

Decision number: TPE-D-0000002066-80-05/F

Helsinki, 25 July 2012

**DECISION ON A TESTING PROPOSAL SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006****For Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane, CAS No 111-91-1 (EC No 203-920-2), registration number: [REDACTED]****Addressee: [REDACTED]**

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

**I. Procedure**

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the testing proposals set out in the registration dossier for Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane, CAS No 111-91-1 (EC No 203-920-2) submitted by [REDACTED] (Registrant), latest submission number [REDACTED], for 1000 tonnes or more per year.

In accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)/(e) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant submitted the following testing proposals as part of the registration dossier to fulfil the information requirements set out in Annexes IX and X:

- Annex IX, 7.16.: Dissociation Constant (OECD Guideline 112)
- Annex IX, 7.17.: Viscosity (OECD Test Guideline 114)
- Annex IX, 8.7.2.: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (OECD Guideline 414)
- Annex X, 8.7.3.: Two-generation reproductive toxicity study (OECD Guideline 416)

The present decision relates to the examination of the testing proposals for Dissociation constant, Viscosity and Pre-natal developmental toxicity study. The testing proposal for the Two-generation reproductive toxicity study is addressed in a separate decision although all testing proposals were initially addressed together in the same draft decision.

The examination of the testing proposals was initiated on 12 October 2010.

ECHA opened a third party consultation for the testing proposals including testing on vertebrate animals that was held from 14 February 2011 until 31 March 2011 and received some comments from third parties (see Section III below).

On 25 November 2011 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

On 21 December 2011 ECHA received comments from the Registrant. ECHA considered the Registrant's comments received and amended the draft decision.

On 2 March 2012 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals to amend the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification. Subsequently, Competent Authorities of the Member States submitted proposals for amendment to the draft decision. ECHA reviewed the proposals for amendment received and decided to amend the draft decision.

On 4 April 2012 ECHA notified the Registrant of proposals for amendment to the draft decision and invited him pursuant to Article 51(5) of the REACH Regulation to provide comments on those proposals for amendment within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

On 16 April 2012 ECHA referred the draft decision to the Member State Committee.

On 3 May 2012 April the Registrant provided comments on the proposed amendments. The Member State Committee took the comments of the Registrant into account.

The draft decision was split into two draft decision documents: one relating to the testing proposal for a two-generation reproductive toxicity study and one relating to the testing proposals for dissociation constant, viscosity and pre-natal developmental toxicity study.

A unanimous agreement of the Member State Committee on the draft decision relating to the testing proposals for dissociation constant, viscosity and pre-natal developmental toxicity study was reached on 21 May 2012 in a written procedure launched on 10 May 2012.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the requirements of the REACH Regulation. The decision does not prevent ECHA to initiate a compliance check on the present dossier at a later stage.

## II. Testing required

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall carry out the following tests using the indicated test method:

1. Dissociation constant (Annex IX, 7.16., test method: OECD Guideline 112)
2. Viscosity (Annex IX, 7.17., test method: OECD Guideline 114)
3. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rabbits, oral route (Annex IX, 8.7.2., test method: EU B.31/OECD 414)

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22 of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **25 July 2013** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision.

Data from a second pre-natal developmental toxicity study on another species is a standard information requirement according to Annex X, 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The Registrant should firstly take into account the outcome of the pre-natal developmental toxicity on a first species and all other relevant available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI. If the Registrant considers that testing is necessary to fulfil this information requirement, he

should include in the update of his dossier a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study on a second species.

At any time, the Registrant shall take into account that there may be an obligation to make every effort to agree on sharing of information and costs with other registrants.

### III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance and scientific information submitted by third parties.

#### a) Examination of the testing proposals

##### **1. Dissociation Constant**

Dissociation constant is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 7.16. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to generate the data for this endpoint.

##### **2. Viscosity**

Viscosity is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, section 7.15. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to generate the data for this endpoint.

##### **3. Pre-natal developmental toxicity**

Pre-natal developmental toxicity studies are part of the standard information requirements as laid down in Annexes IX and X, section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to generate the data for this endpoint.

The Registrant did not specify initially the species and route to be used for testing. According to the test method EU B.31/OECD 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species, the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species and the test substance is usually administered orally. However, the Registrant provided comments and indicated the intention to perform the developmental toxicity study in rabbits as a first species to be used. ECHA considers that this option is appropriate and therefore amended the draft decision accordingly.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the proposed study: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rabbits, oral route (test method: EU B.31/OECD 414) using the registered substance.

When considering the need for a testing proposal for a prenatal developmental toxicity study in a second species, the Registrant should take into account the outcome of the pre-natal developmental toxicity study on the first species and all available data to determine if the conditions are met for adaptations according to Annex X, 8.7. column 2, or according to Annex XI; for example if the substance meets the criteria for classification as toxic for reproduction Category 1B: May damage the unborn child (H360D), and the available data are adequate to support a robust risk assessment, or alternatively, if Weight of Evidence

assessment of all relevant available data provides scientific justification that the study in a second species is not needed.

b) Consideration of third party information

ECHA received third party information concerning the testing proposals during the consultation and assessed it as described below.

Third party information 1:

A third party has proposed a strategy for ECHA to consider before further tests on animals are requested. However, third parties were invited, as specified by Article 40(2) of the REACH Regulation to submit "scientifically valid information and studies that address the relevant substance and hazard end-point, addressed by the testing proposal". As the proposal for a strategy as such cannot be regarded information or studies, ECHA concludes that this is not a sufficient basis to fulfil the data/information requirement.

Third party information 2:

A third party proposed to use the results of the extended one generation reproductive toxicity study (EOGRTS) to waive the developmental toxicity study (Prenatal Developmental Toxicity Study, OECD Guideline 414). However, in EOGRTS the developmental toxicity parameters such as skeletal and visceral malformations are not examined and, thus, EOGRTS do not provide adequate information on developmental toxicity to waive the prenatal developmental toxicity study.

Therefore ECHA concludes that the information submitted by third parties is not sufficient to fulfil the relevant information requirements.

IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of evaluation of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the generation of information is tailored to real information needs in order to prevent unnecessary testing. The information submitted in the registration dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance for the purpose of assessing the testing proposal. It is noted, however, that this information, or the information submitted by other registrants of the same substance, has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In relation to the proposed tests, the sample of substance used for the new studies must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is within the specifications of the substance composition that are given by the joint registrants. It is the responsibility of all the joint registrants of the same substance to agree with the tests proposed in the testing proposal (as applicable to their tonnage level) and to document the necessary information on its composition. The substance identity information of the registered substance and of the sample tested must enable ECHA to confirm the relevance of the testing for the substance actually registered by each joint registrant. Finally, the studies must be shared by the joint registrants concerned.

#### V. Deadline for submitting the information

In the draft decision communicated to the Registrant the time indicated to provide the requested information was 24 months from the date of adoption of the decision. This period of time took into account the fact that the draft decision also requested a reproductive toxicity study according to the standard information requirement of Annex X, 8.7.3 of the REACH Regulation. As the testing proposal for this study is not addressed in the present decision, ECHA considers that a reasonable time period for providing the information requested by the present decision, in the form of an updated IUCLID5 dossier, is 12 months from the date of the adoption of this decision. The decision was therefore modified accordingly.

#### VI. General requirements for the generation of information and Good Laboratory Practice

ECHA always reminds registrants of the requirements of Article 13(4) of the REACH Regulation that ecotoxicological and toxicological tests and analyses shall be carried out in compliance with the principles of good laboratory practice (GLP). National authorities monitoring GLP maintain lists of test facilities indicating the relevant areas of expertise of each facility.

According to Article 13(3) of the REACH Regulation, tests that are required to generate information on intrinsic properties of substances shall be conducted in accordance with the test methods laid down in a Commission Regulation or in accordance with other international test methods recognised by the Commission or the European Chemicals Agency as being appropriate. Thus, the Registrant shall refer to Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 as adapted to technical progress or to other international test methods recognised as being appropriate and use the applicable test methods to generate the information on the endpoints indicated above.

#### VII. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at [http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app\\_procedure\\_en.asp](http://echa.europa.eu/appeals/app_procedure_en.asp). The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Jukka MALM  
Director of Regulatory Affairs