

Decision number: TPE-D-2114313721-61-01/F

Helsinki, 07 January 2016

DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSALS SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006

For 2,2,4(or 2,4,4)-Trimethylhexane-1,6-diisocyanate, EC No 915-277-1 (CAS No 32052-51-0), registration number:

Addressee:

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposals submitted as part of the registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(d) thereof for 2,2,4(or 2,4,4)-Trimethylhexane-1,6-diisocyanate, EC No 915-277-1 (CAS No 32052-51-0), submitted by

- 90-day toxicity study (OECD 413), inhalation route.
- Developmental toxicity / teratogenicity study (OECD 414), oral route.

This decision is based on the registration as submitted with submission number **exercise**, for the tonnage band of 100 to 1000 tonnes per year. This decision does not take into account any updates after 23 September 2015, i.e. 30 calendar days after the end of the commenting period.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.

ECHA received the registration dossier containing testing proposals for further examination pursuant to Article 40(1) on 13 June 2013. The registration was subsequently updated on 25 September 2013 containing the above-mentioned testing proposals.

ECHA held a third party consultation for the testing proposals from 2 June 2014 until 17 July 2014. ECHA received information from third parties (see section III below).

On 16 July 2015 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant (and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision. That draft decision was based on submission number (submitted on 25 September 2013).



On 20 July 2015 the Registrant updated his registration dossier due to a change of legal entity. The registration number for the substance subject to the present decision has been transferred to **a subject in the substance subject in the subject is a subject in the subje**

By 24 August 2015 the Registrant did not provide any comments on the draft decision to ECHA.

On 29 October 2015 ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposal(s) for amendment(s).

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

II. Testing required

A. Tests required pursuant to Article 40(3)

The Registrant shall carry out the following proposed tests pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) and 13(4) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test methods and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

- 1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day), inhalation route (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.; test method: OECD 413) in rats;
- Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.; test method: EU B.31/OECD 414) in rats or rabbits, oral route.

Note for consideration by the Registrant:

The Registrant may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation. In order to ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring to and conforming with the appropriate rules in the respective Annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

Failure to comply with the request(s) in this decision, or to fulfil otherwise the information requirement(s) with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the Enforcement Authorities of the Member States.

B. Deadline for submitting the required information

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22(2) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by exact **15 January 2018** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision, including, where relevant, an update of the Chemical Safety Report. The timeline has been set to allow for sequential testing as appropriate.

III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposals submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance and scientific information submitted by third parties.



A. Tests required pursuant to Article 40(3)

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.)

a) Examination of the testing proposal

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

The Registrant has submitted a testing proposal for a sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) via inhalation (OECD 413).

ECHA considers that the proposed study is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation because the proposed route is the most appropriate route of administration having regard to the likely route of human exposure due to the following reasons.

The Registrant proposed testing by the inhalation route. In light of the properties of the substance, a liquid with low vapour pressure classified as corrosive to the skin and as a respiratory sensitiser, and based on the toxicity of the substance following inhalation exposure, as observed in the acute inhalation toxicity study, and the information provided on the uses and human exposure, ECHA considers that testing by the inhalation route is most appropriate.

The Registrant did not specify the species to be used for testing. According to the test method OECD 413 the rat is the preferred species. ECHA considers this species as being appropriate and testing should be performed with the rat.

b) Consideration of the information received during third party consultation

ECHA received third party information concerning the testing proposal during the third party consultation. For the reasons explained further below the information provided by third parties is not sufficient to fulfil this information requirement.

A third party has proposed to address the information requirement by a combination of using read-across and epidemological data data on isocyanates substances (Annex XI, Section 1.5 and Annex XI, Section 1.1.3).

ECHA acknowledges that the third party has proposed a read across approach and the use of historical human data for the Registrant to consider.



ECHA notes that it is the Registrant's responsibility to consider and justify any adaptation of the information requirements in accordance with the relevant conditions as established in Annex XI, Section 1.1.3 and Section 1.5. Therefore, the Registrant should assess whether he can justify a read-across / use of historical human data as suggested by the third party. If the adaptation can be justified, he should include the adaptation argument with all necessary documentation in the registration dossier. Such update can only be taken into consideration in the decision-making if it is submitted before the draft decision is sent to the Member State Competent Authorities pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

ECHA notes that the information provided by the third party is currently insufficient for demonstrating that the conditions of Annex XI, Section 1.5. of the REACH Regulation are met. For example, the information does not provide a justification showing that the information provided is applicable to the registered substance. The comments do not show for example that the toxicological properties of the registered and read-across substances are likely to be similar or follow a regular pattern as a result of structural similarity. Furthermore, the provided information does not show that the human health effects of the registered substance may be predicted from data for the reference substances. Therefore, the information provided by the third party in itself would not be sufficient to adapt the standard information requirement.

c) Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) in rats, inhalation route (test method: OECD 413).

2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2.)

a) Examination of the testing proposal

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test.

A pre-natal developmental toxicity study for a first species is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation. The information on this endpoint is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

The Registrant has submitted a testing proposal for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats according to EU B.31/OECD 414.

ECHA considers that the proposed study is appropriate to fulfil the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 8.7.2. of the REACH Regulation.

The Registrant proposed testing in rats. He proposed testing by the oral route. According to the test method EU B.31/OECD 414, the rat is the preferred rodent species, the rabbit the preferred non-rodent species and the test substance is usually administered orally. ECHA considers these default parameters appropriate and testing should be performed by the oral route with the rat or the rabbit as a first species to be used.

b) Outcome

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is requested to carry out the proposed study with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats or rabbits, oral route (test method: EU B.31/OECD 414).

IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of examination of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the new studies meet real information needs. Within this context, the Registrant's dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance to the extent necessary for examination of the testing proposal. The Registrant must note, however, that this information has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

It is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new study is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured. If the registration of the substance covers different grades, the sample used for the new studies must be suitable to assess these.

Furthermore, there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grade(s) registered to enable the relevance of the studies to be assessed.

V. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at <u>http://www.echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals</u>. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.

Authorised^[2] by Guilhem de Seze, Head of Unit, Evaluation, E1.

⁽²⁾ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.