

Helsinki, 14 January 2022

Addressees

Registrant of BIS244TMP_PHOSPHINIC_ACID_JR listed in the last Appendix of this decision

Date of submission of the dossier subject of a decision

10/12/2020

Registered substance subject to this decision, hereafter 'the Substance'

Substance name: Bis(2,4,4-trimethylpentyl)phosphinic acid

EC number: 280-445-7

CAS number: 83411-71-6

Decision number: Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format TPE-D-XXXXXXXXXX-XX-XX/F)**DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S)**

Based on Article 40 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below by **23 October 2023**.

The requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

A. Information required from the Registrants subject to Annex IX of REACH

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.; test method: EU B.26./OECD TG 408) by oral route, in rats, modified to include urinalysis and immunohistochemical investigation of renal pathology.
2. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates (Annex IX, Section 9.1.5.; test method: EU C.20./OECD TG 211)
3. Long-term toxicity testing on fish (Annex IX, Section 9.1.6.; test method: EU C.47./OECD TG 210)

The reasons for the requests are explained in the following appendix entitled "Reasons to request information required under Annexes IX of REACH".

Information required depends on your tonnage band

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you, and in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH, the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa.

How to comply with your information requirements

To comply with your information requirements, you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also update the chemical safety report, where relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general testing and reporting requirements provided under the Appendix entitled "Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes". For references used in this decision, please consult the Appendix entitled "List of references".

Appeal

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: <http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>.

Approved¹ under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

¹ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

Appendix A: Reasons to request information required under Annex IX of REACH

This decision is based on the examination of the testing proposals you submitted.

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-days)

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 8.6.2.).

1.1. Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

You have submitted a testing proposal for a Sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) according to OECD TG 408 with the Substance.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Repeated dose toxicity. You provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA agrees that a 90-day study is necessary.

1.2. Specification of the study design

You did not specify the species to be used for testing. According to the OECD TG 408, the rat is the preferred species. Therefore, the study must be conducted in the rat.

You did not specify the route for testing. The oral route of administration is the first choice for investigating systemic toxicity (ECHA Guidance R.7a, Section R.7.5.4.3.2.).

The OECD TG 422 study (██████ 2013) with the Substance shows adverse effects in the kidneys of male rats, manifested by dose-related increase in the incidence and severity of tubular hyaline droplets in renal epithelium and increased kidney weights in males. This indicates that the kidney is a target organ of the Substance.

The study considers that this is '*consistent with a2u globulin*'. Alpha-2 μ -globulin-mediated nephropathy may occur in male rats. Since this mode of action is not considered relevant to humans, the involvement of alpha-2 μ -globulin in the kidney effects is a key parameter for establishing the relevance of the kidney effects for human risk assessment.

Therefore, the study must include the following to investigate the kidney function after administration of the Substance:

- urinalysis (for specifications see OECD TG 408, paragraph 37); and
- histopathological examination of the kidneys of all animals in all dose groups with an additional immunohistochemical staining for alpha-2u globulin.

1.3. Outcome

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.

In your comments on the draft decision, you agree to conduct the study.

2. Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates

Long-term toxicity testing on aquatic invertebrates is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.5.).

2.1 Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

You have submitted a testing proposal for a *Daphnia magna* reproduction test (test method: EU C.20/OECD TG 211).

Your registration dossier does not include any information on long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates.

ECHA agrees that an appropriate study on long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates is needed.

2.2 Test selection and study specifications

The proposed *Daphnia magna* reproduction test (test method: EU C.20/OECD TG 211) is appropriate to cover the information requirement for long-term toxicity on aquatic invertebrates (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.4.1.).

The Substance is difficult to test due to the surface-active properties (surface tension of 47 mN/m at 22°C). OECD TG 211 specifies that, for difficult to test substances, you must consider the approach described in OECD GD 23 or other approaches, if more appropriate for your substance. In all cases, the approach selected must be justified and documented. Due to the properties of Substance, it may be difficult to achieve and maintain the desired exposure concentrations. Therefore, you must monitor the test concentration(s) of the Substance throughout the exposure duration and report the results. If it is not possible to demonstrate the stability of exposure concentrations (i.e. measured concentration(s) not within 80-120% of the nominal concentration(s)), you must express the effect concentration based on measured values as described in OECD TG 211. In case a dose-response relationship cannot be established (no observed effects), you must demonstrate that the approach used to prepare test solutions was adequate to maximise the concentration of the Substance in the test solutions.

2.3 Outcome

Your testing proposal is accepted under Article 40(3)(a) and you are requested to conduct the test with the Substance, as specified above.

In your comments on the draft decision, you agree to conduct the study.

3. Long-term toxicity testing on fish

Long-term toxicity testing on fish is an information requirement under Annex IX to REACH (Section 9.1.6.).

Under Article 40(3)(c) of REACH, ECHA may require a registrant to carry out one or more additional tests in case of non-compliance of the testing proposal with Annexes IX, X or XI of the REACH Regulation. The information requirement on Aquatic toxicity at Annex IX covers both long-term toxicity on invertebrates (Section 9.1.5.) and on fish (Section 9.1.6.). However, you have provided a testing proposal for long-term testing on aquatic invertebrates only. In case of a data gap for long-term toxicity testing on fish, it is necessary to request this information as an additional test to ensure compliance with the endpoint.

3.1 Information provided to fulfil the information requirement

Your registration dossier does not include any information on long-term toxicity on fish. Instead, you have provided the following justification to omit the study which you consider to be based on Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2: *"In general, further testing is proposed if the CSA indicates the need to investigate further the effects on aquatic organisms, which implies long-term testing on fish and long-term testing on invertebrates for substances covered by Annex VIII and Annex IX to REACH. For fish, an EC50(96h) >22 mg/l was considered for the evaluation of the chemical safety assessment. while the EC50(48h) for daphnia magna was > 9.9 mg/l). Based on the available short-term studies, Daphnia was considered as the most sensitive species for the chemical safety assessment. The PEC/PNEC was however determined to be <1 for all the exposure scenarios and no long-term toxicity testing on fish is considered as necessary."*

We have assessed this information and identified the following issue:

Annex IX, Section 9.1., Column 2 does not allow omitting the need to submit information on long-term toxicity to fish under Column 1. It must be understood as a trigger for providing information on a study further to one of the three listed in Column 1 of Section 9.1.6. of Annex IX if the chemical safety assessment according to Annex I indicates the need (Decision of the Board of Appeal in case A-011-2018).

Therefore, your adaptation is rejected and the information requirement is not fulfilled.

In the comments to the draft decision, you indicate that you will improve the justification to waive this information requirement, based on exposure considerations according to Annex XI, Section 3.1(a) of REACH regulation ('Substance-tailored exposure-driven testing').

In the absence of the revised adaptation of the information, ECHA cannot yet assess the information. Please note that this decision does not take into account updates of the registration dossiers after the date on which you were notified of the draft decision according to Article 50(1) of REACH (see section 5.4. of ECHA's Practical Guide "How to act in Dossier Evaluation"). Furthermore, the studies requested under A.1 and A.2 in this decision may have effects on your current intended adaptation.

3.2 Test selection and study specifications

The Fish, Early-Life Stage Toxicity Test (test method: OECD TG 210) is appropriate to cover the information requirement for long-term toxicity on fish (ECHA Guidance R.7.8.4.1.).

OECD TG 210 specifies that for difficult to test substances OECD GD 23 must be followed. As already explained under Appendix A.2, the Substance is difficult to test. Therefore, you must fulfil the requirements described in 'Study design' under Appendix A.2.

3.3 Outcome

Under Article 40(3)(c) of REACH you are requested to carry out the additional test with the Substance, as specified above.

Appendix B: Requirements to fulfil when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

A. Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

1. Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.
2. Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.
3. Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries².

B. Test material

1. Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
 - the impact of each constituent/ impurity on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/ impurity of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test material must contain that constituent/ impurity.
2. Information on the Test material needed in the updated dossier
 - You must report the composition of the Test material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
 - The reported composition must include all constituents of each Test material and their concentration values and other parameters relevant for the property to be tested.

This information is needed to assess whether the Test material is relevant for the Substance.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers³.

² <https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides>

³ <https://echa.europa.eu/manuals>

Appendix C: Procedure

ECHA started the testing proposal evaluation in accordance with Article 40(1) on 1 February 2021.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposal(s) from 18 March 2021 until 3 May 2021. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA took into account your comments and did not amend the requests but amended the deadline.

In your comments on the draft decision, you requested an extension of the deadline to provide information from 18 to 24 months from the date of adoption of the decision. You considered that the extension of 6 months is needed due to the extra time needed for the development and validation of a suitable analytical method. You further indicate that the studies could be delayed due to current limited capacity of the test laboratories, however you do not provide any documentary evidence of the limited test laboratory capacity.

ECHA notes the lack of the requested documentation of the limited test laboratory capacity but acknowledges the difficulties noted in your comments in conducting the test, including the development of a suitable analytical method.

On this basis, ECHA has extended the deadline to 21 months.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.

Appendix D: List of references - ECHA Guidance⁴ and other supporting documentsEvaluation of available information

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.4 (version 1.1., December 2011), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.4 where relevant.

QSARs, read-across and grouping

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.6 (version 1.0, May 2008), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.6 where relevant.

Read-across assessment framework (RAAF, March 2017)⁵

RAAF - considerations on multi-constituent substances and UVCBs (RAAF UVCB, March 2017)⁶

Physical-chemical properties

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Toxicology

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

Environmental toxicology and fate

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7a (version 6.0, July 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7a in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7b (version 4.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7b in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.7c (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.7c in this decision.

PBT assessment

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.11 (version 3.0, June 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.11 in this decision.

Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (version 3.0, February 2016), referred to as ECHA Guidance R.16 in this decision.

Data sharing

Guidance on data-sharing (version 3.1, January 2017), referred to as ECHA Guidance on data sharing in this decision.

⁴ <https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-information-requirements-and-chemical-safety-assessment>

⁵ <https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across>

⁶ https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13630/raaf_uvcb_report_en.pdf/3f79684d-07a5-e439-16c3-d2c8da96a316

OECD Guidance documents⁷

Guidance Document on aqueous-phase aquatic toxicity testing of difficult test chemicals – No 23, referred to as OECD GD 23.

Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media – No 29, referred to as OECD GD 29.

Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption – No 150, referred to as OECD GD 150.

Guidance Document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test – No 151, referred to as OECD GD 151.

⁷ <http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/series-testing-assessment-publications-number.htm>

Appendix E: Addressees of this decision and the corresponding information requirements applicable to them

You must provide the information requested in this decision for all REACH Annexes applicable to you.

Registrant Name	Registration number	Highest REACH Annex applicable to you
████████████████████	████████████████████	██████

Where applicable, the name of a third party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.