

Helsinki, 14 May 2019

Addressee: [REDACTED]

Decision number: CCH-D-2114470728-37-01/F
Substance name: Bis(2-(2-butoxyethoxy)ethoxy)methane
EC number: 205-598-9
CAS number: 143-29-3
Registration number: [REDACTED]
Submission number: [REDACTED]
Submission date: 13/07/2017
Registered tonnage band: Over 1000

DECISION ON A COMPLIANCE CHECK

Based on Article 41 of Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (the REACH Regulation), ECHA requests you to submit information on:

- 1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day), oral route (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.; test method: OECD TG 408) in rats with the registered substance;**
- 2. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.; test method: OECD TG 414) in a second species (rabbit), oral route with the registered substance.**

You are required to submit the requested information in an updated registration dossier by **21 May 2021** except for the information requested under point 1 for a Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) which shall be submitted in an updated registration dossier by **21 May 2020**. For each deadline, you shall also update the chemical safety report, where relevant. The deadlines have been set to allow for sequential testing.

The reasons of this decision are set out in Appendix 1. The procedural history is described in Appendix 2 and advice and further observations are provided in Appendix 3.

This decision does not address the information requirement of the Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study according to Annex X, Section 8.7.3. of the REACH Regulation.

Appeal

This decision can be appealed to the Board of Appeal of ECHA within three months of its notification. An appeal, together with the grounds thereof, has to be submitted to ECHA in writing. An appeal has suspensive effect and is subject to a fee. Further details are described under: <http://echa.europa.eu/regulations/appeals>.

Authorised¹ by Ofelia Berbaru, Head of Unit, Hazard Assessment C4

¹ As this is an electronic document, it is not physically signed. This communication has been approved according to ECHA's internal decision-approval process.

Appendix 1: Reasons

1. Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day), oral route (Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.)

In accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of the REACH Regulation, a technical dossier registered at more than 1000 tonnes per year must contain, as a minimum, the information specified in Annexes VII to X to the REACH Regulation. The information to be generated for the dossier must fulfil the criteria in Article 13(4) of the same regulation.

A "sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day)" is a standard information requirement as laid down in Annex IX, Section 8.6.2. of the REACH Regulation. Adequate information on this endpoint needs to be present in the technical dossier for the registered substance to meet this information requirement.

You have not provided any study record of a sub-chronic toxicity study (90 day) in the dossier that would meet the information requirement of Annex IX, Section 8.6.2.

In the technical dossier you have provided a study record for a "combined repeated dose toxicity study with the reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test" (test method: OECD TG 422). However, this study does not provide the information required by Annex IX, Section 8.6.2., because the exposure duration is "*at least ca. 6 weeks*", i.e. less than 90 days.

As explained above, the information provided on this endpoint for the registered substance in the technical dossier does not meet the information requirement. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

ECHA has evaluated the most appropriate route of administration for the study. Based on the information provided in the technical dossier and/or in the chemical safety report, ECHA considers that the oral route - which is the preferred one as indicated in ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.5.4.3 - is the most appropriate route of administration. Hence, the test shall be performed by the oral route.

According to the test method OECD TG 408 the rat is the preferred species. ECHA considers this species as being appropriate and testing should be performed with the rat.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 41(1) and (3) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to submit the following information derived with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Repeated dose 90-day oral toxicity study (test method: OECD TG 408) in rats.

Notes for your considerations

The results of the Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) are considered crucial to inform on the study design of the extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study (EOGRTS). Therefore, the results of the Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day) should be used, among other relevant information, to decide on the study design of the EOGRTS.

You may also consider updating your testing proposal for an Extended one-generation reproductive toxicity study in light of the results of the requested Sub-chronic toxicity study (90-day). The testing proposal should include a justification for its study design following

ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6 (version 6.0, July 2017),

1. Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (Annex X, Section 8.7.2.) in a second species

In accordance with Articles 10(a) and 12(1) of the REACH Regulation, a technical dossier registered at more than 1000 tonnes per year must contain, as a minimum, the information specified in Annexes VII to X to the REACH Regulation. The information to be generated for the dossier must fulfil the criteria in Article 13(4) of the same regulation.

Pre-natal developmental toxicity studies (test method OECD TG 414) on two species are part of the standard information requirements for a substance registered for 1000 tonnes or more per year (Annex IX, Section 8.7.2., column 1, Annex X, Section 8.7.2., column 1, and sentence 2 of introductory paragraph 2 of Annex X of the REACH Regulation).

The technical dossier contains information on a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats by the oral route using the registered substance as test material. However, there is no information provided for a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in a second species.

In the technical dossier you have provided a study record for a "combined repeated dose toxicity study with the reproduction/developmental toxicity screening test" (test method: OECD TG 422). However, this study does not provide the information required by Annex X, Section 8.7.2., because key parameters on malformations and variations are not investigated as required in the pre-natal developmental toxicity study (OECD TG 414).

As explained above, the information provided on this endpoint for the registered substance in the technical dossier does not meet the information requirement. Consequently there is an information gap and it is necessary to provide information for this endpoint.

The test in the first species was carried out by using a rodent species (rat). According to the test method OECD 414, the rabbit is the preferred non-rodent species. On the basis of this default assumption, ECHA considers that the test should be performed with rabbit as a second species.

ECHA considers that the oral route is the most appropriate route of administration for substances except gases to focus on the detection of hazardous properties on reproduction as indicated in ECHA *Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment* (version 6.0, July 2017) Chapter R.7a, Section R.7.6.2.3.2. Since the substance to be tested is a liquid, ECHA concludes that testing should be performed by the oral route.

Therefore, pursuant to Article 41(1) and (3) of the REACH Regulation, you are requested to submit the following information derived with the registered substance subject to the present decision: Pre-natal developmental toxicity study (test method: OECD TG 414) in a second species (rabbit) by the oral route.

Appendix 2: Procedural history

For the purpose of the decision-making, this decision does not take into account any updates of your registration after the date when the draft decision was notified to you under Article 50(1) of the REACH Regulation.

The compliance check was initiated on 19 November 2018.

The decision making followed the procedure of Articles 50 and 51 of the REACH Regulation, as described below:

ECHA notified you of the draft decision and invited you to provide comments.

ECHA did not receive any comments by the end of the commenting period.

ECHA notified the draft decision to the competent authorities of the Member States for proposal(s) for amendment.

As no amendments were proposed, ECHA took the decision according to Article 51(3) of the REACH Regulation.

Appendix 3: Further information, observations and technical guidance

1. This compliance check decision does not prevent ECHA from initiating further compliance checks on the present registration at a later stage.
2. Failure to comply with the requests in this decision will result in a notification to the enforcement authorities of your Member State.
3. In relation to the information required by the present decision, the sample of the substance used for the new tests must be suitable for use by all the joint registrants. Hence, the sample should have a composition that is suitable to fulfil the information requirement for the range of substance compositions manufactured or imported by the joint registrants.

It is the responsibility of all joint registrants who manufacture or import the same substance to agree on the appropriate composition of the test material and to document the necessary information on their substance composition. In addition, it is important to ensure that the particular sample of the substance tested in the new tests is appropriate to assess the properties of the registered substance, taking into account any variation in the composition of the technical grade of the substance as actually manufactured or imported by each registrant.

If the registration of the substance by any registrant covers different grades, the sample used for the new tests must be suitable to assess these grades. Finally there must be adequate information on substance identity for the sample tested and the grades registered to enable the relevance of the tests to be assessed.

