members discussed substitution activities by the authorisation holder and technical and economic feasibility of alternatives. A representative of ClientEarth contributed to the discussion.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion with editorials agreed at SEAC-55 by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holder for commenting.

2. 243_RR1_TCE_DOMO (1 use)

This is a review report on a single use of trichloroethylene:

Use 1: Industrial use as an extraction solvent for the purification of caprolactam from caprolactam oil.

SEAC members discussed substitution activities by the authorisation holder, shortlisted alternatives, and the profit loss calculation in the socio-economic analysis by the authorisation holder, as well as a length of the review period. A representative of the European Commission contributed to the discussion.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the authorisation holder for commenting.

3. 244_CT_Cromaplast (2 uses)

This is an application for authorisation on two uses of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Industrial use of CrO_3 in the pre-treatment (etch) in the chrome plating process of automotive plastic components.

Use 2: Industrial use of CrO_3 in the chrome plating of automotive plastic components.

SEAC members discussed a length of the review period, availability of alternatives and substitution activities by the applicant, comments received during the third-party consultation on the two uses, calculation of profit losses in the socio-economic analysis by the applicant, and non-use scenarios.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinions with editorials agreed at SEAC-55 by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. The Secretariat will send the draft opinions to the applicant for commenting.

4. 245_CT_Newform (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single uses of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: Electroplating of different types of substrates using chromium trioxide to achieve functional surfaces with high durability and a bright or matt silvery appearance for sanitary applications.

SEAC members discussed a length of the review period, availability and suitability of alternatives and substitution activities by the applicant, as well as the evidence substantiating customer requirements.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion with editorials agreed at SEAC-55 by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.

5. 246_MOCA_Courbis (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of 2,2'-dichloro-4,4'-methylenedianiline (MOCA):

Use 1: Industrial use of 2,2'-dichloro-4,4'-methylenedianiline (MOCA) in the manufacture of hot cast polyurethane products.

SEAC members discussed availability of alternatives in general in the EU, and the methodology used by the applicants to calculate the monetised risks of continued use.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

6. 247_OPE_Boehringer_2 (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated (4-tert-OPnEO):

Use 1: Use of 4-(1,1,3,3-tetramethylbutyl)phenol, ethoxylated as a detergent in the purification of lipidated OspA protein subsequently used for manufacturing of Lyme disease vaccine candidate.

SEAC members briefly discussed incineration of the 4-tert-OPnEO containing waste and regulatory costs as they are presented in the Socio-economic Analysis by the applicant.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

7. 248_NPE_OCV (1 use)

This is an application for authorisation on a single use of 4-nonylphenol, branched and linear, ethoxylated (4-NPnEO):

Use 1: Mixing by the Applicant of a NPnEO-containing epoxy resin, resulting in mixtures containing < 0.1 % w/w of NPnEO for the manufacture of glass fiber articles for critical composite helicopter parts, that is exempt from authorisation under REACH Art. 56(6)(a).

SEAC members briefly discussed one of the potential alternative substances in the Analysis of Alternatives.

The Committee agreed on the draft opinion by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion. The Secretariat will send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.

8. 249_CT_Tenneco_CZ (1 use)

9. 250_CT_Tenneco_ES (1 use)

10. 251_CT_Tenneco_BE (1 use)

11. 252_CT_Tenneco_PL (1 use)

These are four applications for authorisation on a single use of chromium trioxide:

Use 1: The use of Chromium Trioxide (EC 215-607-8) by Tenneco BE / ES / CZ / PL in the functional chrome plating of shock absorber rods.

SEAC members discussed availability of alternatives and substitution activities by the four applicants, as well as a part of the non-use scenarios related to decontamination costs.

The Committee agreed on the four draft opinions with editorials agreed at SEAC-55 by consensus. The rapporteurs, together with the Secretariat, will do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions. The Secretariat will send the draft opinions to the applicants for commenting.

6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)

The pool of (co-)rapporteurs, as outlined in the restricted room document SEAC/55/2022/03 was agreed by SEAC.

7) Requests under Article 77(3)(c)

None.

8) AOB

a) Update of the work plan

The Secretariat provided an update of the work plan for the future months.

9) Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-55

A table with the action points and main conclusions is given in Part II below.

**Main conclusions and action points
SEAC-55, 31 May-3 June and 7-8 June 2022**

(Adopted at SEAC-55 meeting)

Agenda point	
Conclusions / decisions / minority opinions	Action requested after the meeting (by whom/by when)
2. Adoption of the agenda	
The agenda was adopted without modifications (SEAC/A/55/2022).	
3. Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda	
Conflicts of interest have been declared and will be included in the minutes.	
4. General SEAC procedures	
a) Report on SEAC-54 action points and written procedures	
SEAC was informed of the status of the action points of SEAC-54. Furthermore, SEAC took note of the oral report from the Commission on SEAC-related developments in the REACH Committee and the CARACAL meeting, as well as the progress of the REACH review.	
b) Update of SEAC accredited stakeholders' list (closed session)	
SEAC took note of and discussed the restricted meeting document (SEAC/55/2022/01). SEAC agreed on the update of SEAC accredited stakeholders' list.	SECR to publish the updated list on the ECHA website.
5. Restrictions	
5.1 General restriction issues	
Report from Working Group on qualitative assessments	
The Working Group on qualitative assessments provided an update and SEAC discussed on progress made.	The WG to take the discussions into account in the work of Working group.
5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers	
a) Conformity check and key issues discussion	
1. Creosote and Creosote related substances	

<p>SEAC agreed that the dossier does not conform to the Annex XV requirements.</p> <p>SEAC took note of the recommendations to the Dossier Submitter.</p>	<p>SECR to compile the RAC and SEAC final outcomes of the conformity check and upload this to S-CIRCABC IG.</p> <p>SECR to inform the Dossier Submitter on the outcome of the conformity check.</p>
<p>2. Terphenyl, hydrogenated</p>	
<p>SEAC agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements.</p> <p>SEAC took note of the recommendations to the Dossier Submitter.</p>	<p>SECR to compile the RAC and SEAC final outcomes of the conformity check and upload this to S-CIRCABC IG.</p> <p>SECR to launch a six-month external consultation on the restriction proposal on 20 June 2022.</p>
<p>3. N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMAC); 1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-one (NEP)</p>	
<p>SEAC agreed that the dossier conforms to the Annex XV requirements.</p> <p>SEAC took note of the recommendations to the Dossier Submitter.</p>	<p>SECR to compile the RAC and SEAC final outcomes of the conformity check and upload this to S-CIRCABC IG.</p> <p>SECR to launch a six-month external consultation on the restriction proposal on 20 June 2022.</p>
<p>b) Opinion development</p>	
<p>1. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in firefighting foams – First draft opinion</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the first draft opinion.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs to prepare the second draft opinion, considering SEAC-55 discussions, by early August 2022.</p>
<p>2. Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting – Second draft opinion</p>	
<p>No discussions held on this dossier at SEAC-55.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs to prepare the second draft opinion for discussion at SEAC-56, by August 2022.</p>
<p>3. Lead in outdoor shooting and fishing – Fifth draft opinion</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the revised fifth draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on the draft opinion by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to launch a 60 day consultation on the SEAC draft opinion in June 2022.</p>
<p>4. 2,4-dinitrotoluene – Third draft opinion</p>	

<p>SEAC rapporteur presented and SEAC discussed the third draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on the draft opinion by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteur together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (BD and RCOM) is in line with the agreed SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to launch a 60 day consultation on the SEAC draft opinion in June 2022.</p>
<p>5. 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.1^{6,9}.0^{2,13}.0^{5,10}]octadeca-7,15-diene ("Dechlorane Plus"™) – draft of the SEAC final opinion</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the draft of the final opinion.</p> <p>SEAC adopted its final opinion by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs, together with the SECR, to do the final editorial changes to the adopted SEAC opinion and to ensure that the supporting documentation (Background Document and responses to comments from the consultation) is in line with the adopted SEAC opinion.</p> <p>SECR to compile the adopted RAC and SEAC opinions, and to forward it to the Commission.</p>
<p>5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (closed session)</p>	
<p>SEAC agreed on the pools of (co-) rapporteurs for the upcoming restriction proposals (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/54/2022/02rev1).</p>	<p>SEAC members to volunteer for the pools of (co-)rapporteurs.</p>
<p>6. Authorisation</p>	
<p>6.1 General authorisation issues</p>	
<p>a) Update on incoming/future applications</p>	
<p>SEAC took note of the update on the new AfAs received during the May 2022 submission window.</p>	
<p>b) Update of technical guidance for rapporteurs</p>	
<p>The Secretariat presented and SEAC discussed the updated version of the technical guidance for rapporteurs.</p>	<p>SECR to consider the discussion and update the document, and to publish it on S-CIRCABC.</p>
<p>6.2 Authorisation applications</p>	
<p>a) Discussion on key issues</p>	
<p>10 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide and trixylyl phosphate) from February 2022 submission window</p>	

<p>SEAC discussed the key issues identified in the applications for authorisation.</p>	
<p>b) Agreement on draft opinions</p>	
<p>1) 242_RR1_TCE_Microporous (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-55) on this review report by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the authorisation holder for commenting.</p>
<p>2) 243_RR1_TCE_DOMO (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion on this review report by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the authorisation holder for commenting.</p>
<p>3) 244_CT_Cromaplast (2 uses)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinions (with editorials agreed at SEAC-55) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinions.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinions to the applicant for commenting.</p>
<p>4) 245_CT_Newform (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-55) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
<p>5) 246_MOCA_Courbis (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.</p>

6) 247_OPE_Boehringer_2 (1 use)	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
7) 248_NPE_OCV (1 use)	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicants for commenting.</p> <p>Rapporteurs and SECR to consider the need to come back to discussions in SEAC after the opinion has been agreed by RAC.</p>
8) 249_CT_Tenneco_CZ (1 use)	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-55) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
9) 250_CT_Tenneco_ES (1 use)	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-55) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
10) 251_CT_Tenneco_BE (1 use)	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p>

<p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-55) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
<p>11) 252_CT_Tenneco_PL (1 use)</p>	
<p>SEAC rapporteurs presented and SEAC discussed the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SEAC agreed on its draft opinion (with editorials agreed at SEAC-55) on this application for authorisation by consensus.</p>	<p>Rapporteurs together with SECR to do the final editing of the SEAC draft opinion.</p> <p>SECR to send the draft opinion to the applicant for commenting.</p>
<p>6.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)</p>	
<p>SEAC agreed on the updated pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation (considered as agreement on appointment in line with the restricted room document SEAC/54/2022/04_rev1).</p>	<p>SEAC members to volunteer to the pool of (co-) rapporteurs for applications for authorisation.</p> <p>SECR to upload the updated document to confidential folder on S-CIRCABC IG.</p>
<p>9. Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-55</p>	
<p>SEAC adopted the action points and main conclusions of SEAC-55.</p>	

III. List of Attendees SEAC-55

SEAC members
ALEXANDRE João
ANASTASIOU Christos
ANTONIADOU Sofia
ARGYROPOULOS Christos
BERGS Ivars
BRIGNON Jean-Marc
CASTELLI Stefano
CAVALIERI Luisa
COGEN Simon
DOMINIAK Dorota
DOLENC Darko
FANKHAUSER Simone
FREUDENTHAL Oona
GABBERT Silke
GAIDUKOVŠ Sergejs
GRACIA Ignacio
ISKRA Jernej
JANSSEN Martien
JOMINI Stéphane
JONES Derrick
JOYCE John
KIISKI Johanna
LEAHY Eimear
LÜDEKE Andreas
MUNCRIEF Sandi
MÅGE Marit
NIKOVA Julieta
PIÑEROS Juan
PETERSEN Ida Svostrup
REALE Priscilla
RODRIGUEZ Manuel
ROUW Aart
RUZGYS Karolis
SCHUCHTÁR Endre
SOFIKITI Nikoletta
SPITERI Jonathan
THIELE Karen
TURVEY Alex
URBAN Klaus

Commission observers
BERTATO Valentina
DUME Algreit
GALLEGO Mateo
PEDERSEN Finn
ROSSI Ludovica

ECHA STAFF
AHTIAINEN Heini
BIN Essi
DEDE Yasemin
FRANKE Greta
GMEINDER Michael
KIVELÄ Kalle
KLAUSBRUCKNER Carmen
LAZIC Nina
LOUKOU Christina
LUDBORZS Arnis
MÄKELÄ Petteri
MANNERVESI Maija
MARQUEZ-CAMACHO Mercedes
MUSHTAQ Fesil
NICOT Thierry
NURMI Väinö
ORISPÄÄ Katja
OTTATI Maria
PELTOLA Jukka
PILLET Monique
POPOVIC Marko
REGIL Pablo
RICHARZ Andrea
SCHAKIR Yasmin
SIMPSON Peter
THIERRY-MIEG Morgane
TRIVELLONI Francesca
TUNNELA Outi
VAN HAELEST Anniek
VAZQUEZ RODRIGUEZ Jesus
WILK Mateusz
ZEIGER Bastian

RAC rapporteurs
FACCHIN Manuel
GINNITY Bridget
LUND Bert-Ove
NEUMANN Michael

BOCK Ronald as accompanying expert to Plastics Europe for PFAS
DUGUY H��l��ne as regular stakeholder (ClientEarth)
FERNANDEZ Ana as regular stakeholder (EEB)
HANNEBAUM Peter as accompanying Expert to EUROFEU for PFAS
HOLLAND Mike as regular stakeholder (EAERE)
H��K Frida as regular stakeholder (ChemSec)
J��NOSI Amaya as regular stakeholder (CEFIC)
KALLER Martin as accompanying expert to CEFIC for PFAS
KANSTRUP Niels as accompanying expert to ClientEarth for Lead
KLEIMARK Jonatan as accompanying expert to ChemSec for PFAS
K��RNER Mads Boyer as accompanying expert to CEFIC for Creosote
KOSKI Tero as accompanying expert to CEFIC for Lead
LEONHARDT Thomas as occasional stakeholder (EUROFEU)
LOEBEL Oliver as occasional stakeholder (EurEau)
MUSU Tony as regular stakeholder (European Trade Union Confederation)
PAIN Debbie as accompanying expert to EEB for Lead
PALINKAS Jean-Francois as occasional stakeholder (FITASC)
PUUSTINEN Seppo as occasional stakeholder (FACE - European Federation for Hunting and Conservation)
ROBIN Nicolas as regular stakeholder (Plastics Europe)
ROMANO MOZO Dolores as regular stakeholder (EEB)
SEBASTIANI Giuliana as accompanying Expert to Eurometaux for Lead
WAETERSCHOOT Hugo as regular stakeholder (Eurometaux)
WIETOR Jean-Luc as accompanying Expert to EEB for PFAS

Advisors, invited experts, observers & dossier submitters (DS)
ASSMANN Mervi as advisor to Johanna KIISKI
AUGUST Christina (BfR) as invited expert
B��CKER Michael as advisor to Klaus URBAN
CARLSSON FENG Mattias (Swedish Chemicals Agency) as invited expert
DAHLBERG PERSSON Marie as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" TM
de BLAEIJ Arianne as advisor to Martien JANSSEN
DERELIEV Sergey (UNEP/AEWA) as invited expert for Lead
DUBOURG Richard (The Economics Interface) as invited expert for Working Group on Qualitative Assessment
FIGLIORE Karine as dossier submitter for Creosote
FOTLAND Tor ��ystein as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" TM
HARD Sebastiana as advisor to Silke GABBERT
HELMEDACH Achim as advisor to Karen THIELE
HILY Emeline as dossier submitter for Creosote
JONGENEEL Rob as advisor to Silke GABBERT and dossier submitter for DMAC-NEP
LANGTVET Espen as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" TM
LEFEVRE Sandrine (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
LEINALA Eeva (OECD) as invited expert
LEMAITRE C��cile as dossier submitter for Creosote
LOGTMEIJER Christiaan (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
MAZZOLINI Anna (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
MORO IACOPINI Sabrina as advisor to Stefano CASTELLI
NURMI V��in�� (ECHA) as dossier submitter for PAHs
OLSEN Christel as dossier submitter for "Dechlorane Plus" TM
PASQUIER Elodie as dossier submitter for Creosote
PELTZER Eike as invited expert
PETERS Oliver as advisor to Karen THIELE
RAMSDEN Niall (ENRG CONSULTANTS LTD) as invited expert
REUTER Ulrike (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
RHEINBERGER Christoph (ECHA) as dossier submitter for Lead
SERRA Alexandra as advisor to Jo��o ALEXANDRE

IV. List of Annexes

- ANNEX I. List of documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis
- ANNEX II. Declared conflicts of interest
- ANNEX III. Final Agenda

ANNEX I

Documents submitted to the members of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

Document	Number
Final Draft Agenda	SEAC/A/55/2022
Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers	SEAC/55/2022/02 (restricted room document)
Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications	SEAC/55/2022/03 (restricted room document)
Annual report to SEAC on ASO participation and consideration of new regular STOs	SEAC/55/2022/01 (restricted room document)

ANNEX II**DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST TO THE RESPECTIVE AGENDA ITEMS**

The following participants declared conflicts of interests with the agenda items below (according to Article 9(2) of the SEAC Rules of Procedure):

<u>Name of participant</u>	<u>Agenda item</u>	<u>Interest declared</u>
Silke GABBERT	5.2a-3) N,N-dimethylacetamide; 1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-one (NEP)	Works for the MSCA submitting the dossier
MÅGE Marit	5.2b-2) Dechlorane Plus	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
HARD Sebastiana (Adviser to SEAC M Silke GABBERT)	5.2b-2) Dechlorane Plus 5.2a-3) N,N-dimethylacetamide; 1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-one (NEP)	Worked for the MSCA submitting the dossier
Martien JANSSEN	5.2a-3) N,N-dimethylacetamide; 1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-one (NEP)	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
Rob JONGENEEL(adviser to Martien JANSSEN)	5.2a-3) N,N-dimethylacetamide; 1-ethylpyrrolidin-2-one (NEP)	Dossier Submitter
Stéphane JOMINI	5.2a-1) Creosote and Creosote related substances	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
Stefano CASTELLI	5.2a-2)Terphenyl, hydrogenated	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
Priscilla REALE	5.2a-2)Terphenyl, hydrogenated	Working for the MSCA submitting the dossier
KIVELÄ Kalle (Deputy Chair)	5.2c-1) PFAS in fire-fighting foams	Worked on preparing the dossier

18 May 2022
SEAC/A/55/2022

Final Draft Agenda
55th meeting of the Committee for Socio-economic Analysis

31 May-3 June
and
7-8 June 2022

Hybrid meeting

Tuesday 31 May starts at 10.00
Friday 3 June breaks at 12.45
Tuesday 7 June resumes at 10.00
Wednesday 8 June ends at 17.30

Item 1 – Welcome and Apologies

Item 2 – Adoption of the Agenda

SEAC/A/55/2022
For adoption

Item 3 – Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

Item 4 – General SEAC procedures

b) Report on SEAC-54 action points and written procedures

c) Update of SEAC accredited stakeholders' list (closed session)

For information
SEAC/55/2022/01
Restricted meeting document
For information and agreement

Item 5 – Restrictions

5.1 General restriction issues

Report from Working Group on qualitative assessments

5.2 Restriction Annex XV dossiers

- c) Conformity check and key issues discussion
 - 1) Creosote and Creosote related substances
 - 2) Terphenyl, hydrogenated
 - 3) *N,N*-dimethylacetamide

For discussion and agreement

- d) Opinion development

- 1) Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in fire-fighting foams – First draft opinion

For discussion

- 2) Substances containing polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in clay targets for shooting – Second draft opinion (not for discussion in this meeting)

- 3) Lead and its compounds in ammunition and fishing tackles – Fifth draft opinion

For agreement

- 4) 2,4-dinitrotoluene – Third draft opinion

For agreement

- 5) 1,6,7,8,9,14,15,16,17,17,18,18-Dodecachloropentacyclo-[12.2.1.1^{6,9}.0^{2,13}.0^{5,10}]octadeca-7,15-diene ("Dechlorane Plus"TM) – draft of the SEAC final opinion

For adoption

5.3 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for restriction dossiers (**closed session**)

SEAC/55/2022/02

Restricted meeting document

For information and agreement

Item 6 – Authorisation

6.1 General authorisation issues

- c) Update on incoming/future applications
- d) Update of technical guidance for rapporteurs

For information

6.4 Authorisation applications

c) Discussion on key issues

13 applications for authorisation (chromium trioxide and trixylyl phosphate) from February 2022 submission window

For discussion

d) Agreement on draft opinion

12. 242_RR1_TCE_Microporous (1 use)

13. 243_RR1_TCE_DOMO (1 use)

14. 244_CT_Cromaplast (2 uses)

15. 245_CT_Newform (1 use)

16. 246_MOCA_Courbis (1 use)

17. 247_OPE_Boehringer_2 (1 use)

18. 248_NPE_OCV (1 use)

19. 249_CT_Tenneco_CZ (1 use)

20. 250_CT_Tenneco_ES (1 use)

21. 251_CT_Tenneco_BE (1 use)

22. 252_CT_Tenneco_PL (1 use)

For discussion and agreement

e) Adoption of opinion

No agenda items under this subheading.

For discussion and adoption

6.5 Appointment of (co-)rapporteurs for authorisation applications (closed session)

SEAC/55/2022/03

Restricted room document

For agreement

Item 7 – Article 77(3)(c) requests

None

Item 8 – AOB

a) Update of the work plan

For information

Item 9 – Action points and main conclusions of SEAC-55

Table with Conclusions and Action points from SEAC-55

For adoption