

Justification Document for the Selection of a CoRAP Substance

Substance Name (public name): 2,3-epoxypropyl o-tolyl ether

EC Number: 218-645-3 **CAS Number:** 2210-79-9

Authority: DK MSCA

Date: 22/03/2016

Note

This document has been prepared by the evaluating Member State given in the CoRAP update.

Contents

1	IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE 1.1 Other identifiers of the substance	
2	OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION	4
3	HAZARD INFORMATION (INCLUDING CLASSIFICATION) 3.1 Classification 3.1.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP 3.1.2 Self classification	5 5 5
	3.1.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP	5
4	INFORMATION ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USES 4.1 Tonnage and registration status 4.2 Overview of uses	6
5.	JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE 5.1. Legal basis for the proposal 5.2. Selection criteria met (why the substance qualifies for being in CoRAP) 5.3 Initial grounds for concern to be clarified under Substance Evaluation 5.4 Preliminary indication of information that may need to be requested to clarify the concern 5.5 Potential follow-up and link to risk management	

1 IDENTITY OF THE SUBSTANCE

1.1 Other identifiers of the substance

Table: Other Substance identifiers

EC name (public):	2,3-epoxypropyl o-tolyl ether
IUPAC name (public):	2-[(2-methylphenoxy)methyl]oxirane
Index number in Annex VI of the CLP Regulation:	603-056-00-X
Molecular formula:	$C_{10}H_{12}O_2$
Molecular weight or molecular weight range:	
Synonyms:	ARALDITE® DY-K ARALDITE® DY 023 D.E.R.(TM) 723 Epoxy Diluent EPOTEC RD 105

Type of substance \boxtimes Mono-constituent \square Multi-constituent \square UVCB

Structural formula:

2 OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION

Table: Completed or ongoing processes

RMOA		\square Risk Management Option Analysis (RMOA)
	on	☐ Compliance check, Final decision
	Evaluation	⊠ Testing proposal
ssses		☐ CoRAP and Substance Evaluation
REACH Processes	Authorisation	☐ Candidate List
REA	Author	☐ Annex XIV
	Restri -ction	☐ Annex XVII
Harmonised C&L	☑ Annex VI (CLP) (see section 3.1)	
sses other lation		☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009
Processes under other EU legislation		☐ Biocidal Product Regulation Regulation (EU) 528/2012 and amendments
ion		□ Dangerous substances Directive □ Directive 67/548/EEC (NONS)
Previous legislation	☐ Existing Substances Regulation Regulation 793/93/EEC (RAR/RRS)	
UNEP) ockholm nvention (POPs		☐ Assessment
(UNEP) Stockholm convention (POPs Protocol)		☐ In relevant Annex
Other processes / EU legislation		\square Other (provide further details below)

3 HAZARD INFORMATION (INCLUDING CLASSIFICATION)¹

3.1 Classification

3.1.1 Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

Table: Harmonised classification

Index No	International Chemical Identification	hemical No		Classifica	tion	Spec. Conc. Limits,	Notes	
				Hazard Class and Category Code(s)	Hazard statement code(s)	M- factors		
603-056- 00-X	2,3- epoxypropyl o-tolyl ether	218- 645-3	2210- 79-9	Skin Irrit. 2 Skin Sens. 1 Muta. 2 Aquatic Chronic 2	H315 H317 H341 H411		Note C	

3.1.2 Self classification

• In the registration:

• Skin Sens. 1 (H317): May cause an allergic skin reaction.

• Aquatic Chronic 2 (H411): Toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.

 The following hazard classes are in addition notified among the aggregated self classifications in the C&L Inventory:

Acute Tox. 3 (H331)

Acute Tox. 4 (H302)

Acute Tox. 4 (H312)

Aquatic Chronic 3 (H412)

Skin Corr. 1B (H314)

3.1.3 Proposal for Harmonised Classification in Annex VI of the CLP

None

_

 $^{^{\}mathrm{1}}$ The ECHA dissemination site was accessed 20.03.2016.

4 INFORMATION ON (AGGREGATED) TONNAGE AND USES

4.1 Tonnage and registration status

Table: Tonnage and registration status

From ECHA dissemination site						
□ Full registration(s) (Art. 10)		\square Intermediate registration(s) (Art. 17 and/or 18)				
Tonnage band (as per dissemina	ation s	ite)				
□ 1 – 10 tpa	□ 1	0 – 100 tpa	□ 100 - 1000 tpa			
⊠ 1000 – 10,000 tpa		0,000 - 100,000 tpa	□ 100,000 - 1,000,000 tpa			
☐ 1,000,000 - 10,000,000 tpa	□ 1 tpa	0,000,000 - 100,000,000	□ > 100,000,000 tpa			
□ <1 >+ tpa (e.g. 10+ ; 100+ ; 10,000+ tpa) □ Confidential						
Joint submission						

4.2 Overview of uses

SU 1: Agriculture, forestry and fishing

SU 2a: Mining (without offshore industries)

SU 2b: Offshore industries

SU 5: Manufacture of textiles, leather, fur

SU 6a: Manufacture of wood and wood products

SU 6b: Manufacture of pulp, paper and paper products

SU 7: Printing and reproduction of recorded media

SU 8: Manufacture of bulk, large scale chemicals (including petroleum products)

SU 9: Manufacture of fine chemicals

SU 10: Formulation [mixing] of preparations and/or re-packaging (excluding alloys)

SU 11: Manufacture of rubber products

SU 12: Manufacture of plastics products, including compounding and conversion

SU 13: Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products, e.g. plasters, cement

SU 15: Manufacture of fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

- SU 16: Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products, electrical equipment
- SU 17: General manufacturing, e.g. machinery, equipment, vehicles, other transport equipment
- SU 18: Manufacture of furniture
- SU 19: Building and construction work
- SU 23: Electricity, steam, gas water supply and sewage treatment
- SU 24: Scientific research and development

PC 1: Adhesives, sealants

PC 9a: Coatings and paints, thinners, paint removes

PC 9b: Fillers, putties, plasters, modelling clay

According to the Nordic Product Register (SPIN), one or several uses of 2,3-epoxypropyl o-tolyl ether indicate a probable/very probable exposure to consumers and professionals. However, no consumer uses are reported in the registration dossiers.

Table: Uses

Part 1:

\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes		☐ Article	☐ Closed
Manufacture	Formulation	Industrial	Professional	Consumer	service life	system
		use	use	use		

5. JUSTIFICATION FOR THE SELECTION OF THE CANDIDATE CORAP SUBSTANCE								
5.1. Legal basis for the proposal								
☑ Article 44(2) (refined prioritisation criteria for substance evaluation)								
5.2. Selection criter	ria met (why the substance qu	ualifies for being in CoRAP)						
☑ Fulfils criteria as CMR/	Suspected CMR							
☐ Fulfils criteria as Sensi	tiser/ Suspected sensitiser							
☐ Fulfils criteria as poten	tial endocrine disrupter							
☐ Fulfils criteria as PBT/v	PvB / Suspected PBT/vPvB							
│ │ ☑ Fulfils criteria high (ag	gregated) tonnage (<i>tpa</i> > 1000)							
□ Fulfils exposure criteria	3							
☐ Fulfils MS's (national)								
, , , , ,		Lundor Substanso Evaluation						
5.5 Illitial grounds	Tor concern to be clarined	l under Substance Evaluation						
Hazard based concern	S							
CMR □ C ⋈ M □ R	Suspected CMR ² □ C □ M □ R	\square Potential endocrine disruptor						
☐ Sensitiser	☐ Suspected Sensitiser ²							
☐ PBT/vPvB	\square PBT/vPvB \square Suspected PBT/vPvB ² \square Other (please specify below)							
Exposure/risk based concerns								
☐ Wide dispersive use	☐ Consumer use	☐ Exposure of sensitive populations						
☐ Exposure of environment ☐ Exposure of workers ☐ Cumulative exposure								
\square High RCR \square High (aggregated) tonnage \square Other (please specify below)								

Suspected PBT: Potentially Persistent, Bioaccumulative and Toxic

² <u>CMR/Sensitiser</u>: known carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/known sensitising properties (according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification or CLP Inventory) <u>Suspected CMR/Suspected sensitiser</u>: suspected carcinogenic and/or mutagenic and/or reprotoxic properties/suspected sensitising properties (not classified according to CLP harmonized or registrant self-classification)

JUSTIFICATION DOCUMENT FOR THE SELECTION OF A CORAP SUBSTANCE

The substance has been tested positive for gene mutations *in vitro* without metabolic activation. *In vivo* a transgenic rodent assay has been conducted, which gave ambigious results. In addition, there is an indication for male germ cell chromosome damage from a dominant lethal assay. Based on these results the substance has been with harmonised classification as MUTA 2 (CLP). Under substance evaluation it will be evaluated if further testing is necessary in order to conclude on germ cell mutagenicity and consequently if the current classification in category 2 is sufficient or if a more stringent classification should be proposed.

5.4 Preliminary	indication	of infor	mation t	hat may	need to	be 1	requested
to clarify the co	ncern						

☐ Information on toxicological properties	\square Information on physico-chemical properties
\square Information on fate and behaviour	\square Information on exposure
☐ Information on ecotoxicological properties	\square Information on uses
☐ Information ED potential	\square Other (provide further details below)
Further studies on genotoxicity may be requeste	ed.
	_

5.5 Potential follow-up and link to risk management

☐ Harmonised C&L	☐ Restriction	☐ Authorisation	☐ Other (provide further details)
If the substance is co harmonised classifica			MUTA cat. 1B a proposal for