

Helsinki, 26 June 2020

Addressees

Registrants of JS_EC203-127-1 listed in the last Appendix of this decision

Date of submission for the jointly submitted dossier subject of this decision 14/05/2013

Registered substance subject to this decision, hereafter 'the Substance'

Substance name: 2-phenoxyethyl isobutyrate

EC number: 203-127-1 CAS number: 103-60-6

Decision number: [Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this

communication (in format CCH-D-XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX/D)]

DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK

Based on Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), ECHA requests that you submit the information listed below by the deadline of *4 April 2022*.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified

A. Requirements applicable to all the Registrants subject to Annex VII of REACH

 In vitro gene mutation study in bacteria (Annex VII, Section 8.4.1.; test method EU B.13/14. / OECD TG 471);

B. Requirements applicable to all the Registrants subject to Annex VIII of REACH

- In vitro cytogenicity study in mammalian cells (Annex VIII, Section 8.4.2., test method OECD TG 473) or in vitro micronucleus study (Annex VIII, Section 8.4.2., test method OECD TG 487);
- 2. Screening for reproductive/developmental toxicity (Annex VIII, Section 8.7.1.; test method OECD 421/422) in rats, oral route;

C. Requirements applicable to all the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method OECD TG 414) in a first species (rat or rabbit), oral route;

Reasons for the request(s) are explained in the following appendices:

- Appendix entitled "Reasons common to several requests";
- Appendices entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annexes VII to IX of REACH", respectively.



Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH:

- the information specified in Annex VII to REACH, for registration at 1-10 tonnes per year (tpa), or as a transported isolated intermediate in quantity above 1000 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII and VIII to REACH, for registration at 10-100 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa;

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

Appeal

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals for further information.

Failure to comply

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Approved¹ under the authority of Christel Schilliger-Musset, Director of Hazard Assessment

¹ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.



Appendix on on Reasons common to several requests

(i) Assessment of the Grouping of substances and read-across approach, in light of the requirements of Annex XI, Section 1.5.

You seek to adapt the following standard information requirements by applying (a) readacross approach(es) in accordance with Annex XI, Section 1.5:

- Screening for reproductive/developmental toxicity (Annex VIII, Section 8.7.1.)
- Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.)

ECHA has considered the scientific and regulatory validity of your read-across approach(es) in general before assessing the specific standard information requirements in the following appendices.

Annex XI, Section 1.5. specifies two conditions which must be fulfilled whenever a read-across approach is used. Firstly, there needs to be structural similarity between substances which results in a likelihood that the substances have similar physicochemical, toxicological and ecotoxicological properties so that the substances may be considered as a group or category. Secondly, it is required that the relevant properties of a substance within the group may be predicted from data for reference substance(s) within the group (addressed under 'Predictions for toxicological properties').

Additional information on what is necessary when justifying a read-across approach can be found in the ECHA Guidance² and related documents^{3, 4}.

Annex XI, Section 1.5 requires that whenever read-across is used adequate and reliable documentation of the applied method must be provided. Such documentation must provide a justification for the read-across including a hypothesis, explanation of the rationale for the prediction of properties and robust study summary(ies) of the source study(ies).⁵

You have provided studies conducted with substances (2-phenoxyethanol, EC 204-589-7; 2-phenylethanol, EC 200-456-2) other than your Substance in order to comply with the REACH information requirements. You have not provided documentation as to why this information is relevant for your Substance and for the endpoints assessed in this decision.

In the absence of a read-across justification documentation, ECHA cannot verify that the properties of your Substance can be predicted from the data on the source substance(s).

As explained above, you have not established that relevant properties of the Substance can be predicted from data on the analogue substance. Therefore, your adaptation does not comply with the general rules of adaptation as set out in Annex XI, Section 1.5. and your grouping and read-across approach is rejected.

² Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment Chapter R.6: QSARs and grouping of Chemicals. 2008 (May) ECHA, Helsinki. 134. pp. Available online: https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13632/information_requirements_r6_en.pdf/77f49f81-b76d-40ab-8513-4f3a533b6ac9

³ Read-Across Assessment Framework (RAAF). 2017 (March) ECHA, Helsinki. 60 pp. Available online: Read-Across Assessment Framework (https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across)

⁴ Read-across assessment framework (RAAF) - considerations on multi-constituent substances and UVCBs. 2017 (March) ECHA, Helsinki. 40 pp. Available online: https://doi.org/10.2823/794394

⁵ Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment Chapter R.6: QSARs and grouping of Chemicals, Section R.6.2.6.1



Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex VII of REACH

1. In vitro gene mutation study in bacteria (Annex VII, Section 8.4.1.)

An *in vitro* gene mutation study in bacteria is a standard information requirement in Annex VII to REACH.

You have provided a key study and supporting study in your dossier:

- i. bacterial gene mutation assay (1980);
- ii. bacterial gene mutation assay (1983);both studies conducted with the Substance, with the following strains TA 98, TA 100,TA 1535, TA 1537, and TA 1538 which all gave negative results.

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue(s):

To fulfil the information requirement, the study has to meet the requirements of OECD TG 471 (1997). One of the key parameters of this test guideline includes: The test must be performed with 5 strains: four strains of *S. typhimurium* (TA98; TA100; TA1535; TA1537 or TA97a or TA97) and one strain which is either *S. typhimurium* TA102 or *E. coli* WP2 uvrA or *E. coli* WP2 uvrA (pKM101)

The reported data for the studies you have provided did not include: results for the appropriate 5 strains, that is the required fifth strain, S. typhimurium TA102 or E. coli WP2 uvrA or E. coli WP2 uvrA (pKM101).

The information provided does not cover one of the key parameters required by OECD TG 471. Therefore, the information requirement is not fulfilled. To fulfil the information requirement for the Substance, the *in vitro* gene mutation study in bacteria (OECD TG 471) should be performed using one of the following strains: *E. coli* WP2 uvrA, or *E. coli* WP2 uvrA (pKM101), or *S. typhimurium* TA102.

In your comments on the draft decision you agree to provide the requested information.



Appendix B: Reasons to request information required under Annex VIII of REACH

1. In vitro cytogenicity study in mammalian cells or in vitro micronucleus study (Annex VIII, Section 8.4.2.)

An *in vitro* cytogenicity study in mammalian cells or an *in vitro* micronucleus study is a standard information requirement in Annex VIII to REACH.

You have provided an adaptation according to Column 2 of Annex VIII, Section 8.4.2. (first indent) in your dossier by providing an *in vivo* study performed according to OECD TG 474 (1983) with the Substance.

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue(s):

Under Section 8.4.2., Column 2, first indent, Annex VIII to REACH, the study may be omitted if "adequate data from an in vivo cytogenicity test" is available. ECHA Guidance R.7.7.3.1. clarifies that the *in vivo* study must be either a micronucleus test or a chromosomal aberration test, performed according to OECD TG 474 or 475, respectively⁶.

To be considered adequate, the *in vivo* study you submitted has to meet the requirements of OECD TG 474, and the key parameters of this test guideline include:

- a) The study must include a minimum of three doses/groups of treated animals, as well as a negative control group and a positive control group.
- b) Each group must have a minimum of 5 analysable animals (the test can be performed in either sex).
- c) At least 4000 immature erythrocytes per animal must be scored for the incidence of micronucleated immature erythrocytes.

The reported data for the *in vivo* study you submitted did not include:

- a) A positive control group (or scoring control).
- b) A minimum of 5 analysable animals.
- c) The analysis of at least 4000 immature erythrocytes per animal.

Therefore, the provided in vivo test is not adequate, and your adaptation is rejected.

To fulfil the information requirement for the Substance, both *in vitro* cytogenicity study in mammalian cells (Annex VIII, Section 8.4.2., test method OECD TG 473) and *in vitro* micronucleus study (Annex VIII, Section 8.4.2., test method OECD TG 487) are considered suitable.

In your comments on the draft decision you argue why the shortcomings identified above do not negatively impact the power of the provided study. ECHA agrees that the submitted information is sufficient to fulfil the information requirement. However, you must provide this information in your updated dossier by the set deadline of this decision.

2. Screening for reproductive/developmental toxicity (Annex VIII, Section 8.7.1.)

A Screening for reproductive/developmental toxicity study (test method: EU B.63/OECD TG 421 or EU B.64/OECD TG 422) is a standard information requirement under Annex VIII to REACH, if there is no evidence from analogue substances, QSAR or *in vitro* methods that the Substance may be a developmental toxicant. There is no information available in your dossier

⁶ ECHA Guidance R.7a, Table R.7.7-3, p.558



indicating that your Substance may be a developmental toxicant.

You have provided one key and one supporting study with the analogous substance 2-phenoxyethanol (EC 204-589-7):

1) Continuous breeding study (1990), equivalent or similar to OECD TG 416, reliability 2.

We have assessed this information and identified the following issues:

Grouping and read-across

As explained in the Appendix on general considerations your adaptation is rejected. In addition, the following endpoint-specific deficiency has been identified in your read-across adaptation:

Existing data

Although you do not explicitly claim an adaptation, ECHA understands that the information provided was submitted in order to meet the required information by way of adaptation under Annex, Section XI 1.1.2. This adaptation rule enables registrants to claim that the data from experiments not carried out according to GLP or the test methods referred to in Article 13(3) can be considered equivalent to data generated by those test methods where a number of cumulative conditions are met, in particular:

Adequate and reliable coverage of the key parameters foreseen to be investigated in the corresponding test methods referred to in Article 13(3), in this case screening for reproductive/developmental toxicity study (OECD TG 421). The key parameter(s) of this test guideline include for example weight and histopathology of reproductive organs and tissues of both sexes, duration of gestation, thyroid hormone measurements (P0 and F1), monitoring of oestrus cycle, anogenital distance (F1) and nipple/areola retention in male pups.

In the studies which you have provided the investigations for weight and histopathology of female reproductive organs and tissues, duration of gestation, thyroid hormone measurements (P0 and F1), monitoring of oestrus cycle, anogenital distance (F1) and nipple/areola retention in males pups have not been performed as required in EU B.63/OECD TG 421 or EU B.64/OECD TG 422. Therefore, the information requirement is not fulfilled.

Based on the above, the information you provided do not fulfil the information requirement.

Information on study design

A study according to the test method EU B.63/OECD TG 421 or EU B.64/OECD TG 422 must be performed in rats with oral⁷ administration of the Substance.

In your comments on the draft decision you argue that the information requirement for a screening for reproductive/developmental toxicity (A.VIII Section 8.7.1) may be adapted by providing a valid pre-natal developmental toxicity study (A.IX Section 8.7.2). ECHA agrees, however, you must provide this information in your updated dossier by the set deadline of this decision.

⁷ ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2.



Appendix C: Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH

1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.) in a first species

A Pre-natal developmental toxicity (PNDT) study (OECD TG 414) in one species is a standard information requirement under Annex IX to REACH.

You have adapted the standard information requirement according to Annex XI, Section 1.5. of REACH (grouping of substances and read-across).

You have provided the following studies conducted with the analogous substance 2-phenylethanol, EC 200-456-2:

- 1) Prenatal developmental toxicity study (1988) equivalent or similar to OECD TG 414 by the dermal route.
- 2) Prenatal developmental toxicity study (1986) equivalent or similar to OECD TG 414 by the dermal route.

We have assessed this information and identified the following issues:

Grouping and read-across

As explained in the Appendix on general considerations your adaptation according to Annex XI, Section 1.5. is rejected.

Based on the above, the information you provided do not fulfil the information requirement.

In your comments on the draft decision you agree to perform the requested study.

A PNDT study according to the test method OECD TG 414 must be performed in rat or rabbit as preferred species with oral⁸ administration of the Substance.

⁸ ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2



Appendix D: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

- 1. Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
- 2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
- 3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries⁹.

B. Test material

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test Material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

- Selection of the Test material(s)
 - The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:
 - the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
 - the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
 - the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
- 2. Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier
 - You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
 - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test Material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers¹⁰.

⁹ https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides

¹⁰ https://echa.europa.eu/manuals



Appendix E: Procedural history

This decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks at a later stage on the registrations present.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

The compliance check was initiated on 16 July 2019.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments

ECHA took into account your comments and did not amend the request(s).

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.



Appendix F: List of references - ECHA Guidance¹¹ and other supporting documents

Evaluation of available information

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

QSARs, read-across and grouping

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.6 (version 1.0, May 2008), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.6 where relevant.

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF, March 2017)¹²

RAAF - considerations on multiconstituent substances and UVCBs (RAAF UVCB, March 2017)12

Physical-chemical properties

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Toxicology

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

Environmental toxicology and fate

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

PBT assessment

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.11 (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.11 in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (version 3.0, February 2016), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.16 in this decision.

Data sharing

Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

OECD Guidance documents¹³

https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safety-assessment

https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across

¹³ http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm



Guidance Document on aqueous-phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media – No 29, referred to as OECD GD 29.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.



Appendix G: List of the registrants to which the decision is addressed and the corresponding information requirements applicable to them

Registrant Name	Registration number	(Highest) Data requirements to be fufilled

Note: where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas the decision is sent to the actual registrant.