

Helsinki, 31 May 2024

**Addressee**

Registrant of MOCONJS-FEMOSLAGS as listed in Appendix 3 of this decision

**Date of submission of the dossier subject to this decision**

27 July 2021

**Registered substance subject to this decision ("the Substance")**

Substance name: Slags, ferromolybdenum-manufg., silicothermic  
EC/List number: 282-217-2

**Decision number:** Please refer to the REACH-IT message which delivered this communication (in format CCH-D-XXXXXXXXXX-XX-XX/F)

**DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK**

Under Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH), you must submit the information listed below by **7 December 2026**.

Requested information must be generated using the Substance unless otherwise specified.

**Information required from all the Registrants subject to Annex X of REACH**

1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.; test method: OECD TG 414) by oral route, in a second species (rabbit).

The reasons for the request(s) are explained in Appendix 1.

**Information required depends on your tonnage band**

You must provide the information listed above for all REACH Annexes applicable to you in accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH. The addressees of the decision and their corresponding information requirements based on registered tonnage band are listed in Appendix 3.

You are only required to share the costs of information that you must submit to fulfil your information requirements.

**How to comply with your information requirements**

To comply with your information requirements, you must submit the information requested by this decision in an updated registration dossier by the deadline indicated above. You must also **update the chemical safety report, where** relevant, including any changes to classification and labelling, based on the newly generated information.

You must follow the general requirements for testing and reporting new tests under REACH, see Appendix 4.

**Appeal**

This decision, when adopted under Article 51 of REACH, may be appealed to the Board of

Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification to you. Please refer to <http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals> for further information.

**Failure to comply**

If you do not comply with the information required by this decision by the deadline indicated above, ECHA will notify the enforcement authorities of your Member State.

Authorised<sup>1</sup> under the authority of Mike Rasenberg, Director of Hazard Assessment

Appendix 1: Reasons for the request(s)

Appendix 2: Procedure

Appendix 3: Addressees of the decision and their individual information requirements

Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests under REACH

---

<sup>1</sup> As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

**Appendix 1: Reasons for the request(s)**

<b>Reasons related to the information under Annex X of REACH.....</b>	<b>4</b>
1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species.....	4
<b>References .....</b>	<b>6</b>

## Reasons related to the information under Annex X of REACH

### 1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species

1 Pre-natal developmental toxicity (PNDT) study (OECD TG 414) in a second species is an information requirement under Annex X, Section 8.7.2.

#### 1.1. Information provided

2 You have adapted this information requirement by using Annex XI, Section 1.2. (weight of evidence) based on the following:

- (i) Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rat (██████ 2013) with the analogue substance disodium molybdate (EC: 231-551-7);
- (ii) Sub-chronic (90-day) repeated dose toxicity study in rat (██████████ 2011), with the analogue substance disodium molybdate (EC: 231-551-7);
- (iii) Toxicokinetic of molybdenum substances - reference to human toxicokinetic studies (Turnlund et al, 1993; Turnlund 1995; Thompson et al. 1996; Novotny and Turnlund 2006) and textbook information (Wennig and Kirsch, 1988).

3 You conclude that "*the weight-of-evidence information [...] does not point towards any species-specific differences in developmental toxicity of molybdate substances. This, together with the absence of any such toxicity in the available Guideline-compliant study in rats (██████ 2013), leads us to conclude that the conduct of a developmental toxicity study in a second, non-rodent species is scientifically unnecessary, and should therefore be waived*".

4 We have assessed this information and identified the following issue(s):

#### 1.2. Assessment of the information provided

5 Annex XI, Section 1.2. states that there may be sufficient weight of evidence from several independent sources of information enabling, through a reasoned justification, a conclusion on the information requirement, while the information from each single source alone is insufficient to fulfil the information requirement.

6 The justification must have regard to the information that would otherwise be obtained from the study that must normally be performed for this information requirement.

7 According to ECHA Guidance R.4, a weight of evidence adaptation involves an assessment of the relative values/weights of the different sources of information submitted. The weight given is based on the reliability of the data, consistency of results/data, nature and severity of effects, and relevance and coverage of the information for the given regulatory information requirement. Subsequently, relevance, reliability, coverage, consistency and results of these sources of information must be balanced in order to decide whether they together provide sufficient weight to conclude on the corresponding information requirement.

8 Information that can be used to support weight of evidence adaptation for the information requirement of Annex X, Section 8.7.2. includes similar information that is produced by the OECD TG 414 on a second species OECD TG 414 requires the study to investigate the following aspects:

- (1) pre-natal developmental toxicity in a second species,
- (2) maternal toxicity in a second species,

(3) maintenance of pregnancy in a second species.

- 9 The sources of information (i) and (ii) are in the same, first species, i.e. rat. None of these sources provide information on a second species.
- 10 The source of information (iii) provides toxicokinetic information in humans on molybdenum substances. It does not provide information on the developmental toxicity of the substances. Therefore, you did not substantiate your statement that there are not “*any species-specific differences in developmental toxicity of molybdate substances*”.
- 11 None of the aspects listed above is investigated in the second species.
- 12 Based on the above, it is not possible to conclude, based on any source of information alone or considered together, on the information requirement for pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species.
- 13 Therefore, your weight of evidence adaptation under Annex XI, Section 1.2. is rejected and the information requirement is not fulfilled.

#### *1.2.1. Study design*

- 14 A PNDT study according to the test method OECD TG 414 should be performed in rat or rabbit as preferred species. The study in the first species was carried out by using a rodent species (rat).
- 15 Therefore, a PNDT study in a second species must be performed in the rabbit as preferred non-rodent species.
- 16 As the Substance is a solid, the study must be conducted with oral administration of the Substance (Annex X, Section 8.7.2., Column 1).
- 17 Based on the above, the study must be conducted in rabbits with oral administration of the Substance.

## References

The following documents may have been cited in the decision.

### ***Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (Guidance on IRs & CSA)***

- Chapter R.4 Evaluation of available information; ECHA (2011).  
Chapter R.6 QSARs, read-across and grouping; ECHA (2008).  
Appendix to Chapter R.6 for nanoforms; ECHA (2019).  
Chapter R.7a Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.1 – R.7.7; ECHA (2017).  
Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).  
Chapter R.7b Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.8 – R.7.9; ECHA (2017).  
Appendix to Chapter R.7b for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).  
Chapter R.7c Endpoint specific guidance, Sections R.7.10 – R.7.13; ECHA (2017).  
Appendix to Chapter R.7a for nanomaterials; ECHA (2017).  
Appendix R.7.13-2 Environmental risk assessment for metals and metal compounds; ECHA (2008).  
Chapter R.11 PBT/vPvB assessment; ECHA (2017).  
Chapter R.16 Environmental exposure assessment; ECHA (2016).

***Guidance on data-sharing***; ECHA (2017).

***Guidance for monomers and polymers***; ECHA (2023).

***Guidance on intermediates***; ECHA (2010).

All guidance documents are available online: <https://echa.europa.eu/guidance-documents/guidance-on-reach>

### ***Read-across assessment framework (RAAF)***

- RAAF, 2017 Read-across assessment framework (RAAF); ECHA (2017).  
RAAF UVCB, 2017 Read-across assessment framework (RAAF) – considerations on multi- constituent substances and UVCBs; ECHA (2017).

The RAAF and related documents are available online:

<https://echa.europa.eu/support/registration/how-to-avoid-unnecessary-testing-on-animals/grouping-of-substances-and-read-across>

### ***OECD Guidance documents (OECD GDs)***

- OECD GD 23 Guidance document on aquatic toxicity testing of difficult substances and mixtures; No. 23 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2019).  
OECD GD 29 Guidance document on transformation/dissolution of metals and metal compounds in aqueous media; No. 29 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2002).  
OECD GD 150 Revised guidance document 150 on standardised test guidelines for evaluating chemicals for endocrine disruption; No. 150 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2018).  
OECD GD 151 Guidance document supporting OECD test guideline 443 on the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity test; No. 151 in the OECD series on testing and assessment, OECD (2013).

## **Appendix 2: Procedure**

This decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks at a later stage on the registrations present.

ECHA followed the procedure detailed in Articles 50 and 51 of REACH.

The compliance check was initiated on 29 June 2023.

The deadline of the decision is set based on standard practice for carrying out OECD TG tests. It has been exceptionally extended by 12 months from the standard deadline granted by ECHA to take into account currently longer lead times in contract research organisations.

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA did not receive any comments on the draft decision within the commenting period. One addressee of the draft decision has informed ECHA during the commenting period of a change in the tonnage band applicable to its registration. This change has been taken into account and the list of addressees of the draft decision notified to the Member States Competent Authorities has been adjusted accordingly.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposals for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA adopted the decision under Article 51(3) of REACH.

**Appendix 3: Addressee(s) of this decision and their corresponding information requirements**

In accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of REACH, the information requirements for individual registrations are defined as follows:

- the information specified in Annex VII to REACH, for registration at 1-10 tonnes per year (tpa), or as a transported isolated intermediate in quantity above 1000 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII and VIII to REACH, for registration at 10-100 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII, VIII and IX to REACH, for registration at 100-1000 tpa;
- the information specified in Annexes VII to X to REACH, for registration at more than 1000 tpa.

<b>Registrant Name</b>	<b>Registration number</b>	<b>Highest REACH Annex applicable to you</b>
██████████	██████████	██████

Where applicable, the name of a third-party representative (TPR) may be displayed in the list of recipients whereas ECHA will send the decision to the actual registrant.



## Appendix 4: Conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

### 1. Requirements when conducting and reporting new tests for REACH purposes

#### 1.1 Test methods, GLP requirements and reporting

(1) Under Article 13(3) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be conducted according to the test methods laid down in a European Commission Regulation or to international test methods recognised by the Commission or ECHA as being appropriate.

(2) Under Article 13(4) of REACH, ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses must be carried out according to the GLP principles (Directive 2004/10/EC) or other international standards recognised by the Commission or ECHA.

(3) Under Article 10(a)(vi) and (vii) of REACH, all new data generated as a result of this decision must be reported as study summaries, or as robust study summaries, if required under Annex I of REACH. See ECHA Practical Guide on How to report robust study summaries (<https://echa.europa.eu/practical-guides>).

(4) Under the introductory part of Annexes VII/VIII/IX/X to REACH, where a test method offers flexibility in the study design, for example in relation to the choice of dose levels or concentrations, the chosen study design must ensure that the data generated are adequate for hazard identification and risk assessment.

#### 1.2 Test material

Before generating new data, you must agree within the joint submission on the chemical composition of the material to be tested (Test Material) which must be relevant for all the registrants of the Substance.

##### (1) Selection of the Test material(s)

The Test Material used to generate the new data must be selected taking into account the following:

- the variation in compositions reported by all members of the joint submission,
- the boundary composition(s) of the Substance,
- the impact of each constituent/group of constituents on the test results for the endpoint to be assessed. For example, if a constituent/group of constituents of the Substance is known to have an impact on (eco)toxicity, the selected Test Material must contain that constituent/group of constituents.

##### (2) Information on the Test Material needed in the updated dossier

- You must report the composition of the Test Material selected for each study, under the "Test material information" section, for each respective endpoint study record in IUCLID.
- The reported composition must include the careful identification and description of the characteristics of the Tests Materials in accordance with OECD GLP (ENV/MC/CHEM(98)16) and EU Test Methods Regulation (EU) 440/2008 (Note, Annex), namely all the constituents must be identified as far as possible as well as their concentration. Also any constituents that have harmonised classification and labelling according to the CLP Regulation must be identified and quantified using the appropriate analytical methods.

With that detailed information, ECHA can confirm whether the Test Material is relevant for the Substance and whether it is suitable for use by all members of the joint submission.

Technical instructions on how to report the above is available in the manual on How to prepare registration and PPORD dossiers (<https://echa.europa.eu/manuals>).