LiphaTech SAS		Difethialone J	une 2006
Secti	ion A4.2(e)	Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification	
Annex Point IIA, IV.4.2(e)/01		Difethialone residues in treated food and feedingstuffs	
		1 REFERENCE	Official use only
1.1	Reference	<ul> <li>Wolf, X. (2006).</li> <li>Development and validation of a residue analytical method for difethialone in meat (muscle), oil seed rape (seed) and lemon (whole fruit).</li> <li>XXX Xxx.,</li> <li>unpublished report number XXXXXX, 22 June 2006.</li> </ul>	
1.2	Data protection	Yes.	
1.2.1	Data owner	LiphaTech SAS.	
1.2.2	Companies with letter of access	None.	
1.2.3	Criteria for data protection	Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I.	
		2 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
2.1	Guideline study	SANCO/825/00 rev. 7.	
2.2	GLP	Yes.	
2.3	Deviations	No.	
		3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1	Preliminary treatment		
3.1.1	Extraction	Samples are extracted by blending and then shaking with methanol (meat and lemon) or methanol/water $4+1 \text{ v/v}$ (oil-seed rape). After centrifugation the samples are diluted with methanol/water.	х
3.1.2	Cleanup	None.	
3.2	Detection		
3.2.1	Separation method	HPLC, Thermo Hypersil-Keystone, Fluophase PFP column with mobile phase A: 95:5 v/v water/acetonitrile + 5 mM ammonium formate + 0.1% formic acid and B 95:5 v/v acetonitrile/water + 5 mM ammonium formate + 0.1% formic acid.	
		Time [minutes]         0         2.0         3.5         3.6         5.0           A [%]         60         0         0         60         60           B [%]         40         100         100         40         40           Flow [µL/minutes]         400         400         400         400         400	
3.2.2	Detector	MS-MS primary method (m/z: 81.0). Confirmation ion (m/z: 79.3).	
3.2.3	Standard(s)	External standard.	
3.2.4	Interfering substance(s)	Analysis of control samples demonstrated that there were no known substances which interfered with the detection of difethialone.	
3.3	Linearity		х
3.3.1	Calibration range	0.05 to 5.0 ng/mL.	
3.3.2	Number of	Seven.	

Doc III A4.doc

Difethialone

## Section A4.2(e) Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification

Annex Point IIA, IV.4.2(e)/01

Difethialone residues in treated food and feedingstuffs

	measurements					
3.3.3	Linearity	$R^2 = >0.9995.$				
3.4	Specifity: interfering substances	interfered with th with a different M	rol samples showed th ne detection of difethia MS-transition (m/z: 79 IS-MS is considered to	lone. An HPLO	C/MS-MS r r confirmati	nethod
3.5	Recovery rates at different levels	Recoveries from follows:	fortified oil seed rape, meat and lemon were as			
		Matrix	Fortification	Recove	ry (%)	
			level (mg/kg)	range	mean	n
		Oil seed rape	0.01	70 - 95	85	5
		(seeds)	0.10	90 - 99	95	5
			overall	70 – 99	90	10
		Meat (muscle)	0.01	78 - 87	81	5
			0.10	78 - 97	87	5
			overall	78 - 97	84	10
		Lemon (whole	0.01	87 – 99	92	5
		fruit)	0.10	94 - 102	98	5
			overall	87 - 102	95	10
3.5.1	Relative standard deviation	RSD values were	e as follows:			
		Matrix	Fortification level (mg/kg)	RSD (%)	Overa (%	
		Oil seed rape	0.01	12.8	10	.3
		(seeds)	0.10	3.8		
		Meat (muscle)	0.01	4.5	7.	8
			0.10	9.4		
		Lemon (whole	0.01	5.0	5.	2
		fruit)	0.10	3.8		

The limit of determination is 0.01 mg/kg (defined as the lowest concentration at which acceptable recovery has been demonstrated).

#### 3.7 Precision

3.6

3.7.1 Repeatability

Limit of

determination

RSD values are presented above under 3.5.1. Not applicable.

3.7.2 Independent laboratory validation

LiphaTech SAS		Difethialone	June 2006
Section A4.2(e)		Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification	
Annex Point IIA, IV.4.2(e)/01		Difethialone residues in treated food and feedingstuffs	
		4 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
4.1	Materials and methods	Samples are extracted by blending and then shaking with methanol (meat and lemon) or methanol/water (oil-seed rape). After centrifugation the samples are diluted with methanol/water. Determination is by HPLC/MS-MS with Thermo Hypersil-Keystone, Fluophase PFP column with mobile phase: 95:5 v/v water/acetonitrile 5 mM ammonium formate + 0.1% formic acid and 95:5 v/v acetonitrile/water + 5 mM ammonium formate + 0.1% formic acid (ion monitored m/z: 250.3).	
4.2	Conclusion	The method for determination of residues of difethialone in oil seed rape, meat and lemon has been adequately validated. The method was successfully evaluated and meets the EU criteria with respect to specificity, linearity and accuracy according to the guidance given in SANCO/825/00. The method requires equipment and instrumentation which is commonly available in most well-equipped laboratories. Therefore, the method is suitable for enforcement purposes.	
4.2.1	Reliability	1	
4.2.2	Deficiencies	No	

	Evaluation by Competent Authorities		
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE		
Date	31 December 2006		
Materials and methods	Agree with applicant's summary and conclusions.		
	Comments (3.1.1): Type of meat has not been specified.		
	<b>Comments (3.3):</b> A non-linear regression has been applied in order to achieve the best fit to the calibration data. The non-linear (exponential) equation is given as $y = a^*x^b$ .		
Conclusion	Agree with applicant's version.		
Reliability	1		
Acceptability	Acceptable		
Remarks	-		

LiphaTech SAS		Difethialone	
Section A4.2(e) Annex Point IIA, IV.4.2(e)/02		Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification	
		Difethialone residues in treated food and feedingstuffs	
		5 REFERENCE	Official use only
5.1	Reference	Xxxxxxx, X. (2005). Validation of Analytical Methodology to Determine Rodenticides in Food Matrices. Xxxxxx Xxxxxxxxx unpublished report number XXXXX, 16 June 2005.	
5.2	Data protection	Yes.	
5.2.1	Data owner	LiphaTech SAS.	
5.2.2	Companies with letter of access	None.	
5.2.3	Criteria for data protection	Data submitted to the MS after 13 May 2000 on existing a.s. for the purpose of its entry into Annex I.	
		6 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	
6.1	Guideline study	SANCO/825/00 rev. 6.	
6.2	GLP	Yes.	
6.3	Deviations	No.	
		7 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
7.1	Preliminary treatment		
7.1.1	Extraction	Cucumber	
		Difethialone is extracted from cucumber by blending with ethyl acetate The filtered extract is purified by SPE cartridge and determination is by LC-MS-MS.	
		Wheat	
		Difethialone is extracted from wheat by blending with ethyl acetate. The filtered extract is purified by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) prior to determination by LC-MS-MS.	
7.1.2	Cleanup	Gel permeation chromatography or SPE catridge.	
7.2	Detection		
7.2.1	Separation method	HPLC, Phenomenex Luna 150 mm x 2 mm i.d. column packed with 5 $\mu$ m Phenyl-Hexyl with mobile phase: 10 mM ammonium acetate and methanol.	
7.2.2	Detector	MS-MS (primary ion m/z: 79-81).	
7.2.3	Standard(s)	External standard.	
7.2.4	Interfering substance(s)	Analysis of control samples demonstrated that there were no substance which interfered with the detection of difethialone. There were no chromatographic peaks above 30% of the LOQ at the retention time of difethialone.	
7.3	Linearity		
7.3.1	Calibration range	0.03 to 1.2 μg/mL.	

Doc III A4.doc

Difethialone

Annex Point IIA,
IV.4.2(e)/02

Difethialone residues in treated food and feedingstuffs

7.3.2	Number of measurements	Eight.	
7.3.3	Linearity	$R^2$ (cucumber) = 0.951 and 0.955	
		$R^2$ (wheat) = 0.972 and 0.996	
7.4	Specifity: interfering substances	Analysis of control samples showed that there were no substances which interfered with the detection of difethialone. The use of LC/MS-MS is considered to be highly specific and self-confirmatory. There were no chromatographic peaks above 30% of the LOQ at the retention time of difethialone.	

7.5 Recovery rates at Recoveries from fortified cucumber and wheat were as follows:

RSD values were as follows:

### different levels

Matrix	Fortification	Recov		
	level (mg/kg)	range	mean	n
Cucumber	0.01	72 - 94	88	5
	0.10*	88 - 100	94	5
	overall	72 - 100	91	10
Wheat	0.01	81 - 117	101	5
	0.10	75 - 92	84	5
	overall	75 – 117	93	10

\* Values at this validation level were determined without the use of an internal standard

# 7.5.1 Relative standard deviation

Matrix	Fortification level (mg/kg)	RSD (%)	Overall RSD (%)
Cucumber	0.01	10.2	8.5
	0.10*	5.8	
Wheat	0.01	13.3	14.5
	0.10	9.3	

\* Values at this validation level were determined without the use of an internal standard

7.6 Limit of determination

The limit of determination is 0.01 mg/kg (defined as the lowest concentration at which acceptable recovery has been demonstrated).

- 7.7 Precision
- 7.7.1 Repeatability

RSD values are presented above under 3.5.1.

Not applicable.

Cucumber

7.7.2 Independent laboratory validation

#### 8 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

8.1 Materials and

LiphaTech SAS	Difethialone	June 2006	
Section A4.2(e)	Analytical Methods for Detection and Identification		
Annex Point IIA, IV.4.2(e)/02	Difethialone residues in treated food and feedingstuffs		
methods	Difethialone is extracted from cucumber by blending with ethyl aceta The filtered extract is purified by SPE cartridge and determination is LC-MS-MS.		
	Wheat		
	Difethialone is extracted from wheat by blending with ethyl acetate. The filtered extract is purified by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) prior to determination by LC-MS-MS.		
8.2 Conclusion	The methods for determination of residues of difethialone in cucumbe and wheat have been adequately validated. The methods were successfully evaluated and meet the EU criteria with respect to specificity, linearity and accuracy according to the guidance given in SANCO/825/00.The method requires equipment and instrumentation which is commonly available in most well-equipped laboratories. Therefore, the methods are suitable for enforcement purposes.		
8.2.1 Reliability	1		
8.2.2 Deficiencies	None		

	Evaluation by Competent Authorities
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE
Date	31 December 2006
Materials and methods	Agree with applicant's version.
Conclusion	Agree with applicant's version.
Reliability	1
Acceptability	Acceptable
Remarks	-