Section A6.6.1/6.6.2/

Genotoxicity in vitro

6.6.3

Ames test and chromosomal aberration test

Annex Point IIA6.6.1 /

6.6.2 / 6.6.3

_			
			Official
		1 REFERENCE	use only
1.1	Reference	Ishidate, M. Jr., Sofuni, T., Yoshikawa, K., Hayashi, M., Nohmi, T., Sawada, M., Matsuoka, A. (1984)	
		Primary mutagenicity screening of food additivies currently used in Japan	
		Fd Chem. Toxic., Vol. 22, No. 8, pp. 623-636	
		Not GLP, published	
1.2	Data protection	No	
1.2.1	Data owner	Not applicable	
1.2.2	Companies with letter of access	Not applicable	
1.2.3	Criteria for data protection	No data protection claimed	
		2 CHIDELINES AND OHALLTY ASSUDANCE	
2.1	Cuidalina atuda	2 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	v
2.1	Guideline study	No Y	X
2.2	GLP	No, not common to report in literature	
2.3	Deviations	Not applicable	X
		3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1	Test material	Lactic acid and 241 other food additives	
3.1.1	Lot/Batch number	Not reported	
3.1.2	Specification	Not reported	
3.1.2.	1 Description	Not reported	
011121	Description	90.5%	
3.1.2.	2 Purity	50.070	
3.1.2.	3 Stability	Not reported	
3.2	Study Type	Standard plate incorporation assay (Maron and Ames, 1983) and chromosomal aberration tests in vitro	
3.2.1	Organism/cell type	Ames test: $Salmonella\ typhimurium\ strains\ TA92,\ TA1535,\ TA100,\ and\ TA1537,\ TA94,\ and\ TA98.$	
		Chromosomal aberration test: Chinese hamster fibroblast cell line	
3.2.2	Deficiencies / Proficiencies	Not applicable	
3.2.3	Metabolic activation system	Ames test:: S9 mix (rat liver microsome fraction)	
		Chromosomal aberration test: no metabolic activation system applied	
3.2.4	Positive control	No	

Section A6.6.1/6.6.2/

Genotoxicity in vitro

6.6.3

Ames test and chromosomal aberration test

Annex Point IIA6.6.1 / **6.6.2** / **6.6.3**

3.3	Administration / Exposure; Application of test substance	
3.3.1	Concentrations	Ames test: maximum dose: 10 mg/plate
		Chromosomal aberration test: maximum dose: 1.0 mg/mL
3.3.2	Way of application	Ames test: dissolved in phosphate buffer
		Chromosomal aberration test: dissolved in physiological saline
3.3.3	Pre-incubation time	Ames test: pre-incubation with both the test sample and the S-9 mix for 20 min at 37° C before plating
		Chromosomal aberration test: no pre-incubation
3.3.4	Other modifications	Not applicable
3.4	Examinations	Ames test: mutagenic activity (His+ revertants)
		Chromosomal aberration test: incidence of polyploidy cells and cells with structural chromosomal aberrations (chromatid or chromosome gaps, breaks, exchanges, ring formations, fragmentations and others)
3.4.1	Number of cells	Ames test: not applicable
	evaluated	Chromosomal aberration test: a hundred well-spread metaphases

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.5	Genotoxicity	
3.5.1	without metabolic activation	No
3.5.2	with metabolic activation	No
3.6	Cytotoxicity	No
		A DDI ICANTIC CUMMADY AND CONCLUCION
		4 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION
	35	0. 1 1 1
4.1	Materials and methods	Standard plate incorporation assay (Maron and Ames, 1983) and chromosomal aberration tests in vitro
4.1		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	methods Results and	chromosomal aberration tests in vitro
4.2	methods Results and discussion	chromosomal aberration tests in vitro No genotoxicity detected

 \mathbf{X}

Section A6.6.1/6.6.2/

Genotoxicity in vitro

6.6.3

Ames test and chromosomal aberration test

Annex Point IIA6.6.1 /

6.6.2 / 6.6.3

	Evaluation by Competent Authorities
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE
Date	200 8 9/ 1 012/ 18 22
Materials and Methods	Applicant's version is acceptable.
Results and discussion	Applicant's version is acceptable.
Conclusion	Lactic acid revealed no genotoxic properties in the Ames test and a chromosomal aberration assay under the conditions tested.
Reliability	2
Acceptability	Acceptable
Remarks	No detailed data (e.g. no. of revertants) are None reported.
	COMMENTS FROM
Date	Give date of comments submitted
Materials and Methods	Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Results and discussion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Conclusion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Reliability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Acceptability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state
Remarks	