

Decision number: TPE-D-2114297435-39-01/F

Helsinki, 23 March 2015

DECISION ON TESTING PROPOSAL(S) SET OUT IN A REGISTRATION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 40(3) OF REGULATION (EC) NO 1907/2006**For 2-ethylhexyl esters of dimerised fatty acids, C18-unsaturated, CAS No 68334-05-4 (EC No 500-204-4), registration number: [REDACTED]****Addressee: [REDACTED]**

The European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) has taken the following decision in accordance with the procedure set out in Articles 50 and 51 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH Regulation).

I. Procedure

Pursuant to Article 40(1) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA has examined the following testing proposal submitted as part of the registration dossier in accordance with Articles 10(a)(ix) and 12(1)(d) thereof for 2-ethylhexyl esters of dimerised fatty acids, C18-unsaturated, CAS No 68334-05-4 (EC No 500-204-4), submitted by [REDACTED] (Registrant).

- OECD Guideline 222 (Earthworm Reproduction Test (*Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei*)).

This decision is based on the registration dossier as submitted with submission number [REDACTED], for the tonnage band of 100 to 1000 tonnes per year. This decision does not take into account any updates after 15 January 2015, the date upon which ECHA notified its draft decision to the Competent Authorities of the Member States pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation.

This decision does not imply that the information provided by the Registrant in his registration dossier is in compliance with the REACH requirements. The decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating a compliance check on the registration at a later stage.

ECHA received the registration dossier containing the above-mentioned testing proposal for further examination pursuant to Article 40(1) on 13 May 2013.

On 7 November 2014 ECHA sent the draft decision to the Registrant and invited him to provide comments within 30 days of the receipt of the draft decision.

By 15 December 2014 the Registrant did not provide any comments on the draft decision to ECHA.

On 15 January 2015 ECHA notified the Competent Authorities of the Member States of its draft decision and invited them pursuant to Article 51(1) of the REACH Regulation to submit proposals for amendment of the draft decision within 30 days of the receipt of the notification.

As no proposal for amendment was submitted, ECHA took the decision pursuant to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

II. Testing required

A. Tests required pursuant to Article 40(3)

The Registrant shall carry out the following proposed test pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) and 13(4) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test method and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

1. Long-term toxicity to invertebrates (Column 2 of Annex IX, Section 9.4.1.; test method: Earthworm reproduction test (*Eisenia fetida*/*Eisenia andrei*), OECD 222).

The Registrant shall carry out the following additional test pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation using the indicated test method and the registered substance subject to the present decision:

2. Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.; test method: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD 216);

Note for consideration by the Registrant:

The Registrant may adapt the testing requested above according to the specific rules outlined in Annexes VI to X and/or according to the general rules contained in Annex XI of the REACH Regulation. In order to ensure compliance with the respective information requirement, any such adaptation will need to have a scientific justification, referring to and conforming with the appropriate rules in the respective Annex, and an adequate and reliable documentation.

Failure to comply with the requests in this decision, or to fulfil otherwise the information requirements with a valid and documented adaptation, will result in a notification to the Enforcement Authorities of the Member States.

B. Deadline for submitting the required information

Pursuant to Articles 40(4) and 22(2) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant shall submit to ECHA by **4 January 2016** an update of the registration dossier containing the information required by this decision, including, where relevant, an update of the Chemical Safety Report.

III. Statement of reasons

The decision of ECHA is based on the examination of the testing proposal submitted by the Registrant for the registered substance.

A. Tests required pursuant to Article 40(3)

Effects on terrestrial organisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.)

Pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) and (c) of the REACH Regulation, ECHA may require the Registrant to carry out the proposed test and to carry out additional tests in cases of non-compliance of the testing proposal with Annexes IX, X or XI.

The Registrant must address the standard information requirements set out in Annex IX, section 9.4., for different taxonomic groups: effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, section 9.4.2.), short-term toxicity testing on invertebrates (Annex IX, section 9.4.1.), and short-term toxicity testing on plants (Annex IX, section 9.4.3.). Column 2 of section 9.4 of Annex IX specifies that long-term toxicity testing shall be considered by the Registrant instead of short-term, in particular for substances that have a high potential to adsorb to soil or that are very persistent.

The information on the endpoint 'effects on terrestrial organisms' is not available for the registered substance but needs to be present in the technical dossier to meet the information requirements.

1) Long-term toxicity to invertebrates (Column 2 of Annex IX, Section 9.4.1.)

The Registrant proposed a long-term toxicity test on terrestrial invertebrates (Earthworm reproduction test (*Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei*), OECD 222), with the following justification: *"PNEC_{soil} was calculated by EPM method using aquatic toxicity data. The resulting screening assessment shows a PEC/PNEC ratio <1. As 400160 exhibits a log K_{ow} >5, the PEC/PNEC ratio was multiplied by 10. The CSA indicates no risk for the soil compartment. However, the EPM method provides only an uncertain assessment of risk, it cannot alone be used to obviate the need for further information (see ECHA guidance R.7C, R.7.11.5.3). According to Table R.7.11-2 of this guidance a confirmatory long term soil toxicity test is recommended."*

According to section R.7.11.5.3., Chapter R.7c of the ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 1.1, November 2012), substances that are ionisable or have a log K_{ow}/K_{oc} >5 are considered highly adsorptive, whereas substances with a half-life >180 days are considered very persistent in soil. According to the evidence presented within the Registration dossier, the substance has a high potential to adsorb to soil (logK_{ow} >10) and might be very persistent (default setting for not readily biodegradable substances when half-life in soil is missing) and therefore ECHA agrees that long-term testing is indicated (Column 2 of Section 9.4. of Annex IX). The proposed test is suitable to address the information requirement of Annex IX, section 9.4.1.

Furthermore, based upon the available aquatic toxicity information and the physico-chemical properties of the substance, and in relation to section R.7.11.6., Chapter R.7c of the ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 1.1, November 2012), ECHA considers that the substance would fall into soil hazard category 3. In the context of an integrated testing strategy for soil toxicity, the Guidance advocates performing an initial screening assessment based upon the Equilibrium Partitioning Method (EPM), together with a confirmatory long-term soil toxicity test. The PNEC_{screen} is calculated through EPM on the basis of aquatic toxicity data only. ECHA notes that the strategy pursued by the Registrant is based on this approach.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(a) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the proposed study using the registered substance subject to the present decision: Long-term toxicity to invertebrates (Column 2 of Annex IX, Section 9.4.1.; test method: Earthworm reproduction test (*Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei*), OECD 222).

2) Soil microorganisms (Annex IX, section 9.4.2.)

The hazard to soil microbial communities is a standard information requirement under Annex IX, section 9.4.2. of the REACH Regulation. ECHA notes that the registration dossier does not contain data for this endpoint and that the proposed test that ECHA accepted under subsection (1) above is not sufficient to address this standard information requirement. ECHA concludes that the effects on soil microorganisms need to be ascertained by performing a relevant test (test method: EU C.21 or OECD 216).

Therefore, pursuant to Article 40(3)(c) of the REACH Regulation, the Registrant is required to carry out the following additional study using the registered substance subject to the present decision: Effects on soil micro-organisms (Annex IX, Section 9.4.2.; test method: Soil microorganisms: nitrogen transformation test, EU C.21/OECD 216).

3) Notes for consideration by the Registrant

As the Guidance advocates performing an initial screening assessment based upon the EPM, together with a confirmatory long-term soil toxicity test (the long-term toxicity to terrestrial invertebrates test, specified above), which the Registrant is requested to carry out by the present decision, ECHA considers that at this stage it is not possible to determine whether a test will be required to fulfil the standard information requirement of section 9.4.3. of Annex IX of the REACH Regulation.

Therefore, once results of the requested toxicity test on terrestrial invertebrates are available, the Registrant should consider whether there is a need to investigate further the effects on terrestrial organisms in order to fulfil the information requirements of section 9.4 of Annex IX, and if necessary, submit testing proposals for additional terrestrial toxicity tests. If the Registrant concludes that no further investigation of effects on terrestrial organisms is required, he should update his technical dossier by clearly stating the reasons for adapting the information requirement of Annex IX, section 9.4.3. of the REACH Regulation.

ECHA emphasises that the intrinsic properties of soil microbial communities are not addressed through the EPM extrapolation method and therefore the potential adaptation possibility outlined for the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 9.4.3. does not apply for that endpoint.

Furthermore, ECHA notes that above indicated test Guideline OECD 222 is not applicable to volatile substances, i.e. defined in this Guideline "*as substances for which Henry's constant or the air/water partition coefficient is greater than one, or to substances with vapour pressures exceeding 0.0133 Pa at 25 °C*" (ECHA considers that the value indicated for vapour pressure is too low). Bearing in mind vapour pressure, water solubility and water-octanol partitioning coefficient of the substance, ECHA considers that the substance might be maintained in the test medium. The Registrant has to consider vapour pressure of the substance when designing/planning above requested testing, i.e. in order to confirm maintenance of nominal soil concentrations the analytical measurements of the exposure concentrations at the beginning, during and at the end of the test should be considered.

IV. Adequate identification of the composition of the tested material

The process of examination of testing proposals set out in Article 40 of the REACH Regulation aims at ensuring that the new studies meet real information needs. Within this context, the Registrant's dossier was sufficient to confirm the identity of the substance to the extent necessary for examination of the testing proposal. The Registrant must note, however, that this information has not been checked for compliance with the substance identity requirements set out in Section 2 of Annex VI of the REACH Regulation.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of substance tested in the new studies is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured. If the registration of the substance covers different grades, the sample used for the new studies must be suitable to assess these.

Finally, there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grade(s) registered to enable the relevance of the studies to be assessed.

V. Information on right to appeal

An appeal may be brought against this decision to the Board of Appeal of ECHA under Article 51(8) of the REACH Regulation. Such appeal shall be lodged within three months of receiving notification of this decision. Further information on the appeal procedure can be found on the ECHA's internet page at <http://www.echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>. The notice of appeal will be deemed to be filed only when the appeal fee has been paid.



Claudio Carlon
Head of Unit, Evaluation