CLH report

Proposal for Harmonised Classification and Labelling

Based on Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP Regulation), Annex VI, Part 2

International Chemical Identification:

2,4,6-Triisopropyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate; [TRIDI]

EC Number: 218-485-4

CAS Number: 2162-73-4

Index Number: n.a.

Contact details for dossier submitter:

RAHA

Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Federal Office for Chemicals Friedrich-Henkel-Weg 1-25 44149 Dortmund, Germany

Version number: 2.0

Date: July 2019

CONTENTS

1	ועוו	ENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE	1
		NAME AND OTHER IDENTIFIERS OF THE SUBSTANCE	
2	PR	OPOSED HARMONISED CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING	2
	2.1	PROPOSED HARMONISED CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING ACCORDING TO THE CLP CRITERIA	2
2		STORY OF THE PREVIOUS CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING	
3			
4		STIFICATION THAT ACTION IS NEEDED AT COMMUNITY LEVEL	
5	IDI	ENTIFIED USES	4
	5.1	General	4
		CONSUMER USES	
		ARTICLE SERVICE LIFE	
		WIDESPREAD USE BY PROFESSIONAL WORKERS	
		FORMULATION OR RE-PACKING	
		MANUFACTURE	
6		ATA SOURCES	
7		IYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES	
8	EV	ALUATION OF PHYSICAL HAZARDS	6
9	TO	OXICOKINETICS (ABSORPTION, METABOLISM, DISTRIBUTION AND ELIMINATION)	6
10) EV	ALUATION OF HEALTH HAZARDS	6
	10.1 10.2	ACUTE TOXICITY - ORAL ROUTE	
	10.2	ACUTE TOXICITY - INHALATION ROUTE	
	10.4	SKIN CORROSION/IRRITATION	
	10.5	SERIOUS EYE DAMAGE/EYE IRRITATION	
	10.6	RESPIRATORY SENSITISATION	
	10.	1 0	
	10. 10.	3 3 11	
	10.		
	10.		
	10.		
		6.7 Comparison with the CLP criteria	
	10.7	6.8 Conclusion on classification and labelling for respiratory sensitisation	
	10.7		
	10.		
	10.		
	10.8	GERM CELL MUTAGENICITY	
	10.9	CARCINOGENICITY	
	10.10 10.11	REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY	
	10.11		
	10.12		
11	EV	ALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS	19
12		ALUATION OF ADDITIONAL HAZARDS	
13		DDITIONAL LABELLING	
14		EFERENCES	
		ST OF ABBREVIATIONS	
15	LI) I OF ADDKEVIATIONS	44

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Name and other identifiers of the substance

Table 1: Substance identity and information related to molecular and structural formula of the substance

Name(s) in the IUPAC nomenclature or other international chemical name(s)	2,4-Diisocyanato-1,3,5-triisopropylbenzene
Other names (usual name, trade name, abbreviation)	Isocyanic acid, 2,4,6-triisopropyl-m-phenylene ester 2,4-Diisocyanato-1,3,5-tris(1-methylethyl)benzene 1,3,5-Triisopropylbenzene 2,4-diisocyanate 2,4,6-Triisopropyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate 2,4,6-Triisopropyl-m-phenylene isocyanate TRIDI
ISO common name (if available and appropriate)	-
EC number (if available and appropriate)	218-485-4
EC name (if available and appropriate)	2,4,6-Triisopropyl- <i>m</i> -phenylene diisocyanate
CAS number (if available)	2162-73-4
Other identity code (if available)	-
Molecular formula	$C_{17}H_{22}N_2O_2$
Structural formula	
SMILES notation (if available)	CC(C)C1=C(C(=C(C(=C1)C(C)C)N=C=O)C(C)C)N=C=O
Molecular weight or molecular weight range	286.37 g/mol
Information on optical activity and typical ratio of (stereo) isomers (if applicable and appropriate)	-
Description of the manufacturing process and identity of the source (for UVCB substances only)	-
Degree of purity (%) (if relevant for the entry in Annex VI)	-

1.2 Composition of the substance

Table 2: Constituents (non-confidential information)

Constituent (Name and numerical identifier)	Concentration range (% w/w minimum and maximum in multi-constituent substances)	Current CLH in Annex VI Table 3.1 (CLP)	Current self- classification and labelling (CLP)
2,4,6-triisopropyl-	80 -100	-	Skin Irrit. 2; H315
<i>m</i> -phenylene			Resp. Sens. 1; H334
diisocyanate			STOT SE 3; H335
EC No. 218-485-4			Acute Tox. 4; H332
CAS No. 2162-			Acute Tox. 1; H330
73-4			Eye Irrit. 2; H319
			Carc. 2; H351
			Skin Sens. 1; H317

2 PROPOSED HARMONISED CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

2.1 Proposed harmonised classification and labelling according to the CLP criteria

Table 3: Current, proposed, and resulting harmonised classification and labelling for TRIDI

	Index No	International	EC No	CAS No	Classification			Labelling	Specific	Notes	
		Chemical			Hazard Class and	Hazard	Pictogram,	Hazard	Suppl.	Conc.	
		Identification			Category Code(s)	statement	Signal Word	statement	Hazard	Limits,	
						Code(s)	Code(s)	Code(s)	statement	M-factors	
									Code(s)	and ATE	
Current											
Annex VI					No c	urrent Annex VI ent	ry				
entry											
Dossier					Resp. Sens. 1	H334	GHS08	H334			
submitters					Skin Sens. 1	H317	Dgr	H317			
proposal											
Resulting		2,4,6-triisopropyl- <i>m</i> -	218-	2162-73-							
Annex VI	TBD	phenylene diisocyanate		4							
entry if		phenylene unsocyanate	465-4	4							
agreed by											
RAC and											
COM											

Table 4: Reason for not proposing harmonised classification and status under public consultation

Hazard class	Reason for no classification	Within the scope of public consultation		
Explosives				
Flammable gases (including chemically unstable gases)				
Oxidising gases				
Gases under pressure				
Flammable liquids				
Flammable solids				
Self-reactive substances				
Pyrophoric liquids				
Pyrophoric solids				
Self-heating substances				
Substances which in contact with water emit flammable gases	Hazard class not assessed in this dossier	No		
Oxidising liquids				
Oxidising solids				
Organic peroxides				
Corrosive to metals				
Acute toxicity via oral route				
Acute toxicity via dermal route				
Acute toxicity via inhalation route				
Skin corrosion/irritation				
Serious eye damage/eye irritation				
Respiratory sensitisation	Harmonicad alassification proposed	Yes		
Skin sensitisation	Harmonised classification proposed	Tes		
Germ cell mutagenicity				
Carcinogenicity				
Reproductive toxicity				
Specific target organ toxicity-				
single exposure Specific target organ toxicity-	Hazard class not assessed in this dossier	No		
repeated exposure				
Aspiration hazard				
Hazardous to the aquatic environment				
Hazardous to the ozone layer				

3 HISTORY OF THE PREVIOUS CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING

Not applicable

4 JUSTIFICATION THAT ACTION IS NEEDED AT COMMUNITY LEVEL

There is no requirement for justification that action is needed at Community level.

According to Article 36 of the CLP regulation, respiratory sensitisation is an endpoint for which Harmonised Classification and Labelling (CLH) is warranted. Although skin sensitisation is not covered by Article 36, there is a close relationship between skin sensitisers and respiratory sensitisers (currently all known low molecular weight chemical respiratory sensitisers are also skin sensitisers). Therefore, it is the view of the Dossier Submitter (DS) that an assessment of skin sensitisation potential is an integral part of the assessment of respiratory sensitisation.

5 IDENTIFIED USES

A summary of the information available on ECHA's public website (accessed 2017-12-14) is given below¹.

5.1 General

This substance is manufactured and/or imported in the European Economic Area in 100 - 1000 tonnes per year. This substance is used at industrial sites and in manufacturing.

5.2 Consumer Uses

ECHA has no public registered data indicating whether or in which chemical products the substance might be used. ECHA has no public registered data on the routes by which this substance is most likely to be released to the environment.

5.3 Article service life

ECHA has no public registered data on the use of this substance in activities or processes at the workplace. ECHA has no public registered data on the routes by which this substance is most likely to be released to the environment. ECHA has no public registered data indicating whether or into which articles the substance might have been processed.

5.4 Widespread use by professional workers

ECHA has no public registered data indicating whether or in which chemical products the substance might be used. ECHA has no public registered data on the types of manufacture using this substance. ECHA has no public registered data on the use of this substance in activities or processes at the workplace. ECHA has no public registered data on the routes by which this substance is most likely to be released to the environment.

5.5 Formulation or re-packing

ECHA has no public registered data indicating whether or in which chemical products the substance might be used. ECHA has no public registered data on the use of this substance in activities or processes at the workplace. ECHA has no public registered data on the routes by which this substance is most likely to be released to the environment.

5.6 Uses at industrial sites

ECHA has no public registered data indicating whether or in which chemical products the substance might be used. ECHA has no public registered data on the types of manufacture using this substance. This substance is used in the following activities or processes at workplace: transfer of chemicals, closed processes with no likelihood of exposure, closed, continuous processes with occasional controlled exposure, closed batch processing in synthesis or formulation and laboratory work. Release to the environment of this substance can occur from industrial use: as an intermediate step in further manufacturing of another substance (use of intermediates) and for thermoplastic manufacture.

¹ The text is a mixture of excerpts from ECHA's public website and of text prepared by the DS. Direct use of original text is not specifically marked.

5.7 Manufacture

This substance is used in the following activities or processes at workplace: transfer of chemicals, closed processes with no likelihood of exposure, closed, continuous processes with occasional controlled exposure, closed batch processing in synthesis or formulation, transfer of substance into small containers and laboratory work. Release to the environment of this substance can occur from industrial use: manufacturing of the substance.

6 DATA SOURCES

This report has been created based on the data submitted by the lead registrant in the REACH registration dossier for TRIDI. In addition, further relevant data on TRIDI and related diisocyanates (cf. section 10.6) were retrieved as part of a general literature search in the context of the restriction proposal for diisocyanates recently submitted to ECHA by the DS.

A supplementary literature search was performed in the SCOPUS database on 2017-06-30 for all references in the areas of medicine, pharmacology, toxicology, or environment published in 2015-2017 and containing the keyword "isocyanate". Also the PubMed database was searched for that keyword and time range.

7 PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES

Table 5: Summary of physicochemical properties (all data taken from REACH registration dossier)

Property	Value	Comment (e.g. measured or estimated)
Physical state at 20 °C and 101,3 kPa	Liquid	Sensorial observation
Melting/freezing point	No melting of crystalline subcomponents between - 90 °C and 50 °C; Cooling run: no crystallisation; glass transition temperature (amorphous components) at -56 °C.	Experimental result [EU Method A.1 (Melting / Freezing Temperature): differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)]
Boiling point	305.07 °C at 99.8 kPa	Experimental result [VP 2/A: differential scanning calorimetry]
Relative density	1.046 (at 20 °C)	Experimental result [ASTM D 7042; equivalent to ISO 3104 or ASTM D445]
Vapour pressure	0.19 Pa (at 20 °C)	Estimated value [EEC-directive 92/69/EEC, method A.4. (Grain Watson estimation; calculation based on the lowest possible boiling temperature)]
Surface tension	N.a. (water solubility is below 1 mg/L at 20 °C; based on structure, surface activity is not expected)	-
	N.a. (substance reacts with water; hydrolytically unstable)	-
Water solubility	0.005141 mg/L (at 25 °C) (based on a estimated logPow value of 7.56)	Estimated value [QSAR estimation: WSKOW v1.41 (EPIWIN software by US-EPA)]

Property	Value	Comment (e.g. measured or estimated)
Partition coefficient n-octanol/water	LogPow: 7.56	Estimated value [QSAR estimation: KOWWIN v1.67 (EPIWIN software by US-EPA)]
Granulometry	N.a. (liquid)	-
Stability in organic solvents and identity of relevant degradation products	N.a. (stability in organic solvents is not a critical property of the substance)	-
Dissociation constant	N.a. (hydrolytically unstable)	-
Viscosity	Dynamic viscosity (at 20 °C) = 59.35 ± 0.37 mPa s Dynamic viscosity (at 40 °C) = 19.82 ± 0.05 mPa s	Experimental result [OECD Test Guideline 114 (Viscosity of Liquids): rotational viscometer (dynamic)]

8 EVALUATION OF PHYSICAL HAZARDS

Not assessed in this dossier

9 TOXICOKINETICS (ABSORPTION, METABOLISM, DISTRIBUTION AND ELIMINATION)

To the best knowledge of the DS, no studies on the ADME properties of TRIDI are available. In the registration dossier, the lead registrant has provided a general statement pointing out the assumed potential of TRIDI for rapid hydrolysis, fast absorption via inhalation and low dermal absorption by reference to the structural analogue toluylene diisocyanate (TDI). On the other hand, the potential for respiratory and skin sensitisation is acknowledged (Chemservice, 2011). Based on the latter, the DS concludes that whatever the amount of absorption which may occur via the dermal or inhalation routes is able to cause protein-hapten complex formation to such a degree that sensitisation can take place.

10 EVALUATION OF HEALTH HAZARDS

10.1 Acute toxicity - oral route

Not assessed in this dossier

10.2 Acute toxicity - dermal route

Not assessed in this dossier

10.3 Acute toxicity - inhalation route

Not assessed in this dossier

10.4 Skin corrosion/irritation

Not assessed in this dossier

10.5 Serious eye damage/eye irritation

Not assessed in this dossier

10.6 Respiratory sensitisation

10.6.1 Endpoint definition and evaluation strategy

According to Annex I, section 3.4.1.1 of the CLP regulation "respiratory sensitiser means a substance that will lead to hypersensitivity of the airways following inhalation of the substance" (European Parliament and Council, 2008).

Since there is still no validated and universally accepted test method for identifying respiratory sensitisers, there is currently no standard information requirement under REACH for this endpoint. For the most commercially successful diisocyanates on the market, such as HDI, MDI, or TDI, nevertheless a comprehensive database of human and non-human data is available demonstrating the potential of these substances to cause respiratory sensitisation (RS) in humans. In contrast, for those diisocyanates used in lower volumes such as TRIDI, the substance addressed by this dossier, data with respect to RS are scarce. For TRIDI, specifically, no human or animal data related to RS were identified by the DS.

Article 9 of the CLP regulation specifies how the hazard information is evaluated to decide on classification. The strategy followed in this dossier is therefore characterised by a category approach by means of which the knowledge about the RS potential of the three most commonly used diisocyanates HDI, MDI, and TDI is read across to TRIDI. The use of category-based read-across for classification and labelling is covered by Article 5 1. (2) of the CLP regulation, which in turn refers to the methods listed in section 1 of REACH Annex XI. The category approach is justified in the following section. Finally, all available information is combined in an overall weight-of-evidence assessment in line with CLP Annex I, section 1.1.1.3.

10.6.2 Justification of the category approach

10.6.2.1 Characterisation of the category approach in terms of the ECHA Read-Across Assessment Framework (RAAF, (ECHA, 2017b))

The approach relates to RAAF Scenario 6 (human health), i.e. the read-across hypothesis for the category is based on different compounds which have qualitatively similar properties, with no relevant variations in properties observed among source substances and the same strength predicted for the target substance².

The following sub-sections provide the justification for the read-across hypothesis, structured according to the Assessment Elements (AE) relevant for Scenario 6, as listed in Appendix F to the RAAF.

10.6.2.2 AE C.1 Substance characterisation

The identity of the target substance TRIDI has been characterised above. Table 6 provides information on the identity of the category source substances HDI, MDI, and TDI.

Table 6: Overview of target and category source substances used for read-across to m-TMXDI

EC Name; trivial name used in this report	EC No. CAS no.	CLH for sensitisation (Annex VI to CLP)	Structure
2,4,6-Triisopropyl-m- phenylene diisocyanate; TRIDI	218-485-4 2162-73-4	-	
Hexamethylene diisocyanate; HDI	212-485-8 822-06-0		0=0=0
4,4'-Methylenediphenyl diisocyanate; MDI\$	202-966-0 101-68-8	Resp. Sens. 1 Skin Sens. 1	0=C=N N=C=0
m-Tolylidene diisocyanate (80/20 mixture of 2,4-TDI and 2,6-TDI isomers); TDI ^{\$}	247-722-4 26471-62-5		

[§] The DS is aware that there are other isomers or isomer mixtures of MDI and TDI, but in this report these abbreviations refer only to the isomers listed in this table.

 2 Note that here the terms "no relevant variations" and "same strength" relate to the question "respiratory sensitiser – yes or no?" and not to relative potency.

10.6.2.3 AE C.2 Structural similarity and category hypothesis

As can be seen in Table 6, all members of the group (as well as the target substance) are monomeric diisocyanates, i.e. they share the structural feature of two isocyanate functional groups. It is also evident that the part of the molecular structure linking the two isocyanate groups may be variable.

10.6.2.4 AE C.3 Link of structural similarities and structural differences with the proposed regular pattern

It will be illustrated in the following sections that the respiratory sensitisation property depends solely on the diisocyanate feature common to sources and target, independent of variations in the molecular structure connecting the two isocyanate groups.

10.6.2.5 AE C.4 Consistency of effects in the data matrix

For all three source substances, plenty of human and non-human data are available to consistently demonstrate their potential to cause RS (cf. section below). Consequently, all three congeners share harmonised classification as Resp. Sens. 1. For details, the reader is referred to sections 10.6.4 and 10.6.5, as well as Annex 1.

10.6.2.6 AE C.6 Reliability and adequacy of the source data

This is addressed in the relevant parts of sections 10.6.4 and 10.6.5, as well as in Annex 1.

10.6.2.7 AE 6.1 Compounds the test organism is exposed to

In all studies used in this approach, the test organisms have been exposed to the source substances as described in Table 6 above.

10.6.2.8 AE 6.2/6.3 Common underlying mechanism, qualitative/quantitative aspects

In 2012, the Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) published the Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) for skin sensitisation initiated by covalent binding to proteins (OECD, 2012). Enoch and co-workers hypothesised that in a similar way covalent binding of electrophils to proteins in the lung marks the molecular initiating event (MIE) in a putative AOP for RS. In several publications, the authors characterised the corresponding chemical reaction domains and identified structural alerts which have now been integrated as profilers into the OECD QSAR Toolbox (Enoch et al., 2011; Enoch et al., 2009; Enoch et al., 2014). According to the authors, "iso(thio)cyanates have been shown to undergo an acylation reaction resulting in the formation of protein adducts" (Enoch et al., 2011). This is also shown in Figure 1 below.

$$-N = C = X$$

$$Nu$$

$$Nu$$

$$Nu$$

$$Nu$$

$$Nu$$

$$Nu$$

Figure 1: Acylation reaction for isocyanates (X = oxygen). Reproduced from (Enoch et al., 2011)

The isocyanate moiety is indeed a common alert in RS prediction tools. Dik et al. tested five different RS prediction models with a test chemical set also including isocyanates and diisocyanates; all of the models agreed on a positive prediction in all of the cases (Dik et al., 2014). In fact the IR & CSA guidance, chapter R.7a recommends to use the test set from this publication as a source for read-across (ECHA, 2016).

Agius et al. noted that "low molecular weight agents that can form at least two bonds with native human macromolecules carry a higher occupational asthma hazard. Thus bi- or polyfunctional low molecular weight agents such as diisocyanates and aliphatic or cyclic amines, as well as dicarboxylic acid anhydrides and dialdehydes, rank highly among organic low molecular weight substances" (Agius, 2000). A potential explanation might be found in that bifunctionality potentially allows for cross-linking of nucleophilic moieties within the same or different proteins which may result in a more marked change of conformation.

The potential reactivity of the diisocyanate source substances given in Table 6 above towards amino acids such as cysteine and lysine has been shown *in chemico* (Lalko et al., 2013).

In summary, the isocyanate functional group marks a well-known structural alert for RS for which there is some evidence that interaction with proteins might occur via an acylation type reaction between the electrophilic NCO functional group(s) and nucleophilic protein moieties such as amino or sulfhydryl groups.

Moreover, with respect to Table 6 above, the DS would like to point out that in terms of structure those molecular parts of the source substances separating the two isocyanate groups differ from each other, further highlighting that at least qualitatively the presence of the (two) isocyanate groups is the decisive factor for the RS potential, while the remaining molecular structure is of less importance (it might however have an impact on the physico-chemical and ADME properties and therefore relative potency which are not addressed in this dossier).

10.6.2.9 AE 6.4 Exposure to other compounds than those linked to the prediction

The DS is not aware that the presence of other compounds has influenced the outcome of the studies used for the category approach.

10.6.2.10 AE C.6 Bias that influences the prediction

Only the three most commonly used diisocyanates have been used as source substances, because most published literature on diisocyanates relates to these compounds. However, the DS notes that a number of further diisocyanates share classification as RS. An overview is given in the recent restriction report for diisocyanates (German CA, 2016) and the associated annex. The DS is not aware of any monomeric diisocyanate for which data convincingly show that the substance is not a respiratory (and skin) sensitiser.

10.6.3 Data retrieval, evaluation, and presentation strategy

Based on the above considerations, the strategy for data research and presentation followed in this dossier was chosen by the DS as follows:

- Identify all studies in humans and animals for TRIDI, HDI, MDI, and TDI. Notably, numerous studies demonstrate the ability of diisocyanates to cause symptoms of RS also after dermal exposure (cf. the restriction report for diisocyanates recently submitted by the German MSCA³), however, since the definition from the CLP regulation given in section 10.6.1 clearly asks for inhalation exposure, only studies along this route were evaluated for the current dossier.
- Evaluate and present the relevant human data for the three source substances HDI, MDI, and TDI (no relevant studies were identified for TRIDI).
- Filter animal data for relevance according to predefined criteria (cf. section 10.6.5).
- Evaluate and present the relevant animal data for the three source substances HDI, MDI, and TDI (no relevant studies were identified for TRIDI).
- Summarise, compare to the CLP criteria and conclude on a possible potential for RS.

10.6.4 Human data

The CLP regulation notes that evidence for chemical-induced RS (asthma/rhinitis/conjunctivitis/alveolitis) will normally be based on human experience. "The condition will have the clinical character of an allergic reaction. However, immunological mechanisms do not have to be demonstrated" (European Parliament and Council, 2008).

Human data relevant for RS assessment may comprise "consumer experience and comments, preferably followed up by professionals (e.g. bronchial provocation tests, skin prick tests and measurements of specific IgE serum levels); records of workers' experience, accidents, and exposure studies including medical surveillance; case reports in the general scientific and medical literature; consumer tests (monitoring by questionnaire and/or medical surveillance); epidemiological studies." (ECHA, 2016).

³ https://echa.europa.eu/registry-of-submitted-restriction-proposal-intentions/-/substance-rev/15016/term, last accessed 2017-10-21

Both immediate (seconds to minutes) and late-onset (up to several hours) hypersensitivity reactions may be present in patients with diisocyanate-induced asthma, with the prevalence of late responses being as high as 70 % (Niimi et al., 1996). The delay between onset of (low-level) exposure at work and the manifestation of the asthmatic symptoms, which may be as long as several years after the start of exposure, is of particular concern. In addition, patients often develop persistent bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR; often also the more general term "airway hyperresponsiveness/hyperreagibility (AHR)" is used interchangeably) to non-specific stressors including e.g. other chemicals such as methacholine, cold, dust, or physical exercise that can last for years even in the absence of continued exposure, and complete recovery of lung function may never be achieved (Johnson et al., 2004a).

The following endpoints are used regularly for the diagnosis of occupational asthma in human case reports, case studies, and epidemiological studies:

- clinical symptoms: wheezing, dry cough, intermittent shortness of breath, particularly in connection with physical activity,
- lung function testing following unspecific or specific bronchial provocation: Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV₁), Peak Expiratory Flow (PEF), and
- presence of diisocyanate-specific IgE and/or IgG antibodies.

Nevertheless, studies in humans frequently suffer from limitations. The full spectrum of parameters such as the test protocol used, the substance or preparation studied, the extent of exposure, the frequency of effects, the persistence or absence of health effects, the presence of confounding factors, the relevance with respect to group size, statistics, documentation, or the "healthy worker effect" which should all be reported (ECHA, 2016), is rarely, if ever, provided in these reports.

10.6.4.1 Human data for the target substance TRIDI

No relevant data for TRIDI were identified during the literature search performed for this dossier.

10.6.4.2 Human data for the source substances HDI, MDI, and TDI

More than 100 case reports and epidemiological studies have been evaluated. An overview of this evaluation is provided in Annex I, Table 1 (case reports) and Tables 2-7 (epidemiological studies). The case reports provide overwhelming proof that humans exposed to the source substances HDI, MDI, and/or TDI may suffer from a broad spectrum of respiratory effects including asthma and pathological changes of the airways. Also a number of fatal cases have been reported, albeit not in recent years. While during the early stages of the development of the disease, respiratory symptoms may eventually be reversed upon removal from exposure, an irreversible remodelling of the airways will eventually take place when exposure is continued. On the other hand these case reports do not allow for an assessment of the frequency of occurrence of respiratory sensitisation to TRIDI in the human population as they feature only a small number of patients and it is not known which fraction of all exposed persons is affected (and which fraction of the affected is reported). They are therefore not suited for sub-categorisation. In addition, no harmonised approach for sub-categorising respiratory sensitisers is available yet.

An overview of epidemiological studies on diisocyanates and respiratory effects conducted until today with short study descriptions and results is given in Annex 1, Tables 2-7. Despite a large number of available studies, none of these studies is eligible for deriving a reliable Exposure-Response-Relationship (ERR) due to limitations of the studies. This is also inherent in the mechanism of the disease. No study overcomes the problem that sensitive predictive markers for diisocyanate sensitisation are missing and that dermal exposure as well as inhalation peak exposure likely contribute to the induction of sensitisation but cannot be assessed appropriately to date.

10.6.5 Animal data

The recent update of the IR & CSA guidance, section R.7a notes that "although predictive models are under validation, there is as yet no internationally recognised animal method for identification of respiratory sensitisation." (ECHA, 2016).

In concert with human data, some types of animal data may play a supportive role in the qualitative assertion of respiratory sensitisation (ECHA, 2016; ECHA, 2017a; European Parliament and Council, 2008). With respect to the nature of relevant animal data, the CLP regulation states that "data from appropriate animal studies which may be indicative of the potential of a substance to cause sensitisation by inhalation in humans may include: (a) measurements of Immunoglobulin E (IgE) and other specific immunological parameters in mice; (b) specific pulmonary responses in guinea pigs" (European Parliament and Council, 2008).

From this wording the DS concludes that (test substance-specific) changes in immunological parameters as well as specific pulmonary responses may be important indicators of RS, whereas the absence of such effects in animals cannot serve as a proof of the absence of RS potential in humans. With respect to the species named in the regulation, over the years various animal species have been used as model species for RS and to the knowledge of the DS there is no scientific argument why immunological changes should only be relevant in mice or pulmonary responses only relevant in guinea pigs.

As a consequence, the animal database available for the three source substances and the target substance TRIDI has been evaluated and filtered for relevant studies (the complete list of studies is available in Table 8 in Annex I to this dossier). To that end, studies were discarded which used induction routes other than the inhalation route (or mixed designs including e.g. intradermal and inhalation induction). Only true inhalation studies were accepted, while those using intranasal exposure, intratracheal instillation, or oropharyngeal administration were not considered any further.

In the next step, studies were considered unreliable and therefore excluded from assessment if any of the following information was missing or incomplete:

- identity of the test substance
- the physical state of the test substance as applied (aerosol or vapour),
- the inhalation protocol followed (whole-body or head-/nose-only),
- confirmation of the presence of a negative control, and
- the number of animals per dose group.

Animal study designs for respiratory sensitisation have been manifold, involving a variety of species, protocols, and target endpoints, and a standardised protocol with regulatory acceptance is still missing. Therefore a negative result from an animal experiment on RS is not suitable to exclude the need for classification and labelling. Consequently, for the read-across assessment the evaluation concentrated on data providing a positive indication of respiratory sensitisation, therefore for HDI, MDI, and TDI only studies reporting the presence of one or more relevant effects were selected for further processing. Where several experiments were reported in one study report, only those with effects were processed further. Finally, studies using agents other than TRIDI or the three source substances (as per Table 6) in their monomeric form, i.e. their prepolymers, breakdown products or protein conjugates or other isomers for induction, or for which the exact identity was unclear, were also dismissed.

The effects observed in the remaining studies were captured according to the following four categories (and the experiments included or dismissed accordingly):

- production of test substance-specific IgE and/or IgG antibodies; for this, also experiments without an elicitation/challenge elicitation step were included,
- elicitation of dermal contact hypersensitivity (positive results in skin sensitisation tests upon intradermal or topical challenge); in the view of the DS, such experiments would also provide proof of a substance-specific immunological reaction. In the same sense, two reports of a "respiratory LLNA", i.e. an evaluation of the draining mandibular lymph nodes after inhalation induction by means of a stimulation index analogous to that used in the dermal LLNA, were included,
- impact on respiratory function; experiments showing effects on respiratory function were only included if these effects occurred as the result of a test substance-specific challenge, after repeated exposure, or after continuous exposure for several days. The latter two cases were included since the immune response will develop in parallel to repeated/continuous exposure and therefore later exposures or a later stage of long-time continuous exposure will have the character of an elicitation/challenge more than of

an induction exposure. For their relevance in human asthma diagnostics, also animal experiments employing unspecific challenges (e.g. with methacholine) to demonstrate AHR were included, although the CLP criteria ask for "specific pulmonary reactions" (cf. above). A decrease instead of an increase in respiratory rate was attributed to sensory irritation and experiments showing only this effect were excluded from further evaluation (although from a linguistical point of view, this would also constitute a "specific pulmonary reaction"),

• presence of inflammation markers (e.g. seen in histopathological evaluations or found in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid); to delineate RS from mere irritation, studies were only included if a) more than one exposure or a continuous exposure over more than one day occurred and b) at least one effect from any of the other three categories was found in the same study (not necessarily the same experiment).

In the end, a total of 36 experiments from 18 study reports, performed in guinea pigs, mice, and rats qualified for further evaluation. Table 7 provides an overview of the number of studies and their distribution over the different substances and rodent species.

Table 7: Overview of the number of available animal experiments per substance and species

Diagonomoto		Total			
Diisocyanate	Guinea pigs	Mice	Rats	Total	
TRIDI	-	-	-	-	
HDI	-	3	-	3	
MDI	6	-	6	12	
TDI	14	7	-	21	
Total	20	10	6	36	

10.6.5.1 Animal data for the target substance TRIDI

For TRIDI, no relevant animal studies/experiments with inhalation exposure were identified during the literature search for this dossier.

10.6.5.2 Animal data for the source substances HDI, MDI, and TDI

Table 8 provides an overview of the results of the experiments with HDI, MDI, and TDI selected for further evaluation regarding the potential of these substances to cause respiratory sensitisation.

Table 8: Studies for evaluating the potential of the source substances HDI, MDI, and TDI to cause RS in rodents following exposure via the inhalation route (sorted by species and year, see section 15 for abbreviations)

Strain	Sex	"Induction" Agent	"Elicitation" Route	"Elicitation" Agent	Physical state	Inhalation type	Animals/group	No. of "induction" exposures	Hours/exposure	Total days	Critical effect	Reference		
						Gui	nea pi	gs						
					-	-			8 12	2		3	AB	
ESH	F	TDI	IDE	TDI-GPSA	VP	НО	8	5	3	5	SS	(Karol, 1983)		
			INH	TDI-GPSA/ TMI-GPSA			12	3		3	RF			
DH	F	TDI	INH	TDI-GPSA	AE	NO	10	5	3	5	AB/RF	(Botham et al., 1988)		
DH	F	MDI	- IPE	- MDI-GPSA	VP	NO	5	5	3	21 22	AB	(Dearman and Botham, 1990)		
Hartley	F	TDI	INH	TDI	VP	WB	7	5	3	21	AB/IF/RF	(Huang et al., 1993a)		
Hartley	F	TDI	INH	TDI	VP	WB	6	5	3	26	AB/RF	(Aoyama et al., 1994)		
Hartley	?	MDI	MDI INH	MDI-GPSA	AE	NO	≥ 8	1	0.25	21/	RF	(Pauluhn, 1994)		
Hartiey	1	TDI	пип	TDI TDI-GPSA	VP	NO	_ 0	1	0.23	22	KF	(1 autumi, 1994)		

Strain	Sex	"Induction" Agent	"Elicitation" Route	"Elicitation" Agent	Physical state	Inhalation type	Animals/group	No. of "induction" exposures	Hours/exposure	Total days	Critical effect	Reference	
DH	F	MDI	INH	MDI	AE	NO	16	5	3	18	AB	(Rattray et al., 1994)	
?	?	MDI	INH	MDI	AE	NO	16	1	0.25	21/ 28	AB/RF	IUCL: (Bayer, 1995)	
DH	F	TDI	-	-	VP	WB	20	1	48 168	3 8	RF	(Gagnaire et al., 1996)	
DH	F	TDI	-	-	VP	WB	10	1	1344	56	RF	(Gagnaire et al., 1997)	
DH	F	TDI	INH	TDI/TDI- GPSA	VP	NO	8	1	0.25	21	AB/IF/RF	(Pauluhn and Mohr, 1998)	
Hartley	F	TDI	TOP	TDI	AE	NO	8	1	4	15	SS	(Ebino et al., 2001)	
							Mice						
C57BL/6	F	TDI	INH	TDI	VP	NO	5	30	4	56	AB/IF/RF	(Matheson et al., 2005a)	
C57BL/6	F	TDI	INH	TDI	VP	НО	5	30	2 4	1 56	AB/IF/RF	(Matheson et al., 2005b)	
BALB/c	F	TDI	INH	TDI	VP	WB	6-8	1	4	14	AB/IF	(Ban et al., 2006)	
BALB/c	M	HDI TDI	-	-	VP	NO	6	3	0.75 1.5 3 0.75 1.5 3	5	IF	(Arts et al., 2008; de Jong et al., 2009)	
]	Rats						
Wistar	F	MDI	-	-	AE	WB	8 12 20 80	436 65 260 436 520	17	610 98 365 371 728	RF IF	IUCL: (Hoymann et al., 1995)	

10.6.5.2.1 Guinea pigs

After exposing female English Smooth-Hair guinea pigs to vapour containing 0.02 ppm TDI twice for 3 h/d within 3 days, Karol demonstrated an increased production of TDI-specific antibodies. After five 3 h/d exposures on 5 consecutive days at concentrations of ≥ 0.12 ppm TDI, again specific antibodies were found (at concentrations ≥ 0.36 ppm); moreover, contact hypersensitivity was observed as a result of intradermal challenge with TDI-guinea pig serum albumin conjugate (TDI-GPSA) at concentrations of ≥ 0.12 ppm. Finally, following a specific bronchial provocation challenge with TDI-GPSA, a significant increase in respiratory rate (RR) was reported at ≥ 0.36 ppm (Karol, 1983).

Botham et al. (1988) reported the production of TDI-specific IgE- and IgG₁ antibodies as well as an increase in RR after bronchial provocation challenge with TDI-GPSA following exposure of female Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs to 1, 3 or 4 ppm TDI for 3 h/d on five consecutive days (Botham et al., 1988). In 1990, Dearman and Botham used the same exposure protocol in female Hartley guinea pigs with 11 mg/m³ MDI vapour and found an increased production of specific IgG₁ and – to a lesser degree – IgE antibodies. Intraperitoneal challenge with MDI-GPSA diminished the IgE, but not the IgG response (Dearman and Botham, 1990).

Huang et al. demonstrated increased histamine blood levels as well as mast cell degranulation indices at concentrations ≥ 0.12 ppm TDI after exposing female Hartley guinea pigs to TDI concentrations ranging from 0.03 to 0.37 ppm for 3 h/d over 5 d and challenging them with TDI three weeks later (Huang et al., 1993b). In 1994, the same group used a similar design (with induction concentrations of ≥ 0.02 ppm TDI) and demonstrated formation of TDI-specific IgG antibodies as well as effects on respiratory function (as percentage increase in respiratory rate) at concentrations ≥ 0.2 ppm (Aoyama et al., 1994).

Pauluhn sensitised guinea pigs via inhalation by a single 15 min exposure to 135 mg MDI/m³ or to 45 mg TDI/m³. Upon challenge with the same diisocyanate, either unbound or conjugated to GPSA at approximate concentrations of 12 (MDI) or 4 mg/m³, 21 d post-induction, increased immediate onset responses in respiratory function (in terms of a dimensionless parameter composed of peak expiratory flow rate, inspiratory and expiratory time/volume and tidal volume) vs. ovalbumin (OVA) controls were observed. The same animals displayed increased acetyl provocation indices vs. OVA when subjected to an acetylcholine provocation test one day later, i.e. 22 d post-induction (Pauluhn, 1994).

Rattray and co-workers reported a slight increase in IgG_1 levels in female Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs 18 d after five 3 h/d exposures to atmospheres containing ca. 20 mg MDI/m³ (Rattray et al., 1994).

In another study in guinea pigs, the animals were exposed via inhalation to 132 mg MDI aerosol/m³ for 20 min. Depending on the test group, challenge by inhalation was performed 21 or 28 days later, using a ramped test design (increasing concentrations of 0/5/15/35 mg MDI/m³, successively for 20 min per concentration level resulting in a total MDI exposure time of 1 h). According to the authors of the IUCLID summary, "low anti-MDI antibody titers [were observed] in animals sensitized to MDI (15/16). No association between elevated IgG1 anti-MDI antibody titers and respiratory responses or any of the bronchoalveolar lavage parameters could be established. [...] Only a borderline sensitisation occurred [...]. Mild MDI-specific immediate-onset responses were observed mainly during challenge to slightly irritant concentrations (35 mg/m³). A marked increase of neutrophilic or eosinophilic granulocytes could not be established. An activation of these cells could not be observed. Animals sensitized to high concentrations of aerosolized MDI showed a mild airway hypersensitivity without concomitant influx of inflammatory cells" (Bayer, 1995).

Gagnaire and co-workers demonstrated the development of AHR/BHR (measured as the dose of acetylcholine in a bronchial provocation test required to cause a two-fold increase in airway resistance vs. baseline) in female Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs following continuous exposure to 0.08 ppm TDI for 48 h, 0.046 ppm for one week, or 0.029 ppm for eight weeks (Gagnaire et al., 1997; Gagnaire et al., 1996).

Pauluhn and Mohr applied different inhalation exposure designs (1 x 15 min, 5 x 3 h/d, using different concentrations of 3.8 to 51 mg TDI/m³) to test female Dunkin-Hartley guinea pigs for respiratory sensitisation. They noted AHR/BHR (measured as a "flow-derived dimensionless parameter", or "FDP") after challenge with acetylcholine (ca. on days 20 and 22), TDI (day 21) and TDI-GPSA hapten-protein complex (around day 28). Four weeks into the test, production of TDI-specific IgG₁ antibodies was demonstrated. On sacrifice one day after the conjugate challenge, inflammation markers and histopathological lesions in the airways were observed to a varying degree in all groups (Pauluhn and Mohr, 1998).

Ebino and co-workers demonstrated skin sensitisation upon topical TDI challenge of Hartley guinea pigs sensitised two weeks before by a single four hour inhalation exposure to TDI (Ebino et al., 2001).

10.6.5.2.2 Mice

In studies in C57BL/6 mice using a single, 1-h inhalation challenge following a 6 wk inhalation induction regime (4 h/d, 5 d/wk), Matheson and co-workers (2005) observed "a marked allergic response evidenced by increases in airway inflammation, eosinophilia, goblet cell metaplasia, epithelial cell alterations, airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR), TH1/TH2 cytokine expression in the lung, elevated levels of serum IgE, and TDI-specific IgG antibodies, as well as the ability to transfer these pathologies to naïve mice with lymphocytes or sera from TDI exposed mice" (Matheson et al., 2005a; Matheson et al., 2005b).

Ban and co-workers induced sensitisation in female BALB/c mice by 4 h-exposure via whole-body inhalation to 3 ppm TDI on three consecutive days⁴. Challenge was either performed by two single 4 h challenges with 0.3 ppm TDI 7 or 12 days after the end of induction or by a single 4 h inhalation challenge with 2 ppm TDI 14 days after the end of induction, followed by a 1 d tracheal instillation with 50 μ g TDI-HAS conjugate/animal one week later. The authors reported increases in a number of inflammation markers

⁴ The abstract of this publication claims that induction was performed over "four consecutive days", however, the method section states that induction was performed on "days 0, 1, and 2". Coming from the methods section the latter information is assumed to be more reliable.

including cytokines (with some variability between the two designs) as well as a statistically significant rise of total IgE antibody levels (Ban et al., 2006).

Arts and colleagues used a "respiratory local lymph node assay", i.e. a study protocol in which male Balb/c mice were first exposed once per day on three consecutive days to HDI or TDI by inhalation, followed by an evaluation of the proliferation of the draining mandibular lymph nodes three days later. Both diisocyanates caused marked proliferation with the stimulation index exceeding a value of 3 at all inhalation concentrations applied (Arts et al., 2008; de Jong et al., 2009).

10.6.5.2.3 Rats

Hoymann and colleagues performed a combined inhalation chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity test in female Wistar rats using MDI. As a result of between 65 and 520 daily 17 h exposures, the author of the summary in the technical dossier noted "a dose-dependent impairment of the lung function in the sense of an obstructive-restrictive malfunction with diffusion disorder, increased lung weights, an inflammatory reaction with increased appearance of lymphocytes (but not of granulocytes) in the lung in the high dose group as a sign of specific stimulation of the immune system by MDI" (Hoymann et al., 1995).

10.6.6 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on respiratory sensitisation

10.6.6.1 Human data

For TRIDI, no human data relevant for the classification as a respiratory sensitiser were identified. However, a large database of human data on the source substances HDI, MDI, and TDI provides undeniable proof that these substances are able to cause RS in humans and are therefore rightfully listed as Resp. Sens. 1 in Annex VI to the CLP regulation.

10.6.6.2 Animal data

Again no relevant data for TRIDI were identified from the available data base. In contrast, exposure to the three source substances by inhalation was shown to trigger RS in a variety of rodent species as demonstrated by the production of specific antibodies, impairment of respiratory function, and characteristic inflammation markers in BALF. Observed respiratory symptoms (increased respiratory rate, effects on respiratory flow, laboured breathing etc.) resemble those seen in humans with asthma.

Skin sensitisation has also been observed following induction via inhalation.

Overall, the interdependencies and quantitative contributions to sensitisation of factors such as the species and strain used, concentration and total dose received upon induction, or the temporal pattern of dosing are still poorly understood.

10.6.7 Comparison with the CLP criteria

10.6.7.1 Human data

Section 3.4.2.1.2.3 of Annex I to the CLP regulation criteria states that the evidence required to demonstrate RS in humans "could be: (a) clinical history and data from appropriate lung function tests related to exposure to the substance, confirmed by other supportive evidence which may include: (i) in vivo immunological test (e.g. skin prick test); (ii) in vitro immunological test (e.g. serological analysis); (iii) studies that indicate other specific hypersensitivity reactions where immunological mechanisms of action have not been proven, e.g. repeated low-level irritation, pharmacologically mediated effects; (iv) a chemical structure related to substances known to cause respiratory hypersensitivity; (b) data from one or more positive bronchial challenge tests with the substance conducted according to accepted guidelines for the determination of a specific hypersensitivity reaction". Furthermore, section 3.4.2.1.2.5 notes that "the results of positive bronchial challenge tests are considered to provide sufficient evidence for classification on their own" (European Parliament and Council, 2008).

Since for TRIDI, no study in humans is available, a category approach is used for classification in accordance with CLP Article 5 1. (2) referring to REACH Annex XI, section 1. Numerous case reports and epidemiological studies with the source substances HDI, MDI, and TDI evaluated for this dossier report positive bronchial provocation tests with these substances and are therefore each sufficient on their own to justify classification for RS. In addition, many of the other criteria mentioned above are met by these reports.

On the other hand, no reliable ERR can be established from the database and therefore no reliable relative or absolute potency estimate can be made. In addition, reading across already unreliable potency information from the three different source substances to the target substance would be associated with a high degree of uncertainty. Moreover, no harmonised approach for sub-categorising respiratory sensitisers is available yet.

Still, these data are sufficient to classify TRIDI as Resp. Sens. 1 in accordance with the CLP regulation.

10.6.7.2 Animal data

Several studies in guinea pigs, mice, and rats with the analogue source substances HDI, MDI, and TDI were identified in which the production of specific antibodies and the impairment of pulmonary function as a consequence of exposure to disocyanates via inhalation were demonstrated.

According to the criteria already mentioned above (cf. section 10.6.5: "data from appropriate animal studies which may be indicative of the potential of a substance to cause sensitisation by inhalation in humans may include: (a) measurements of Immunoglobulin E (IgE) and other specific immunological parameters in mice; (b) specific pulmonary responses in guinea pigs"), these data lend qualitative support to the observations in humans noted in the previous sub-section.

10.6.8 Conclusion on classification and labelling for respiratory sensitisation

In summary, in a weight-of-evidence decision according to CLP Annex I, section 1.1.1, considering:

- general mechanistic knowledge on the biological effects of diisocyanates and
- a category approach using read-across of human and non-human data from the source substances HDI,
 MDI, and TDI to the target substance TRIDI,

the DS concludes that TRIDI should be classified as Resp. Sens. 1 (hazard statement H334: May cause allergy or asthma symptoms or breathing difficulties if inhaled) while the available data do not allow for subcategorisation.

10.7 Skin sensitisation

To the knowledge of the DS, there are no data in humans or animals demonstrating the potential of TRIDI to cause skin sensitisation. Above, for the endpoint respiratory sensitisation, already a category read-across approach to the three most commonly marketed diisocyanates has been applied. A justification for this read-across approach has been given above (section 10.6.2), which in much the same way applies to skin sensitisation and is therefore not repeated here.

Table 9 shows that not only HDI, MDI, and TDI, but in fact 14 out of 17 diisocyanates currently listed as respiratory sensitisers in CLP Annex VI are also classified as skin sensitisers. In addition, in parallel with the present dossier, the German CA has prepared four further CLH dossiers demonstrating – based on substance-specific data - that the diisocyanates m-TMXDI (EC 220-474-4), m-XDI (EC 222-852-4), TODI (EC 202-112-7), and NDI (EC 221-641-4, which is in fact the only one of the three substances being already included in Annex VI, but only with CLH for RS) are all strong or even extreme skin sensitisers in addition to being respiratory sensitisers.

As has already been stated in section 10.6.2 above, the available evidence demonstrates that the presence of two isocyanate groups already sufficiently indicates sensitisation potential, whereas the nature of the chemical structural moiety connecting the two isocyanate groups is of less importance, at least for the monomeric diisocyanates listed in Table 9.

Table 9: List of current entries in Annex VI of the CLP regulation for diisocyanates with classification as both Resp. Sens. 1 and Skin Sens. 1

EG	CAS	Structure	Name	Index No.
247-722-4	26471-62-5	CH, N=c=0	m-tolylidene diisocyanate; toluene-diisocyanate	615-006-00-4
247-714-0	26447-40-5	N=0=0	methylenediphenyl diisocyanate	615-005-00-9
227-534-9	5873-54-1	N=c=0	o-(p-isocyanatobenzyl)phenyl isocyanate; diphenylmethane-2,4'- diisocyanate	615-005-00-9
225-863-2	5124-30-1	NECE O	4,4'-methylenedi(cyclohexyl isocyanate); dicyclohexylmethane-4,4'-di-isocyanate	615-009-00-0
223-861-6	4098-71-9	H ₃ C CH ₃ N C II C O	3-isocyanatomethyl-3,5,5- trimethylcyclohexyl isocyanate; isophorone di-isocyanate	615-008-00-5
219-799-4	2536-05-2	N=c=0	2,2'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate; diphenylmethane- 2,2'-diisocyanate	615-005-00-9
212-485-8	822-06-0	0=c=N	hexamethylene-di-isocyanate	615-011-00-1

EG	CAS	Structure	Name	Index No.
209-544-5	584-84-9	H ₃ C N=C=O	4-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate; toluene-2,6-di- isocyanate	615-006-00-4
202-966-0	101-68-8	N=C=O	4,4'-methylenediphenyl diisocyanate; diphenylmethane- 4,4'-diisocyanate	615-005-00-9
202-039-0	91-08-7	N=C=O CH ₃	2-methyl-m-phenylene diisocyanate; toluene-2,4-di- isocyanate	615-006-00-4
420-530-1	-	CH, CI CH, NCCH, N	4,4'-methylene bis(3-chloro-2,6-di- ethylphenylisocyanate)	615-045-00-7
411-280-2	74091-64-8	0=c=0 N=c=0	2,5-bis-isocyanatomethyl- bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane	615-029-00-X

EG	CAS	Structure	Name	Index No.
402-290-8			S-(3-trimethoxysilyl)propyl 19- isocyanato-11-(6-isocyanatohexyl)- 10,12-dioxo-2,9,11,13- tetraazanonadecanethioate	607-184-00-7

10.7.1 Short summary and overall relevance of the provided information on skin sensitisation

The DS concludes that there is sufficient evidence from structural analogue diisocyanates to classify TRIDI as a skin sensitiser. It is however not possible to assign a sub-category for potency. It is stressed that also the lead registrant for this substance has self-classified TRIDI as Skin Sens. 1 in the C&L Inventory.

10.7.2 Comparison with the CLP criteria

Article 5, 1. c) of the CLP regulation foresees the possibility to use "any other information generated in accordance with section 1 of Annex XI to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006" for "the purposes of determining whether the substance entails a physical, health or environmental hazard". Since Annex XI inter alia allows for read-across assessments, the approach followed in this dossier (in light of the absence of any experimental data for TRIDI) is covered by the legal text.

10.7.3 Conclusion on classification and labelling for skin sensitisation

Based on read-across to a number of diisocyanates TRIDI should be classified as Skin Sens. 1 (hazard statement H317: May cause an allergic skin reaction).

10.8 Germ cell mutagenicity

Not relevant for this dossier

10.9 Carcinogenicity

Not relevant for this dossier

10.10 Reproductive toxicity

Not relevant for this dossier

10.11 Specific target organ toxicity-single exposure

Not relevant for this dossier

10.12 Specific target organ toxicity-repeated exposure

Not relevant for this dossier

10.13 Aspiration hazard

Not relevant for this dossier

11 EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS

Not relevant for this dossier

12 EVALUATION OF ADDITIONAL HAZARDS

Not relevant for this dossier

13 ADDITIONAL LABELLING

According to the CLP regulation, Annex II, section 2.4, the following special rule for supplemental label elements shall apply for mixtures containing TRIDI:

"Unless already identified on the label of the packaging, mixtures containing isocyanates (as monomers, oligomers, prepolymers, etc., or as mixtures thereof) shall bear the following statement:

EUH204 — 'Contains isocyanates. May produce an allergic reaction."

14 REFERENCES

Agius R.M. (2000): Why are some low-molecular-weight agents asthmagenic. Occupational Medicine (Pittsburgh, PA) 15 (2), 369-384

Aoyama K., Huang J., Ueda A., and Matsushita T. (1994): Provocation of respiratory allergy in guinea pigs following inhalation of free toluene diisocyanate. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 26 (3), 403-407. DOI: 10.1007/BF00203570 (last accessed 2016-09-19)

Arts J.H.E., de Jong W.H., van Triel J.J., Schijf M.A., de Klerk A., van Loveren H., and Kuper C.F. (2008): The respiratory local lymph node assay as a tool to study respiratory sensitizers. Toxicological Sciences 106 (2), 423-434. DOI: 10.1093/toxsci/kfn199 (last accessed 2016-09-19)

Ban M., Morel G., Langonné I., Huguet N., Pépin E., and Binet S. (2006): TDI can induce respiratory allergy with Th2-dominated response in mice. Toxicology 218 (1), 39-47. DOI: 10.1016/j.tox.2005.09.013 (last accessed 2016-09-19)

Bayer (1995): Diphenylmethane-4,4'-diisocyanate (MDI-monomer). Evaluation of respiratory sensitization in guinea-pigs following brief high-level inhalation induction exposure and challenge with ramped MDI concentrations. Report no. T1058323, III project 121-EU-MTX, III Report 11184, date: 1995-05-30. Bayer AG, Department of Toxicology. International Isocyanate Institute, unpublished

Botham P.A., Hext P.M., Rattray N.J., Walsh S.T., and Woodcock D.R. (1988): Sensitisation of guinea pigs by inhalation exposure to low molecular weight chemicals. Toxicology Letters 41 (2), 159-173. DOI: 10.1016/0378-4274(88)90089-6 (last accessed 2016-09-19)

Chemservice (2011): Read-across (bridging) possibilities for TRIDI based on structural similarities, date: 2011-11-14. Chemservice S.A. Rhein Chemie Rheinau Gmbh M., Germany and Raschig GmbH, Ludwigshafen, Germany,, unpublished

de Jong W.H., Arts J.H.E., de Klerk A., Schijf M.A., Ezendam J., Kuper C.F., and van Loveren H. (2009): Contact and respiratory sensitizers can be identified by cytokine profiles following inhalation exposure. Toxicology 261 (3), 103-111. DOI: 10.1016/j.tox.2009.04.057 (last accessed 2016-09-19)

Dearman R.J. and Botham P.A. (1990): Inhalation exposure to respiratory sensitising chemicals down-regulates guinea pig IgE and pulmonary responses. International Archives of Allergy and Applied Immunology 92 (4), 425-432. DOI: 10.1159/000235175 (last accessed 2016-09-19)

Dik S., Ezendam J., Cunningham A.R., Carrasquer C.A., van Loveren H., and Rorije E. (2014): Evaluation of In Silico Models for the Identification of Respiratory Sensitizers. Toxicological Sciences 142 (2), 385-394. DOI: 10.1093/toxsci/kfu188

Ebino K., Ueda H., Kawakatsu H., Shutoh Y., Kosaka T., Nagayoshi E., Lemus R., and Karol M.H. (2001): Isolated airway exposure to toluene diisocyanate results in skin sensitization. Toxicology Letters 121 (1), 79-85. DOI: 10.1016/S0378-4274(01)00325-3 (last accessed 2016-09-19)

ECHA (2016): Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment. Chapter R.7a: Endpoint-specific guidance. Draft version 5.0, date: 2016-06. European Chemicals Agency.

http://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13643/ir_csa_r7a_r7-3_caracal_draft_en.pdf (last accessed 2016-09-19)

ECHA (2017a): Guidance on the application of the CLP criteria. Guidance to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 on classification, labelling and packaging (CLP) of substances and mixtures. Version 5.0, date: 2017-07. European Chemicals Agency. European Chemicals Agency, Helsinki, Finland. https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/23036412/clp_en.pdf (last accessed 2016-09-19)

ECHA (2017b): Read-across assessment framework. ECHA-17-R-01-EN, date: 2017-03. European Chemicals Agency (ECHA), Helsinki, Finland. DOI: 10.2823/619212 (last accessed 2018-06-21)

Enoch S.J., Ellison C.M., Schultz T.W., and Cronin M.T.D. (2011): A review of the electrophilic reaction chemistry involved in covalent protein binding relevant to toxicity. Critical Reviews in Toxicology 41 (9), 783-802. DOI: 10.3109/10408444.2011.598141

Enoch S.J., Roberts D.W., and Cronin M.T.D. (2009): Electrophilic reaction chemistry of low molecular weight respiratory sensitizers. Chemical Research in Toxicology 22 (8), 1447-1453. DOI: 10.1021/tx9001463

Enoch S.J., Roberts D.W., Madden J.C., and Cronin M.T. (2014): Development of an in silico profiler for respiratory sensitisation. Alternatives to laboratory animals: ATLA 42 (6), 367-375

European Parliament and Council (2008): Regulation (EC) no. 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (last amended by Commission Regulation (EU) 2015/491 of 23 March 2015). European Union, Brussels. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:02008R1272-20160101&from=EN (last accessed 2016-09-19)

Gagnaire F., Ban M., Cour C., Micillino J.C., Bonnet P., and Hettich D. (1997): Role of tachykinins and neutral endopeptidase in toluene diisocyanate-induced bronchial hyperresponsiveness in guinea pigs. Toxicology 116 (1-3), 17-26. DOI: 10.1016/S0300-483X(96)03517-2 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

Gagnaire F., Ban M., Micillino J.C., Lemonnier M., and Bonnet P. (1996): Bronchial responsiveness and inflammation in guinea-pigs exposed to toluene diisocyanate: A study on single and repeated exposure. Toxicology 114 (2), 91-100. DOI: 10.1016/S0300-483X(96)03415-4 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

German CA (2016): Annex XV report. Proposal for a restriction. Substance name(s): Diisocyanates, date: 2016-10-06. https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/0013a374-b200-7486-6111-869c200f9a66 (last accessed 2018-06-21)

Hoymann H.G., Buschmann J., and Heinrich U. (1995): Untersuchungen zur chronischen Toxizität/Kanzerogenität von 4,4'-Methylendiphenyl-Diisocyanat (MDI) [Studies on the chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity of 4,4'-methylenediphenyl-diisocyanate (MDI)]. Forschungsbericht 116 06 084, date: 1995-09-01. Fraunhofer-Institut für Toxikologie und Aerosolforschung. Umweltbundesamt (UBA)

Huang J., Aoyama K., and Ueda A. (1993a): Experimental study on respiratory sensitivity to inhaled toluene diisocyanate. Archives of Toxicology 67 (6), 373-378. DOI: 10.1007/BF01977397 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

Huang J., Wang X.P., Chen B.M., Zhou X.J., and Matsushita T. (1993b): Dose-response relationships for chemical sensitization from TDI and DNCB. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 51 (5), 732-739. DOI: 10.1007/BF00201652 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

Karol M.H. (1983): Concentration-dependent immunologic response to toluene diisocyanate (TDI) following inhalation exposure. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 68 (2), 229-241. DOI: 10.1016/0041-008X(83)90007-8 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

Lalko J.F., Kimber I., Dearman R.J., Api A.M., and Gerberick G.F. (2013): The selective peptide reactivity of chemical respiratory allergens under competitive and non-competitive conditions. Journal of immunotoxicology 10 (3), 292-301. DOI: 10.3109/1547691x.2012.725784

Matheson J.M., Johnson V.J., and Luster M.I. (2005a): Immune mediators in a murine model for occupational asthma: Studies with toluene diisocyanate. Toxicological Sciences 84 (1), 99-109. DOI: 10.1093/toxsci/kfi051 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

Matheson J.M., Johnson V.J., Vallyathan V., and Luster M.I. (2005b): Exposure and immunological determinants in a murine model for toluene diisocyanate (TDI) asthma. Toxicological Sciences 84 (1), 88-98. DOI: 10.1093/toxsci/kfi050 (last accessed 2016-09-19)

Niimi A., Amitani R., Yamada K., Tanaka K.I., and Kuze F. (1996): Late respiratory response and associated eosinophilic inflammation induced by repeated exposure to toluene diisocyanate in guinea pigs. Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology 97 (6), 1308-1319. DOI: 10.1016/S0091-6749(96)70200-2 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

OECD (2012): The adverse outcome pathway for skin sensitisation initiated by covalent binding to proteins. Part 1: Scientific evidence. Document ENV/JM/MONO(2012)10/PART1, date: 2012-04-05. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Paris. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=env/jm/mono%282012%2910/part 1&doclanguage=en (last accessed 2016-09-20)

Pauluhn J. (1994): Assessment of chemicals for their potential to induce respiratory allergy in guinea pigs: A comparison of different routes of induction and confounding effects due to pulmonary hyperreactivity. Toxicology in Vitro 8 (5), 981-985. DOI: 10.1016/0887-2333(94)90231-3 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

Pauluhn J. and Mohr U. (1998): Assessment of respiratory hypersensitivity in guinea pigs sensitized to toluene diisocyanate: A comparison of sensitization protocols. Inhalation Toxicology 10 (2), 131-154. DOI: 10.1080/089583798197790 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

Rattray N.J., Botham P.A., Hext P.M., Woodcock D.R., Fielding I., Dearman R.J., and Kimber I. (1994): Induction of respiratory hypersensitivity to diphenylmethane-4,4'-diisocyanate (MDI) in guinea pigs. Influence of route of exposure. Toxicology 88, 1-3. DOI: 10.1016/0300-483X(94)90108-2 (last accessed 2016-09-20)

15 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AB: Antibodies

ADME: Absorption,

distribution, metabolism, and

excretion

AE: Aerosol

AHR: Airway

hyperresponsiveness

AOP: Adverse outcome

pathway

BAL(F): Bronchoalveolar

lavage (fluid)

BHR: Bronchial hyperresponsiveness

BT: Biuret

CLH: Harmonised

classification and labelling

CLP: Classification, labelling,

and packaging

DO: Dog

DS: Dossier submitter

DSC: Differential scanning

calorimetry

DH: Dunkin-Hartley

ECHA: European Chemicals

Agency

ERR: Exposure-Reponse-

Relationship

ESH: English smooth-hair

F: Female

FEF₂₅₋₇₅: Forced expiratory flow between 25 and 75 % of

FVC

FEV₁: Forced Expiratory Volume in one second

FEV₁%: FEV₁/FVC x 100 FVC: Forced vital capacity

GLP: Good laboratory practice

GP: Guinea pig

GPSA: Guinea pig serum

albumin

HDI: Hexamethylene

diisocyanate

HH: Human health

HMDI: "Hydrated MDI", 4'-methylenedicyclohexyl

diisocyanate

HO: Head-only

IC: Isocyanurate

IDE: Intradermal

IF: Inflammation

IgE/IgG: Immunoglobulin E/G

INA: Intranasal INH: Inhalation

IPDI: Isophoronediisocyanate

IPE: Intraperitoneal

CLH REPORT FOR 2,4,6-TRIISOPROPYL-M-PHENYLENE DIISOCYANATE

IR & CSA: Information requirements and chemical

safety assessment
ITR: Intratracheal
IUCL: Only IUCLID
summary available

IVE: Intravenous

JEM: Job exposure matrix

LLNA: Local lymph node

assay

LOD: Limit of detection

MDI: 4,4'-Methylenediphenyl-

diisocyanate

M: Male

MIE: Molecular initiating

event

MMF: Maximum midexpiratory flow

MO: Mouse

NCO: Isocyanate functional

group

NDI: 1,5-Naphthylene-

diisocyanate NO: Nose-only

n.s.: Not significant

OA: Occupational asthma

OR: Odds Ratio

OECD: Organization for Economic Co-Operation and

Development

OVA: Ovalbumin

PEF(R): Peak expiratory flow

(rate)

PHDI: Polymeric HDI
PIPDI: Polymeric IPDI
PMDI: Polymeric MDI
PR: Prevalence ratio

PU: Polyurethane

QSAR: Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship(s)

RA: Rat RB: Rabbit REACH: Registration, evaluation, authorisation and restriction of chemicals

RF: Respiratory function

RR: Relative Risk

RS: Respiratory sensitisation

SCU: Subcutaneous SS: Skin sensitisation

TDI: Toluyenediisocyanate, mixed isomers, isomer ratio

80:20 (2,4:2,6)

TDI_{UC}: TDI of unclear

composition

TMI: Toluvlenemono-

isocyanate

m-TMXDI: 1,3-Bis(1-isocyanato-1-methyl-ethyl)benzene

TOE: Toepad inoculation

TOP: Topical

TWA: Time-weighted average

VP: Vapour

WB: Whole-body