Section A6.7 Carcinogenicity Oral, rat Oral, rat

Annex Point IIA6.7		Oral, rat			
		Of	ficial		
		1 REFERENCE use	only		
1.1	Reference	Maekawa, A., Matsushima, H., Onodera, H., Shibutani, M., Yoshida, J., Kodama, Y., Kurokawa, Y., Hayashi, Y., 1991. Long-term carcinogenicity/carcinogenicity study of calcium lactate in F344 rats. Food and Chemical Toxicology, Vol. 29, No. 9: pp 589-594			
1.2	Data protection	No			
1.2.1	Data owner	Published literature			
1.2.2	Companies with letter of access				
1.2.3	Criteria for data protection	Not applicable			
		2 GUIDELINES AND QUALITY ASSURANCE			
2.1	Guideline study	Not applicable			
2.2	GLP	Not applicable			
2.3	Deviations	Not applicable			
		3 MATERIALS AND METHODS			
3.1	Test material	Calcium lactate (CAS 814-80-2),			
		In the current study calcium lactate dissolved in water was tested. As it is administered dissolved in water, the results of this study can be used for lactic acid.			
3.1.1	Lot/Batch number	Commercial sample obtained from Musashino Chemical Inst. Ltd (Tokyo, Japan)			
3.1.2	Specification	Deviating from specification given in section 2 as follows			
		Calcium lactate was dissolved in distilled water			
		Clarity and colour of solution: colourless and clear, pH 6.0-8.0; heavy metals (Pb) < 20 μ g/g; alkaline metals and magnesium < 1%, arsenic < 4 μ g/g; volatile fatty acids: no odour of fatty acids; loss on drying 25.0 – 30.0%.			
3.1.2.	1 Description	Odourless white powder			
3.1.2.	2 Purity	97.0 – 101.0 %			
3.1.2.3 Stability		Not reported			
3.2	Test Animals				
3.2.1	Species	Rat			
3.2.2	Strain	SPF Fischer (F344)			
3.2.3	Source	Charles River Japan Inc., Kanagawa, Japan			
3.2.4	Sex	Male and female			

Section A6.7 Carcinogenicity

Annex Point IIA6.7

Oral, rat

Annex	TOIRT HAU.		
3.2.5	Age/weight at study	6 weeks / 90 to	120 grams
3.2.3	initiation	o weeks / 50 to	120 grains
3.2.6	Number of animals per group	50 males and 50) females / group
3.2.6.1	at interim sacrifice	No scheduled sa died during the	acrifice, autopsy was immediately performed on rats that study
3.2.6.2	at terminal sacrifice	Autopsy on all a	animals by the end of the study
3.2.7	Control animals	Yes	
3.3 A	Administration/ Exposure	Oral	
3.3.1	Duration of treatment	104 weeks	
3.3.2	Interim sacrifice(s)	No scheduled sa	acrifices
3.3.3	Final sacrifice	At week 113	
3.3.4	Frequency of exposure	daily	
3.3.5	Postexposure period	9 weeks recover	ry period
		Oral	
3.3.6	Type	In drinking water	er
3.3.7	Concentration	0, 2.5 or 5% in drinking water (distilled water)	
3.3.8	Vehicle	Drinking water	
3.3.9	Concentration in vehicle	0, 2.5 or 5% in	drinking water
3.3.10	Total volume applied	Ad libitum	
3.3.11	Controls	Drinking water	only
3.4	Examinations		
3.4.1	Body weight	Yes, once a wee	ek for the first 13 weeks, and every 4 weeks thereafter
3.4.2	Food consumption	Not reported	
3.4.3	Water consumption	Yes, three times	s a week
3.4.4	Clinical signs	Yes, daily	
3.4.5	Makroskopic investigations	Yes	
3.4.6	Ophthalmoscopic examination	Not reported	
3.4.7	Haematology	Yes/No	Yes
		Number of animals:	All animals
		Time points:	End of study

Section A6.7

Carcinogenicity

Annex Point IIA6.7

Parameters: No details reported

Other: -

3.4.8 Clinical Chemistry

Yes

Number of

Oral, rat

.

animals:

All animals

Time points: End of study

Parameters: No details reported

Other -

3.4.9 Urinalysis Yes/No Not reported

Number of animals:

Parameters:

_

Time points: -

Other

3.4.10 Pathology Yes

3.4.10.1 Organ Weights

Yes/No Yes

from: All surviving animals, at terminal sacrifice

Organs: Including kidney, brain

Other Yes

3.4.11 Histopathology

Yes/No

from:

All dose groups

from: All surviving animals

Organs: Including pituitary gland, thyroid gland, adrenal gland,

pancreas, haematopoetic organs, testis, prostate, mammary gland, uterus, vagina, ovary, lung, heart, tongue, forestomach, large instestine, liver, kidney, urinary bladder, skin/subcutis, preputial/chtoral gland,

brain, thoracic cavity and., abdominal cavity

Other

3.4.12 Other examinations Not applicable.

3.5 Statistics Statistical analyses were performed using Fisher's exact probability test

and/or the chi-square test.

Also the age-adjusted statistical test recommended by Peto et al (1980)

was used.

None

3.6 Further remarks

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.7 Body weight A dose-dependent inhibitory effect on the growth of rats was observed.

Compared with the controls a 13% decrease in body-weight gain was observed in both male and female rats of the high-dose group (5%).

Section A6.7		Carcinogenicity		
Annex Point IIA6.7		Oral, rat		
3.8	Food consumption	Not reported		
3.9	Water consumption	Daily water consumption was almost constant in all groups of both sexes.		
3.10	Clinical signs	Results not reported (but daily observations were made)		
3.11	Macroscopic investigations	No effects reported		
3.12	Ophthalmoscopic examination	Not reported		
3.13	Haematology	No specific dose related changes were observed		
3.14	Clinical Chemistry	No specific dose related changes were observed		
3.15	Urinalysis	Not reported		
3.16	Pathology	No effects reported		
3.17	Organ Weights	Females in the high dose group exhibited slightly but significantly higher kidney weights compare with controls. However, histologically there was no difference in the severity of chronic nephropaty between different groups. No toxic lesions were observed in the kidney.		
		A significant dose-dependent increase in relative brain weights was observed for both male and female rats, although no histological change was detected.		
3.18	Histopathology	Histologically, all the tumours observed in this experiment were similar to those know to occur spontaneously in F34 rats. None of the experimental groups showed a significant increase in the incidence of any specific tumour		
3.19	Other examinations	Not applicable		
3.20	Time to tumours	Not applicable, exposure by drinking water		
3.21	Other	Not applicable		
		4 APPLICANT'S SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION		
4.1	Materials and methods	Published article on a long term carcinogenicity study performed by the National Institute of Hygiene Sciences in Tokyo, Japan. No reference is made to a specific test guideline (i.e. OECD), but study resembles OECD guideline 453. No intermediate examinations are reported, all reported endpoints were examined at termination of the study.		
4.2	Results and discussion	No clear toxic lesion was specifically caused by long-term exposure to calcium lactate. No significant dose-related increase was found in the incidences of tumours in any organ or tissue		
4.3	Conclusion	The results indicated that calcium lactate had neither toxic nor carcinogenic activity in F344 rats	X	
4.3.1	Reliability	2		
4.3.2	Deficiencies	Yes, study is not performed according to current guidelines. As it is a literature publication, the reporting is concise and raw data are missing. However, the study has been performed well and can be used for the purpose of this dossier. As calcium lactate was used, effects of calcium should also be taken into account.		

Section A6.7 Ca

Annex Point IIA6.7

Carcinogenicity
Oral, rat

	Evaluation by Competent Authorities		
	• •		
	Use separate "evaluation boxes" to provide transparency as to the comments and views submitted		
	EVALUATION BY RAPPORTEUR MEMBER STATE		
Date	2008/07/16		
Materials and Methods	3.1 The applicant's version is acceptable with the following amendment: As calcium lactate is administered dissolved in water, the results of this study can partly be used for lactic acid considering also calcium effects.		
Results and discussion	Applicant's version is acceptable.		
Conclusion	Carcinogenic LO(A)EL: > 5 % calcium lactate in drinking water,		
	Carcinogenic NO(A)EL: 5 % calcium lactate in drinking water (highest dose tested)		
	In the article the mean total calcium lactate intake (in grams/rat) is calculated. The 5 % dose corresponds with 625.4 g/ rat for male rats and 412.1 g/rat for female rats for 104 weeks. This are per day \sim 880 mg/kg bw/d (male) or \sim 930 mg/kg bw/d (female).		
Reliability	2		
Acceptability	Acceptable with restrictions		
Remarks	None		
	COMMENTS FROM		
Date	Give date of comments submitted		
Materials and Methods	Discuss additional relevant discrepancies referring to the (sub)heading numbers and to applicant's summary and conclusion. Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state		
Results and discussion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state		
Conclusion	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state		
Reliability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state		
Acceptability	Discuss if deviating from view of rapporteur member state		
Remarks			