

Decision number: CCH-D-0000003717-68-03/F

Helsinki, 10 October 2013

**DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK OF A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 41(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006****For 2,2-bis[[ (2-ethyl-1-oxohexyl)oxy]methyl]propane-1,3-diyl bis(2-ethylhexanoate), CAS No 7299-99-2 (EC No 230-743-8), registration number: [REDACTED]****Addressee:** [REDACTED]

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

**I. Procedure**

Pursuant to Article 41(1) of the REACH Regulation ECHA has performed a compliance check of the registration for 2,2-bis[[ (2-ethyl-1-oxohexyl)oxy]methyl]propane-1,3-diyl bis(2-ethylhexanoate), CAS No 7299-99-2 (EC No 230-743-8), submitted by [REDACTED] (Registrant). The scope of this compliance check is limited to the standard information requirement of Annex IX, Sections 8.6.2. and 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. ECHA stresses that it has not checked the information provided by the Registrant and other joint registrants for compliance with requirements regarding the identification of the substance (Section 2 of Annex VI).

This compliance check decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks on the present dossier at a later stage.

This decision is based on the registration as submitted with submission number [REDACTED], for the tonnage band of 1000 tonnes or more per year. This decision does not take into account any updates submitted after 1 August 2013, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

The compliance check was initiated on 7 March 2013.

On 4 June 2013 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

By 4 July 2013 the Registrant did not provide any comments on the draft decision to ECHA.

On 1 August 2013 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals to amend the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

Subsequently, Competent Authorities of the Member States did not propose amendments to the draft decision and ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

## II. Information required

Pursuant to Articles 41(1)(a)/(b), 41(3), 10(a)(vii), 12(1)(e), 13 and Annex IX, of the REACH Regulation the Registrant shall submit the following information using the indicated test methods and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

- a. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, oral route (Annex IX, 8.6.2.; test method: EU B.26./OECD 408); and
- b. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats or rabbits, oral route (Annex IX, 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31/OECD 414).

Pursuant to Article 41(4) of the REACH Regulation the Registrant shall submit the information in the form of an updated registration to ECHA by **10 October 2015**. The timeline has been set to allow for sequential testing as appropriate.

Data from a second pre-natal developmental toxicity study on another species is a standard information requirement according to Annex X, 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant should firstly take into account the outcome of the pre-natal developmental toxicity on a first species and all other relevant available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI. If the Registrant considers that testing is necessary to fulfil this information requirement, he should include in the update of his dossier a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study on a second species. If the Registrant comes to the conclusion that no study on a second species is required, he should update his technical dossier by clearly stating the reasons for adapting the standard information requirement of Annex X, 8.7.2.

At any time, the Registrant shall take into account that there may be an obligation to make every effort to agree on sharing of information and costs with other registrants.

## III. Statement of reasons

Pursuant to Article 41(3) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to submit any information needed to bring the registration into compliance with the relevant information requirement.

### (a) Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.)

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. Adequate information on this endpoint needs to be present in the technical dossier for the registered substance to meet this information requirement.

In the technical dossier the Registrant provided information with which he sought to fulfil this standard information requirement. The provided information stems from a "Combined repeated dose toxicity study with the reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test" (test method: OECD 422). However, this study does not provide the information required by Annex IX, Section 8.6.2., because exposure duration is less than 90 days. The technical

dossier neither contained a testing proposal nor an adaptation in accordance with column 2 of Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. or with the general rules of Annex XI for this standard information requirement.

As explained above, the information available on this endpoint for the registered substance in the technical dossier does not meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

In light of the properties of the substance (liquid not irritating or corrosive to skin or eyes) and the information provided on the uses and human exposure, ECHA considers that testing by the oral route is most appropriate. According to the test method the rat is the preferred rodent species. ECHA considers this species as being appropriate.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 41(1)(a) and (3) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is requested to submit information on sub-chronic toxicity (90-day) in rats, oral route (test method EU B.26./OECD 408) derived with the registered substance subject to the present decision.

(b) Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.)

A pre-natal developmental toxicity study is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. Adequate information on this endpoint needs to be present in the technical dossier for the registered substance to meet this information requirement.

The Registrant has proposed to adapt the information requirement of pre-natal developmental toxicity. The justification of the adaptation given by the Registrant in IUCLID and CSR is the following: "*In the absence of any effects on the pups development including external and visceral examination at necropsy, no further testing for developmental toxicity is warranted*". Firstly, ECHA notes that the Registrant has not demonstrated the absence of effects on the pups development by providing a relevant study. The Registrant gives no indication as to possible skeletal and visceral examination of foetuses. This would only be covered by a pre-natal developmental toxicity study. Secondly, ECHA notes that neither Column 2 of Annex IX, 8.7. nor general rules for adaptation of Annex XI include such possibility to adapt this information requirement. Therefore, the adaptation of the information requirement suggested by the Registrant cannot be accepted.

As explained above, the information available on this endpoint for the registered substance in the technical dossier does not meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

According to the test method EU B.31/OECD 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species, the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species and the test substance is usually administered orally. ECHA considers these default parameters appropriate and testing should be performed by the oral route with the rat or the rabbit as a first species to be used.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 41(1)(b) and 41(3) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is requested to submit information on Pre-natal developmental toxicity on rats or rabbits, oral route (test method EU B.31/OECD 414) on the registered substance.

When considering the need for a testing proposal for a prenatal developmental toxicity study in a second species, the Registrant should take into account the outcome of the pre-

natal developmental toxicity study on the first species and all available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI; for example if the substance meets the criteria for classification as toxic for reproduction Category 1B: May damage the unborn child (H360D), and the available data are adequate to support a robust risk assessment, or alternatively, if Weight of Evidence assessment of all relevant available data provides scientific justification that the study in a second species is not needed.

#### IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

ECHA stresses that the information submitted by the Registrant and other joint registrants for identifying the substance has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant is reminded of his responsibility and that of joint Registrants to ensure that the joint registration covers one substance only and that the substance is correctly identified in accordance with Annex VI, Section 2 of the REACH Regulation.

In relation to the information required by the present decision, the sample of substance used for the new studies must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is within the specifications of the substance composition that are given by the joint registrants. It is the responsibility of all joint registrants who manufacture or import the same substance to agree on the appropriate composition of the test material and to document the necessary information on their substance composition.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new studies is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured by each registrant. If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new studies must be suitable to assess these grades.

Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grade(s) registered to enable the relevance of the studies to be assessed.

#### V. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such an appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on ECHA's internet page at [http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app\\_procedure\\_en.asp](http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app_procedure_en.asp). The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Jukka Malm  
Director of Regulatory Affairs