

Risk Management Option Analysis Conclusion Document

Substance Name: Triphenyl phosphate (TPP)

EC Number: 204-112-2 **CAS Number:** 115-86-6

Authority: France **Date:** July 2019

DISCLAIMER

The author does not accept any liability with regard to the use that may be made of the information contained in this document. Usage of the information remains under the sole responsibility of the user. Statements made or information contained in the document are without prejudice to any further regulatory work that ECHA or the Member States may initiate at a later stage. Risk Management Option Analyses and their conclusions are compiled on the basis of available information and may change in light of newly available information or further assessment.

Foreword

The purpose of Risk Management Option analysis (RMOA) is to help authorities decide whether further regulatory risk management activities are required for a substance and to identify the most appropriate instrument to address a concern.

RMOA is a voluntary step, i.e., it is not part of the processes as defined in the legislation. For authorities, documenting the RMOA allows the sharing of information and promoting early discussion, which helps lead to a common understanding on the action pursued. A Member State or ECHA (at the request of the Commission) can carry out this case-by-case analysis in order to conclude whether a substance is a 'relevant substance of very high concern (SVHC)' in the sense of the SVHC Roadmap to 2020¹.

An RMOA can conclude that regulatory risk management at EU level is required for a substance (e.g. harmonised classification and labelling, Candidate List inclusion, restriction, other EU legislation) or that no regulatory action is required at EU level. Any subsequent regulatory processes under the REACH Regulation include consultation of interested parties and appropriate decision making involving Member State Competent Authorities and the European Commission as defined in REACH.

This Conclusion document provides the outcome of the RMOA carried out by the author authority. In this conclusion document, the authority considers how the available information collected on the substance can be used to conclude whether regulatory risk management activities are required for a substance and which is the most appropriate instrument to address a concern. With this Conclusion document the Commission, the competent authorities of the other Member States and stakeholders are informed of the considerations of the author authority. In case the author authority proposes in this conclusion document further regulatory risk management measures, this shall not be considered initiating those other measures or processes. Since this document only reflects the views of the author authority, it does not preclude Member States or the European Commission from considering or initiating regulatory risk management measures which they deem appropriate.

¹ For more information on the SVHC Roadmap: http://echa.europa.eu/addressing-chemicals-of-concern/substances-of-potential-concern/svhc-roadmap-to-2020-implementation

1. OVERVIEW OF OTHER PROCESSES / EU LEGISLATION

Table: Completed or ongoing processes

RMOA		☐ Risk Management Option Analysis (RMOA) other than this RMOA
REACH Processes	Evaluation	☐ Compliance check, Final decision
		☐ Testing proposal
		x CoRAP and Substance Evaluation
		TPP is on CoRAP list by UK (handover to France after Brexit) in particular for potential endocrine disrupting properties concern.
	Authorisation	☐ Candidate List
		□ Annex XIV
	Restri -ction	□ Annex XVII
Harmonised C&L		☐ Annex VI (CLP) (see section 3.1)
Processes under other EU legislation		☐ Plant Protection Products Regulation
		Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009
		☐ Biocidal Product Regulation
		Regulation (EU) 528/2012 and amendments
Previous		☐ Dangerous substances Directive
		Directive 67/548/EEC (NONS)
		☐ Existing Substances Regulation
		Regulation 793/93/EEC (RAR/RRS)
(UNEP) Stockholm convention (POPs Protocol)		☐ Assessment
		☐ In relevant Annex

Other processes/ EU legislation	☐ Other (provide further details below)

2. CONCLUSION OF RMOA

This conclusion is based on the REACH and CLP data as well as other available relevant information taking into account the SVHC Roadmap to 2020, where appropriate.

Conclusions		
Need for follow-up regulatory action at EU level:		
Harmonised classification and labelling		
Identification as SVHC (authorisation)		
Restriction under REACH		
Other EU-wide regulatory measures		
Need for action other than EU regulatory action		
No action needed at this time		

3. NO ACTION NEEDED AT THIS TIME

Triphenyl phosphate (TPP) is a flame retardant presented by industry as a potentially viable alternative to decabromodiphenyl ether (decaBDE) in a variety of polymers and applications. TPP is used by consumers, in articles, by professional workers (widespread uses), in formulation or re-packing, at industrial sites and in manufacturing. This substance is used in the following products: adhesives and sealants and cosmetics and personal care products. Other release to the environment of this substance is likely to occur from: indoor use and outdoor use resulting in inclusion into or onto a materials (e.g. binding agent in paints and coatings or adhesives).

TPP is suspected to be an endocrine disruptor (ED) substance because several data on TPP and its hydroxylated metabolites are in favor of potential of ED effects.

TPP is on CoRAP list for a substance evaluation by UK. An OECD 234 Fish Sexual Development Test has been requested in this context. Following the Brexit, France will take over this substance evaluation. In addition, an Extended One-Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study (EOGRTS) is performed as part of the US National Toxicology Program.

The French authorities consider that the results of these two studies should be awaited before concluding on the endocrine disruption potential of triphenyl phosphate. If the US EOGRTS to come addresses developmental neurotoxicity, those results will also have to be included in the TPP evaluation for endocrine disruption.

If necessary, additional data might be requested as part of the substance evaluation follow-up.