

Helsinki, 22 August 2018

Addressee: [REDACTED]

Decision number: TPE-D-2114440650-58-01/F

Substance name: Pentaerythritol

EC number: 204-104-9

CAS number: 115-77-5

Registration number: [REDACTED]

Submission number: [REDACTED]

Submission date: 29 November 2017

Registered tonnage band: Over 1000

### **DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL**

Based on Article 40 of Regulation ((EC) No 1907/2006) (the REACH Regulation), ECHA examined your testing proposal(s) and decided as follows.

Your testing proposals are accepted and you are requested to carry out:

- 1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31./OECD TG 414) in a second species (rabbit), oral route using the registered substance.**
- 2. Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.3.; test method: EU B.56./OECD TG 443) in rats, oral route with the registered substance specified as follows:**
  - **Ten weeks pre-mating exposure duration for the parental (P0) generation;**
  - **Dose level setting shall aim to induce some toxicity at the highest dose level;**
  - **Cohort 1A (Reproductive toxicity); and**
  - **Cohort 1B (Reproductive toxicity) without extension to mate the Cohort 1B animals to produce the F2 generation.**

You may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI to the REACH Regulation. To ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring and conforming to the appropriate rules in the respective annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

You have to submit the requested information in an updated registration dossier by **1 March 2021**. You also have to update the chemical safety report, where relevant.

The reasons for this decision are set out in Appendix 1. The procedural history is described in Appendix 2 and advice and further observations are provided in Appendix 3.

## **Appeal**

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: <http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>.

Authorised<sup>1</sup> by Kevin Pollard, Head of Unit, Evaluation E1

---

<sup>1</sup> As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

## Appendix 1: Reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by you.

### 1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.) in a second species.

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

#### *Examination of the testing proposal*

Pre-natal developmental toxicity studies on two species are part of the standard information requirements for substance registered for 1000 tonnes or more per year (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2., column 1, Annex X, Section 8.7.2., column 1, and sentence 2 of introductory paragraph 2 of Annex X of the REACH Regulation).

The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

You have submitted a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species (rabbits) according to EU B.31./OECD TG 414 by the oral route.

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Reproductive toxicity (pre-natal developmental toxicity). ECHA notes that you provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA considers that the proposed study performed with the registered substance is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex X, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation.

You proposed testing with the rabbit as a second species. According to the test method EU B.31./OECD TG 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species and the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species. On the basis of this default consideration, ECHA considers testing should be performed with the rabbit as a second species, depending on the species tested in the first pre-natal developmental toxicity study.

ECHA agrees that the oral route is the most appropriate route of administration for substances except gases to focus on the detection of hazardous properties on reproduction as indicated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2. Since the substance to be tested is a solid, ECHA concludes that testing should be performed by the oral route.

#### *Outcome*

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, you are thus requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species (rabbit), oral route (test method: EU B.31./OECD TG 414).

*Notes for your consideration*

ECHA notes that a revised version of OECD TG 414 was adopted this year by the OECD. This revised version contains enhancements of certain endocrine disrupting relevant parameters. You should test in accordance with the revised version of the guideline as published on the OECD website for adopted test guidelines ([https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/oecd-guidelines-for-the-testing-of-chemicals-section-4-health-effects\\_20745788](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/oecd-guidelines-for-the-testing-of-chemicals-section-4-health-effects_20745788)).

**2. Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.3.)**

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

The basic test design of an extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (Cohorts 1A and 1B, without extension of Cohort 1B to include a F2 generation, and without Cohorts 2A, 2B and 3) is a standard information requirement as laid down in column 1 of 8.7.3., Annex X of the REACH Regulation. If the conditions described in column 2 of Annex X are met, the study design needs to be expanded to include the extension of Cohort 1B, Cohorts 2A/2B, and/or Cohort 3. Further detailed guidance on study design and triggers is provided in in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment*, Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6 (version 6.0, July 2017).

The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

You have submitted a testing proposal for an extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study according to EU B.56./OECD TG 443 by the oral route with the following justification and specification of the study design: Basic test design (Cohorts 1A and 1B without extension).

ECHA requested your considerations for alternative methods to fulfil the information requirement for Reproductive toxicity (extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study). ECHA notes that you provided your considerations concluding that there were no alternative methods which could be used to adapt the information requirement(s) for which testing is proposed. ECHA has taken these considerations into account.

ECHA considers that the proposed study designs is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex X, Section 8.7.3. of the REACH Regulation.

Adequate information on this endpoint needs to be present in the technical dossier for the registered substance to meet this information requirement. Thus, an extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study according to column 1 of 8.7.3., Annex X is required. The following refers to the specifications of this required study.

*Premating exposure duration and dose-level setting*

You proposed 10 week pre mating exposure duration to cover a complete cycle of spermatogenesis and folliculogenesis. The dose level setting is to be confirmed after reviewing all available data.

To ensure that the study design adequately addresses the fertility endpoint, the duration of the pre mating exposure period and the selection of the highest dose level are key aspects

to be considered. According to ECHA Guidance, the starting point for deciding on the length of pre-mating exposure period should be ten weeks to cover the full spermatogenesis and folliculogenesis before the mating, allowing meaningful assessment of the effects on fertility.

Ten weeks pre-mating exposure duration is required because there is no substance specific information in the dossier supporting shorter pre-mating exposure duration as advised in the *ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment*, Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6 (version 6.0, July 2017).

The highest dose level shall aim to induce some toxicity to allow comparison of effect levels and effects of reproductive toxicity with those of systemic toxicity. The dose level selection should be based upon the fertility effects with the other cohorts being tested at the same dose levels.

If there is no relevant data to be used for dose level setting, it is recommended that results from a range-finding study (or range finding studies) are reported with the main study. This will support the justifications of the dose level selections and interpretation of the results.

#### *Extension of Cohort 1B*

If the column 2 conditions of 8.7.3., Annex X are met, Cohort 1B must be extended, which means that the F2 generation is produced by mating the Cohort 1B animals. This extension provides information also on the sexual function and fertility of the F1 animals.

You proposed not to include an extension of Cohort 1B and provided justifications following the criteria described in column 2 of Section 8.7.3 of Annex X and detailed in *ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment*, Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6 (version 6.0, July 2017).

Therefore, ECHA agrees that the criteria to extend the Cohort 1B are not met and concludes that Cohort 1B must not be extended to include mating of the animals and production of the F2 generation.

#### *Cohorts 2A and 2B*

The developmental neurotoxicity Cohorts 2A and 2B need to be conducted in case of a particular concern on (developmental) neurotoxicity as described in column 2 of 8.7.3., Annex X. When there are triggers for developmental neurotoxicity, both the Cohorts 2A and 2B are to be conducted as they provide complementary information.

You proposed not to include Cohorts 2A and 2B and provided justifications following the criteria described in column 2 of Section 8.7.3 of Annex X and detailed in *ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment*, Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6 (version 6.0, July 2017).

Therefore, ECHA agrees that the criteria to include Cohorts 2A and 2B are not met and concludes that the developmental neurotoxicity Cohorts 2A and 2B need not to be conducted.

#### *Cohort 3*

The developmental immunotoxicity Cohort 3 needs to be conducted in case of a particular concern on (developmental) immunotoxicity as described in column 2 of 8.7.3., Annex X.

You proposed not to include Cohort 3 and provided justifications following the criteria described in column 2 of Section 8.7.3 of Annex X and detailed in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment*, Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6 (version 6.0, July 2017).

Therefore, ECHA agrees that the criteria to include Cohort 3 are not met and concludes that the developmental immunotoxicity Cohort 3 needs not to be conducted.

#### *Species and route selection*

You proposed testing in rats. According to the test method EU B.56./ OECD TG 443, the rat is the preferred species. On the basis of this default consideration, ECHA considers that testing should be performed in rats.

You proposed testing by the oral route. ECHA agrees that the oral route is the most appropriate route of administration for substances except gases to focus on the detection of hazardous properties on reproduction as indicated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2. Since the substance to be tested is a solid, ECHA concludes that testing should be performed by the oral route.

#### *Outcome*

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (test method EU B.56./ OECD TG 443), in rats, oral route, according to the following study-design specifications:

- Ten weeks pre-mating exposure duration for the parental (P0) generation;
- Dose level setting shall aim to induce some toxicity at the highest dose level;
- Cohort 1A (Reproductive toxicity); and
- Cohort 1B (Reproductive toxicity) without extension to mate the Cohort 1B animals to produce the F2 generation.

While the specifications for the study design are given above, you shall also submit with the new endpoint study record a scientific justification on each of the following aspects: 1) length of the pre-mating exposure duration and dose level selection, 2) reasons for why or why not Cohort 1B was extended, 3) termination time for F2 generation, and 4) reasons for why or why not Cohorts 2A/2B and/or Cohort 3 were included.

#### *Notes for your consideration*

The conditions to include the extension of Cohort 1B are currently not met. Furthermore, no triggers for the inclusion of Cohorts 2A and 2B (developmental neurotoxicity) and Cohort 3 (developmental immunotoxicity) were identified. However, you may expand the study by including the extension of Cohort 1B, Cohorts 2A and 2B and/or Cohort 3 if new information becomes available after this decision is issued to justify such an inclusion. Inclusion is justified if the new information shows triggers which are described in column 2 of Section 8.7.3., Annex X and further elaborated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment*, Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6 (version 6.0, July 2017). You may also expand the study to address a concern identified during the conduct of the extended one-generation reproduction toxicity study and also due to other scientific reasons in order to avoid a conduct of a new study. The justification for the expansion must be documented.

**Appendix 2: Procedural history**

ECHA received your registration containing the testing proposals for examination in accordance with Article 40(1) on 29 November 2017.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposals from 20 December 2017 until 5 February 2018. ECHA did not receive information from third parties.

This decision does not take into account any updates after 4 May 2018, 30 calendar days after the end of the commenting period.

The decision making followed the procedure of Articles 50 and 51 of the REACH Regulation, as described below:

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

In your comments you agreed to the draft decision. ECHA took your comments into account and did not amend the request(s).

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

**Appendix 3: Further information, observations and technical guidance**

1. This decision does not imply that the information provided in your registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.
2. Failure to comply with the requests in this decision, or to otherwise fulfil the information requirements with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the enforcement authorities of the Member States.
3. In relation to the information required by the present decision, the sample of the substance used for the new tests must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is suitable to fulfil the information requirement for the range of substance compositions manufactured or imported by the joint registrants.

It is the responsibility of all joint registrants who manufacture or import the same substance to agree on the appropriate composition of the test material and to document the necessary information on their substance composition. In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of the substance tested in the new tests is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured or imported by each registrant.

If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new tests must be suitable to assess these grades. Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grades registered to enable the relevance of the tests to be assessed.